

Embodiment, Craft, and Ethics: Labor Aesthetics in Short-Film Production

Changchang Wei^{1,2}

¹ Cheongju University, Cheongju, Korea

² Jiangxi Media Vocational College, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

Correspondence: Changchang Wei, Cheongju University, Cheongju, Korea; Jiangxi Media Vocational College, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China.

doi:10.63593/SAA.2026.05.05

Abstract

In the context of rapidly diffusing digital-image technologies and generative artificial intelligence, the labor involved in short-film production has often been obscured by text-centered analysis, platform discourse, and technological imaginaries of frictionless creation. This article reconsiders short-film production through the lens of labor aesthetics, treating image-making as a creative practice that is simultaneously embodied, technical, collaborative, and ethical. Methodologically, it combines conceptual analysis in phenomenology and production studies with practice-based reflection on four short-film workflows. The analysis proceeds along three dimensions. First, on-location shooting depends on bodily presence: the camera reorganizes perception, muscular memory, posture, and attention. Second, editing, color grading, visual effects, and sound design constitute forms of digital craft in which repetitive, fine-grained judgment resists algorithmic homogenization. Third, production is an intersubjective process whose value depends on trust, coordination, and responsibility toward filmed others. The article argues that artificial intelligence does not eliminate labor but redistributes and often conceals it. Reasserting the labor embedded in images therefore offers a way to recover creative agency, defend the value of skilled practice, and ground short-film aesthetics in material processes rather than in technological novelty alone. It thereby links aesthetic form to the concrete conditions and social relations of its making.

Keywords: short-film production, labor aesthetics, embodied labor, digital craft

1. Introduction: The Concealed Labor of Image Production

Contemporary society is saturated by images, a condition intensified by mobile screens, social platforms, and inexpensive production tools. Portable cameras and nonlinear editing systems have also changed the status of the short film. What began in many contexts as an amateur or

grassroots practice has developed into a field with increasingly explicit artistic and professional ambitions. Yet scholarship on short-form audiovisual media still tends to privilege textual rhetoric, audience psychology, circulation, platforms, and industrial outcomes. The production process — the concrete labor through which an image comes into being — consequently remains underexamined.

This omission is especially consequential amid the rapid development of artificial intelligence-generated content (AIGC). A powerful imaginary of labor-free creation now circulates around generative systems: enter a prompt and an image appears. Research on cultural labor in the age of AI, however, shows that automation does not simply eliminate creative work. It reconfigures roles, shifts control, and conceals the material and relational networks on which production depends. AI-assisted cultural products may therefore require substantial human labor at the stages of development, selection, correction, judgment, and integration. For short-film practice, the danger of technological accelerationism lies not in automation alone, but in reducing production to the recombination of data and erasing the embodied subject who selects, evaluates, coordinates, and takes responsibility for what is made. (Lee, H.-K., 2024)

From a labor-aesthetic perspective, image-making is a unity of material and intellectual activity. Marx's early account of labor as the objectification of human capacities is useful here: through purposive activity, an inward conception takes on an external, sensible form. A finished image is therefore not simply the output of an apparatus. It is the provisional materialization of bodily effort, technical knowledge, affective investment, temporal discipline, and social cooperation. Reconstructing the labor aesthetics of short-film production does not require nostalgia for a pre-digital era. It requires a clear account of the human-machine relation under digital conditions. (Marx, K., 1975)

This article develops that account through three connected dimensions: embodied labor, technical craft, and production ethics. It combines conceptual analysis drawn from phenomenology, somaesthetics, and production studies with practice-based reflection on four short-film workflows encountered in vocational film education. The cases are used illustratively rather than as a representative empirical sample. Their English titles are working translations, and the discussion focuses on observable production decisions rather than on unverified festival or award claims. No interviews, medical records, or identifying participant data are reported. This limited but concrete method makes it possible to connect philosophical concepts to the physical, technical, and collaborative conditions of short-film production.

2. Embodied Labor: Bodily Presence and Discipline

Digital culture often encourages a misleading conception of the moving image as disembodied retinal information. Once an image can be generated, transmitted, and displayed on screens, the production process seems to form a closed computational loop. On-location filmmaking nevertheless remains a physical encounter with space, light, weather, equipment, and other bodies. Unlike the relatively abstract operation of writing at a desk, shooting requires the maker to be present within a contingent environment. Merleau-Ponty's phenomenology treats the body not as an object carried by consciousness but as the medium through which a world becomes available. From this perspective, cognition and aesthetic perception extend across bodies, tools, and environments rather than remaining confined to an internal mental space. The camera is neither a neutral device nor an external mechanical observer. Through practice it becomes incorporated into the operator's perceptual and motor system. Production is thus a demanding process in which the body trains the technology while the technology simultaneously reorganizes the body. (Merleau-Ponty, M., 2012)

2.1 Human-Machine Coupling: The Extension of Perception

At the scale of the set, the cinematographer and camera do not remain separate as a sovereign subject and a passive object. They form a temporary operational unit. Looking through a viewfinder means allowing ordinary vision to be cropped, magnified, stabilized, and exposed according to the optical system. Moving with a handheld stabilizer requires the nervous system to adapt to the apparatus's balance and inertia. Framing is therefore not a purely intellectual decision made before the body acts; it emerges through a continuous exchange among perception, equipment, terrain, and intention.

A handheld moving long take makes this coupling particularly visible. The formal appeal of the shot may be read as a realist aesthetic choice, but its realization is also a negotiation with physiological limits. To produce an image that remains stable without losing a sense of breathing movement, the operator lowers the center of gravity, bends the knees to absorb vertical shock, keeps the spine under controlled tension, and coordinates both hands between

leveling the rig and adjusting focus or exposure. Such posture is not incidental to the image. It is a learned bodily technique sedimented through repeated acts of making.

Film phenomenology helps explain why these bodily adjustments matter aesthetically. Sobchack argues that cinema is not only seen by a spectator but experienced through a reversible relation between perceiving bodies and expressive images. During shooting, an operator's breath, hesitation, footfall, or muscular correction may be registered by the sensor as a minute movement. These micro-movements need not be treated as defects measured against the absolute stillness of a tripod. When controlled and motivated, they function as traces of labor: the perceptible inscription of a human body negotiating the world. The image thereby acquires a corporeal temperature that cannot be reduced to technical smoothness. (Sobchack, V., 1992)

2.2 From Haptic Visuality to the Labor of Looking

The embodied dimension of production is not limited to physical exertion. Looking itself is a form of disciplined mental labor. Realist short-film production often requires preliminary fieldwork, patient observation, and repeated return to the same location. This differs from a tourist's glance or an appropriative use of the camera. Production-oriented observation must identify narrative relations within ordinary events and translate unstable qualities of light, texture, gesture, and rhythm into audiovisual form. It demands sustained attention and an ethical willingness to let the situation resist the filmmaker's prior scheme.

Laura Marks's concept of haptic visibility is especially productive for documentary and realist practice. Haptic images invite vision to move over a surface as if touching it, foregrounding texture, proximity, and multisensory memory rather than mastery at a distance. For the filmmaker, this mode begins before the shot. Location scouting and blocking involve separating meaningful sensory cues from visual clutter and converting felt experience into deliberate choices of lens, light, distance, and focus. A close-up of an elderly craft worker's hands, for example, is not exhausted by sharp resolution. Side lighting may reveal the relief of rough skin, while a shallow shift of focus can connect the hand to floating dust or worn tools. This attention interrupts habitual seeing and

enables familiar material to appear again as something specific. (Marks, L. U., 2000)

2.3 Embodied Narration: Homecoming Echoes

A 2023 student short on rural revitalization, here titled *Homecoming Echoes*, offers a concrete example. A 15-second tracking shot follows the protagonist while inspecting terraced farmland. The muddy, uneven ground of a southern Chinese hillside made a track-and-dolly system impractical, so the cinematographer used a handheld stabilizer from a low angle. The operator's legs effectively became biological shock absorbers, continually shifting weight across irregular slopes to maintain a steady forward pace. The physical negotiation between body and soil created more than technical difficulty. It supplied a formal correlative for the protagonist's arduous attempt to build a livelihood after returning to the countryside. Bodily production and narrative meaning became structurally aligned.

The production notes also record the challenge of moving between tree shade and direct sunlight in temperatures near 37 degrees Celsius. Exposure had to be adjusted repeatedly across a wide aperture range while the operator maintained pace and framing. The shot's controlled instability is thus inseparable from heat, fatigue, terrain, and coordinated adjustment. Viewers' kinesthetic response to the sequence does not arise from narrative information alone; it is produced when the crew's exertion is translated into visual rhythm. The case makes clear that short-film cinematography is not a weightless game of fingertip control but a material practice whose aesthetic form bears the pressure of bodies in space.

3. Technical Craft: The Digital Artisan's Reshaping of Time

If production shooting extends the body through space, postproduction reorganizes perception along a timeline. The maturation of digital-intermediate workflows has increased the importance of editing, color grading, visual effects, and sound design in short films. Although these activities take place within software interfaces, they are not immaterial. They involve trained perception, repeated gestures, tacit knowledge, and prolonged temporal attention. Sennett defines craftsmanship through the desire to do a job well for its own sake and through an intimate feedback loop between problem solving and problem finding. Digital

postproduction can be understood in these terms: not as the automatic application of tools, but as a craft in which judgment is refined by iterative contact with materials. (Sennett, R., 2008)

3.1 Resisting Algorithmic Homogenization

Nonlinear editing programs offer presets, automatic synchronization, template transitions, AI-assisted reframing, and increasingly powerful generative functions. These tools may be useful, but convenience can become an aesthetic norm. When the same optimized operations are repeated across thousands of works, rhythm, color, and sound risk converging on platform-friendly sameness. Digital craft therefore sometimes requires a purposeful resistance to default settings. The editor watches frames repeatedly, trims at subsecond intervals, tests alternate durations, and learns to recognize when a technically seamless cut weakens emotional continuity. Repetition can be monotonous, but it is also how a maker develops an embodied sense of rhythm.

A practice example titled *A Day in the Life of a Delivery Rider* depicts the pressured temporality of platform labor. Rather than adopting a uniformly accelerated montage, its editors alternate violently shaking point-of-view shots with static close-ups of an order countdown. They manually identify accents in the waveform and align braking sounds with notification tones rather than accepting automatic synchronization as final. This work does not imply that algorithms are inherently inauthentic. It shows that automated proposals acquire aesthetic value only when situated within a purposeful human judgment. The resulting tension comes from the contrast between bodily urgency and interface time, not from speed as an abstract stylistic effect.

Contemporary research on cultural work makes this distinction important. AI can increase efficiency, but efficiency does not determine which relation among shots is narratively or ethically appropriate. Moreover, the labor saved at one stage may reappear as selection, correction, prompt iteration, integration, or verification at another. A labor-aesthetic approach therefore asks not whether a process is manual or automatic in absolute terms, but where judgment is located, whose knowledge is encoded, and who remains accountable for the result.

3.2 Technical Rationality in Visual Space

Digital images are data structures, yet their

artistic organization depends on situated human feeling and knowledge. In visual-effects compositing, a maker needs more than command of menus and nodes. Convincing integration requires an understanding of light behavior, perspective, scale, motion, edge quality, and the perceptual cues through which viewers infer material continuity. Each keyframe is a small aesthetic decision. Technical rationality becomes artistic when the maker understands a system well enough to exceed its defaults and place its operations in the service of an expressive problem.

Production notes for a 2025 cyberpunk short, *Fragments of Life*, document a grading pipeline with more than twenty nodes. The desired teal-and-orange contrast was not obtained by loading a look-up-table preset. Primary correction restored white balance and exposure; secondary masks isolated selected regions; neon highlights were lifted and given controlled glow; and qualifiers protected skin tones from excessive contamination by environmental color. The workflow resembles a delicate operation because a minor adjustment in one node changes the relationships governed by the others. Its significance lies less in the number of nodes than in the maker's ability to understand their dependencies. Precision at the micro level produces coherence at the level of the whole.

Such practices challenge the assumption that digital imagery is necessarily cheap or infinitely reproducible in an aesthetically meaningful sense. Files can be duplicated without loss, but a sequence of situated judgments cannot be reproduced simply by copying the final data. Craft resides in the path of decisions, corrections, and failures through which the image is made. This is why technical depth can still contribute to an artwork's ontological weight in a virtual workspace.

3.3 Sound Architecture in Aural Space

Sound is often marginalized in low-budget short-film production, even though it is central to immersion, spatial continuity, and emotional orientation. Limited resources frequently leave location recordings with noise, discontinuity, or insufficient detail, making Foley, editing, and soundscape reconstruction indispensable. Sound design is appropriately described as an architecture of listening because it organizes off-screen space, proximity, material texture, and temporal expectation.

This work is simultaneously bodily and technical. To recreate footsteps in mud on a rainy night, a Foley artist may wrap a shoe in wet fabric and step repeatedly into a tray of sand or soil. To produce a subjective ringing effect, a sound editor may layer a high-frequency tone with recorded urban noise, automate spatial movement, and adjust phase or filtering. Chion's account of audio-vision explains why these operations are not supplementary decoration: sound transforms the perception of the image, while the image alters how sound is heard. Through editing labor, sound becomes a narrative force rather than an appendage. (Chion, M., 2019)

The apparent paradox is important. Digital sound work constructs an expansive, convincing environment from a limited collection of recordings. What seems immaterial in the finished film is produced through physical performance, careful listening, classification, layering, and revision. Postproduction craft thus reorganizes time, color, and sound; its disciplined attention is one of the principal ways a short film resists algorithmic banality.

4. Production Ethics: Collaboration and Intersubjectivity

Film is a collective art even when the finished work is short. Direction, cinematography, lighting, design, performance, recording, editing, and production management form an interdependent system. Production studies has shown that screen culture is made not only by publicly recognized authors but also through the knowledge, rituals, hierarchies, and self-understandings of numerous workers above and below the line. This perspective further cautions that autonomy and meaningful work may coexist with insecurity, unequal recognition, and self-exploitation. Short-film production should therefore be understood as the production of social relations as well as images. (Caldwell, J. T., 2008)

4.1 Decentered Networks of Collaborative Labor

Contemporary short-film teams are often flat, temporary, and mobile compared with the bureaucratic organization of a studio. Under limited budgets and compressed schedules, members cross formal occupational boundaries and develop polyvalent working relationships. This flexibility can be creative, but it should not romanticize unpaid overwork or erase specialist contribution. Ethical collaboration requires

credit, transparent expectations, safe conditions, and a genuine ability to raise concerns.

The long take again provides a useful model. Camera, lighting, sound, performance, and movement must be synchronized within the same spatial and temporal interval. A mistake in one element can require everyone to repeat the shot. This simultaneity makes interdependence palpable. Each worker must maintain professional responsibility while remaining responsive to others. Such coordination can counter the atomization associated with platformized creative work by making the production community, rather than the isolated auteur, visible as the condition of creation.

Collaboration is therefore not merely an efficient arrangement. It is an aesthetic mechanism. Trust changes how performers take risks, how operators respond to unplanned movement, and how departments share partial knowledge. The ethical quality of the labor relation can enter the formal quality of the image through timing, attentiveness, and mutual adjustment.

4.2 Image Ethics and the Face of the Other

The ethical dimension of production appears most sharply in the relation between filmmakers and filmed others. When a camera addresses workers, marginalized groups, vulnerable people, or contested social events, the filmmaker is not only a recorder. The filmmaker participates in a relationship of exposure and bears responsibility for how another person's image is framed, circulated, and interpreted. Levinas locates the beginning of ethics in the encounter with the face of the other, an encounter that interrupts the subject's impulse to reduce otherness to possession or knowledge. (Levinas, E., 1969)

For short-film practice, this means resisting spectacularization and instrumentalization. Empathy cannot be manufactured solely through a close-up or sympathetic music; it depends on labor performed before and during filming — listening, waiting, negotiating access, revising a plan, and recognizing a participant's right not to perform. Documentary ethics similarly requires filmmakers to consider consent, consequence, and their unequal power to represent. Only when attention is joined to accountability can the camera approach another person at eye level rather than from a position of aesthetic appropriation. (Nichols, B., 2017)

This labor of ethical attention allows a short film

to move beyond entertainment and become a public medium capable of engaging social reality. Yet humane intention is not enough. Production must also protect dignity through concrete procedures: clear consent, proportionate data collection, appropriate attribution or anonymity, and a willingness to stop recording when continued filming would cause harm.

4.3 Narrating Redemptive Memory: Polished Round

The 2024 student short *Polished Round* concerns an older military veteran living with Alzheimer's disease. The protagonist wanders in search of stones and repeatedly grinds them into circles, convinced that once a stone is round he can carry it home and restore a lost reunion. The circular stone becomes a tactile figure for damaged memory, persistence, and the desire for wholeness.

The production involved older participants, including people whose states of attention and comprehension could vary. Conventional instructions and rigid blocking were therefore inadequate. The crew adopted a companionate and improvisational mode: the camera remained prepared for unplanned gestures, while the sound recordist listened for quiet or fragmentary speech within a noisy environment. Patience across generations became part of the production form. The case demonstrates how ethical responsiveness can generate, rather than limit, aesthetic discovery.

At the same time, the presence of cognitive impairment makes procedural safeguards indispensable. Consent should be treated as ongoing and revisable; guardian authorization may be required where legally applicable; identifiable medical information should be minimized; and any sign of discomfort should take priority over the shot. A compassionate visual style cannot substitute for these protections. Production ethics becomes meaningful only when care is enacted as a constraint on what the crew is willing to obtain from another person's vulnerability.

5. Conclusion: Returning to the Ontology of Image Production

Short-film creation is not a frictionless operation performed at the tip of a finger. It is a dense labor practice. From the standpoint of embodiment, it requires the body's presence, sensory attention, and adaptation to equipment and environment. From the standpoint of craft, it depends on technical reason and on a digital artisan's

willingness to refine rather than merely accept automated defaults. From the standpoint of ethics, it creates social relations through collaboration, care, and responsibility toward filmed others.

Treating production as labor offers an important correction to image studies in an era of rapid technological change and abundant visual stimulation. The quality of an image is not reducible to resolution, speed, novelty, or software sophistication; it also arises from the quality of attention and relations invested in making it. Generative AI complicates this claim but does not invalidate it. Human labor may be redistributed across prompting, curating, correcting, integrating, coordinating, and authorizing, while other forms of labor remain hidden in data preparation and infrastructure. The critical task is to make these distributions visible and to preserve accountable human judgment.

For contemporary filmmakers, recognizing creation as labor is both an account of professional identity and a return to responsibility. Durable work grows from sustained engagement with material processes, the patient acquisition of skill, and ethical participation in a production community. An aesthetics of labor does not oppose technology. It asks that technology remain connected to bodies, histories of craft, and obligations to others. That connection is a necessary condition for short-film art to achieve maturity and social weight in the digital era.

Fund Project

This work was supported by the 2023 Jiangxi Provincial Research Project on Teaching Reform in Vocational Education, "Research on an Innovative Classroom Integrating Labor Education in Higher Vocational Colleges with the Short Film Production Course" (JXJG-23-82-2).

Ethics Statement

This conceptual article uses practice-based production examples and reports no interviews, experimental procedures, medical records, or identifying participant data. Any future empirical development of the cases should document informed consent and institutional ethical approval where applicable.

References

Caldwell, J. T. (2008). *Production culture: Industrial*

- reflexivity and critical practice in film and television.* Duke University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822388968>
- Chion, M. (2019). *Audio-vision: Sound on screen* (C. Gorbman, Trans.; 2nd ed.). Columbia University Press.
<https://cup.columbia.edu/book/audio-vision-sound-on-screen/9780231185899>
- Lee, H.-K. (2024). Reflecting on cultural labour in the time of AI. *Media, Culture & Society*, 46(6), 1312-1323.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/01634437241254320>
- Levinas, E. (1969). *Totality and infinity: An essay on exteriority* (A. Lingis, Trans.). Duquesne University Press.
- Marks, L. U. (2000). *The skin of the film: Intercultural cinema, embodiment, and the senses.* Duke University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822381372>
- Marx, K. (1975). Economic and philosophic manuscripts of 1844 (M. Milligan, Trans.). In K. Marx & F. Engels, *Collected works* (Vol. 3, pp. 229-346). International Publishers. (Original work written 1844).
- Merleau-Ponty, M. (2012). *Phenomenology of perception* (D. A. Landes, Trans.). Routledge.
<https://www.routledge.com/Phenomenology-of-Perception/Merleau-Ponty/p/book/9780415834339>
- Nichols, B. (2017). *Introduction to documentary* (3rd ed.). Indiana University Press.
<https://iupress.org/9780253026859/introduction-to-documentary-third-edition/>
- Sennett, R. (2008). *The craftsman.* Yale University Press.
<https://yalebooks.yale.edu/book/9780300151190/the-craftsman/>
- Sobchack, V. (1992). *The address of the eye: A phenomenology of film experience.* Princeton University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/9780691213279>