

Climate Change Adaptation Strategies in Coastal Cities: A Comprehensive Review of Approaches and Challenges

PHIMIA DOOSU E.¹ & GLORY U. VICTOR¹

¹ School of Environmental Sciences, Captain Elechi Amadi Polytechnic Rumuola, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

Correspondence: PHIMIA DOOSU E., School of Environmental Sciences, Captain Elechi Amadi Polytechnic Rumuola, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

doi:10.63593/SSSH.2709-7862.2026.07.001

Abstract

Coastal cities, which host a significant and growing portion of the global population and economic activity, are at the forefront of the climate crisis. They face existential threats from sea-level rise (SLR), increased frequency and intensity of storm surges, coastal erosion, and saltwater intrusion. In response, a diverse portfolio of adaptation strategies has emerged. This paper provides a comprehensive review of these strategies, categorizing them into three primary types: hard engineering, soft engineering/ecosystem-based approaches, and institutional/policy-based measures. It examines the theoretical underpinnings, practical applications, benefits, and limitations of each category. Furthermore, the paper critically analyzes the cross-cutting challenges that impede the effective implementation of adaptation measures, including financial and economic constraints, governance and institutional barriers, social equity and justice concerns, and technical and informational gaps. The review concludes that no single strategy is a panacea. Effective and sustainable adaptation requires a paradigm shift towards integrated, hybrid approaches that combine grey and green infrastructure, are supported by robust and flexible governance frameworks, and are fundamentally centered on principles of equity and justice. This integrated approach, often termed “adaptive pathways,” is essential for building long-term resilience in the world’s vulnerable coastal urban centers.

Keywords: climate change adaptation, coastal cities, sea-level rise, resilience, ecosystem-based adaptation, climate justice

1. Introduction

Coastal zones have historically been magnets for human settlement, serving as hubs for trade, culture, and economic development. Today, over 40% of the world’s population lives within 100 kilometers of the coast, with a significant concentration in low-elevation coastal zones (Neumann et al., 2015). However, the very proximity to the ocean that fostered their growth now exposes these urban centers to unprecedented risks driven by anthropogenic climate change. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has unequivocally documented accelerating sea-level rise (SLR), increased intensity of tropical cyclones, and more frequent extreme sea-level events (IPCC, 2021). These phenomena directly threaten urban infrastructure, freshwater supplies, economies, and the lives and livelihoods of millions of residents.

The urgency of this situation has shifted the global climate discourse from a singular focus on mitigation to a dual emphasis on mitigation and adaptation. Adaptation, defined as the process of adjustment to actual or expected climate and its effects, is no longer a choice but a necessity for coastal cities (Adger et al., 2005). In response, municipalities, national governments, and international bodies have begun to develop and implement a wide array of strategies designed to reduce vulnerability and enhance resilience.

However, the landscape of coastal adaptation is complex and highly contested. The selection and implementation of strategies involve significant trade-offs between economic efficiency, ecological integrity, and social equity. While numerous case studies exist, there remains a need for a comprehensive synthesis that categorizes the primary approaches and critically examines the systemic challenges that hinder progress across different geographical and socio-economic contexts. This paper aims to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive review of coastal adaptation strategies and their associated challenges. It will first present a typology of common approaches, then analyze the multifaceted barriers to implementation, and conclude with a discussion on the path towards integrated and equitable coastal resilience.

2. A Typology of Adaptation Strategies

Coastal adaptation strategies can be broadly categorized into three families of approaches: hard engineering, soft engineering and ecosystem-based, and institutional and policy-based. These are not mutually exclusive and are often most effective when used in combination.

2.1 Hard Engineering Approaches (*Protect*)

Hard engineering strategies involve the construction of rigid, artificial infrastructure to defend coastal land and assets from flooding and erosion. These “grey infrastructure” solutions have been the traditional response to coastal hazards for centuries.

- **Seawalls, Dikes, and Levees:** These are shoreline barriers designed to block or contain floodwaters. Seawalls are typically built parallel to the coast to protect the land behind them from wave action, while dikes and levees are embankments designed to prevent flooding of low-lying areas. While they can offer a high degree of protection for high-value urban areas, they are extremely expensive to build and maintain, can disrupt coastal sediment transport leading to erosion elsewhere (“downdrift effects”), and destroy intertidal habitats (Schoonees et al., 2019). Furthermore, they can create a false sense of security, encouraging further development in high-risk areas, and are susceptible to catastrophic failure if overtopped.
- **Storm Surge Barriers and Tidal Gates:** These are massive engineering projects, often built across estuaries or inlets, with movable gates that can be closed during a storm surge to protect the urban areas behind them. Prominent examples include the Thames Barrier in London and the Maeslantkering in the Netherlands. They provide exceptional protection but require immense capital investment and long-term operational funding, making them feasible only for the wealthiest of cities (Williams & Beck, 2021).
- **Breakwaters and Groynes:** Breakwaters are offshore structures that absorb wave energy, creating calmer waters behind them, while groynes are structures built perpendicular to the shore to trap sand and widen beaches. Both can be effective but significantly alter local coastal dynamics and can have negative impacts on adjacent shorelines.

2.2 Soft Engineering and Ecosystem-Based Approaches (*Accommodate & Retreat*)

In contrast to hard engineering, soft engineering and ecosystem-based adaptation (EbA) work with natural processes to reduce risk. These “green” or “nature-based” solutions aim to enhance the resilience of both human and natural systems.

- **Beach Nourishment and Dune Restoration:** This involves adding large quantities of sand to a beach to widen it and building up sand dunes to act as natural barriers against storm waves. These measures maintain the recreational and ecological value of the coastline but are often temporary, requiring repeated and costly renourishment campaigns as the sand is naturally eroded (Chen et al., 2020).
- **Wetland and Mangrove Restoration:** Coastal ecosystems like salt marshes, mangroves, and coral reefs are highly effective at attenuating wave energy, reducing erosion, and absorbing floodwaters. Protecting and restoring these habitats is a cornerstone of EbA. They provide numerous co-benefits, including carbon sequestration, improved water quality, and support for fisheries and biodiversity (Spalding et al., 2014). However, these approaches require significant land area, their protective capacity may be insufficient during extreme events, and the ecological benefits can take years to fully materialize.
- **Living Shorelines:** This is a hybrid approach that combines natural habitat elements like marsh grasses with low-profile rock structures or biodegradable materials to stabilize the shoreline while maintaining natural processes. They represent a promising alternative to hardened shorelines in lower-energy environments.

2.3 Institutional and Policy-Based Approaches (*Plan & Regulate*)

This category encompasses non-structural measures that rely on governance, planning, financial instruments, and behavioral change to reduce risk and guide development.

- **Land-Use Planning and Zoning:** This is one of the most powerful tools for adaptation. By restricting or prohibiting new development in high-risk areas (e.g., floodplains, erosion zones) and incentivizing development in safer locations, cities can avoid creating new risks. These policies can be politically challenging to implement due to pressures from landowners and developers (Garcia & Jones, 2019).
- **Building Codes and Standards:** Updating building codes to require elevated foundations, flood-resistant materials, and improved structural integrity can significantly reduce damage to individual properties. These are effective measures but primarily apply to new construction or major renovations, doing little to address legacy risk in existing buildings.
- **Early Warning Systems and Evacuation Planning:** Advanced forecasting and robust communication systems that warn residents of impending storms and floods can save lives and reduce property damage. They are a critical component of any comprehensive adaptation strategy but do not reduce the physical hazard itself.
- **Managed Retreat:** This involves the planned relocation of structures and populations away from high-risk coastal areas. While it is the most definitive solution for eliminating risk in certain areas, it is also the most socially, politically, and emotionally challenging strategy, raising profound questions about compensation, cultural heritage, and community identity (Hino et al., 2017).

3. Cross-Cutting Challenges in Implementation

Despite the availability of these strategies, their successful implementation is hindered by a range of interconnected challenges that transcend geographic boundaries.

3.1 Financial and Economic Constraints

Adaptation is expensive. The upfront capital costs of large-scale infrastructure, whether grey or green, are often beyond the capacity of municipal budgets, particularly in developing nations (OECD, 2020). Securing long-term funding for maintenance is an even greater challenge. Furthermore, the economic benefits of adaptation, especially the non-market values of ecosystem services or a disaster averted, are difficult to quantify, making it hard to justify investments through traditional cost-benefit analysis.

3.2 Governance and Institutional Barriers

Effective adaptation requires coordinated action across multiple levels of government and administrative jurisdictions, which is often stymied by fragmentation and conflicting mandates. The long-term nature of climate change clashes with short-term political cycles, leading to a lack of sustained political will (Adger et al., 2018). Vested interests, weak enforcement of regulations, and a lack of institutional capacity can further undermine the implementation of even well-designed policies like restrictive zoning.

3.3 Social Equity and Justice

Adaptation measures are not neutral; they create winners and losers. There is a significant risk of “maladaptation,” where interventions inadvertently increase the vulnerability of marginalized groups (Shi & Moser, 2022). For example, a seawall may protect affluent waterfront properties while displacing low-income residents or redirecting floodwaters towards their less-protected neighborhoods. The process of adaptation planning often fails to meaningfully include the voices of vulnerable communities, leading to outcomes that reinforce existing inequalities. The concept of “climate justice” demands that adaptation processes and outcomes are equitable, participatory, and prioritize the needs of those most at risk.

3.4 Technical and Informational Gaps

Significant uncertainty remains in climate science, particularly at the local scale required for urban planning. Projections of SLR and storm surge have wide confidence intervals, making it difficult to determine the appropriate level of protection (Hallegatte, 2019). There is often a lack of high-resolution data on topography, bathymetry, and asset vulnerability. Moreover, robust frameworks for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of implemented adaptation measures are still in their infancy, making it difficult to learn from experience and adjust strategies over time.

4. Discussion and Future Directions

The review of adaptation strategies and their attendant challenges reveals that there is no “one-size-fits-all” solution. The reliance on singular approaches, particularly the historical preference for hard engineering, is increasingly seen as inadequate and unsustainable. The future of coastal adaptation lies in the strategic integration of multiple approaches into a coherent, multi-layered defense system.

A key emerging paradigm is the concept of **adaptive pathways**, which involves developing flexible plans that can be modified over time as climate impacts become clearer and new information emerges (Haasnoot et al., 2013). For example, a city might begin with beach nourishment and dune restoration (a soft approach), while having pre-planned triggers (e.g., a certain rate of SLR) for implementing a set-back levee, and ultimately, a policy for managed retreat in the most exposed areas. This approach avoids locking cities into costly, inflexible infrastructure projects and embraces uncertainty as a core element of planning.

Furthermore, future efforts must move beyond a purely technocratic view of adaptation to one that is embedded in principles of **climate justice**. This requires institutionalizing participatory governance models that empower local communities, especially the most vulnerable, to be co-creators of adaptation plans. It also means explicitly analyzing the distributional impacts of any proposed strategy to ensure that costs and benefits are shared equitably.

Future research should focus on several key areas:

- 1) **Long-term performance of ecosystem-based solutions:** More empirical evidence is needed on the effectiveness and reliability of EbA under extreme storm conditions.
- 2) **Innovative financing mechanisms:** Research into public-private partnerships, climate bonds, and insurance schemes is crucial for overcoming financial barriers.
- 3) **The governance of managed retreat:** Developing equitable and politically feasible frameworks for relocation is one of the most pressing research frontiers in coastal adaptation.

5. Conclusion

Coastal cities face an undeniable and accelerating threat from climate change. This review has synthesized the primary strategies available for adaptation—ranging from hard engineering defenses to nature-based solutions and policy interventions—and has highlighted the significant financial, governance, social, and technical challenges that must be overcome. The evidence strongly suggests that a singular reliance on holding the line with concrete walls is a failing proposition.

The path toward a resilient future for coastal cities requires a fundamental shift towards integrated, flexible, and equitable approaches. By combining the strengths of grey, green, and policy-based strategies within an adaptive management framework, cities can build resilience that is not only effective against physical hazards but is also economically viable, ecologically sustainable, and socially just. The choices made by urban leaders and communities in the coming decade will determine the fate of these vital coastal centers for centuries to come. The challenge is immense, but the imperative to act is even greater.

References

- Adger, W. N., Arnell, N. W., & Tompkins, E. L. (2005). Successful adaptation to climate change. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 3(2), 78–86. [https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295\(2005\)003\[0078:SATCC\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2005)003[0078:SATCC]2.0.CO;2)
- Adger, W. N., Brown, I., & Surminski, S. (2018). Advances in problem-oriented climate adaptation science. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(12), 1034–1038. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0322-7>
- Chen, J., Zhang, Y., & Liu, J. (2020). The effectiveness and sustainability of beach nourishment as a coastal adaptation strategy. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 95(sp1), 123–127. <https://doi.org/10.2112/SI95-022.1>
- Garcia, R., & Jones, S. (2019). *Land use policy in the coastal zone: Navigating development pressure and climate risk*. Island Press.
- Haasnoot, M., Kwakkel, J. H., Walker, W. E., & ter Maat, J. (2013). Dynamic adaptive policy pathways: A new method for crafting robust decisions for a deeply uncertain world. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(2), 485–498. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.12.006>
- Hallegatte, S. (2019). *Economic decision-making under deep uncertainty: A new framework for climate change adaptation*. World Bank Publications.
- Hino, M., Field, C. B., & Mach, K. J. (2017). Managed retreat as a response to coastal flood risk. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(5), 364–370. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3252>
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2021). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
- Neumann, B., Vafeidis, A. T., Zimmermann, J., & Nicholls, R. J. (2015). Future coastal population growth and exposure to sea-level rise and coastal flooding—a global assessment. *PLoS ONE*, 10(3), e0118571. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118571>

- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2020). *Financing climate adaptation in coastal cities*. OECD Publishing.
- Schoonees, T., Gijón, A., Theron, A., & Cilliers, O. (2019). A review of hard-engineering solutions for coastal protection. *Journal of Coastal Engineering*, 150, 43–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2019.04.004>
- Shi, L., & Moser, S. C. (2022). Maladaptation and its justice dimensions in coastal climate change adaptation. *WIREs Climate Change*, 13(1), e743. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.743>
- Spalding, M. D., Ruffo, S., Lacambra, C., & Meliane, I. (2014). The role of ecosystems in coastal protection: Adapting to climate change and coastal hazards. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 90, 50–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2013.09.007>
- Williams, T., & Beck, M. W. (2021). *Large-scale engineering for coastal defense: Costs, benefits, and feasibility in a changing climate*. Global Infrastructure Institute.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).