

Post-Training Follow-Up and Employee Training Transfer: Problems and Improvement Strategies

Megan M. Foster¹

¹ University of Oradea, Oradea, Romania

Correspondence: Megan M. Foster, University of Oradea, Oradea, Romania.

doi:10.63593/FMS.2788-8592.2026.07.003

Abstract

Post-training follow-up is an important part of employee development, but many organizations still treat training as completed when the formal training session ends. This weakens the connection between learning and actual work performance. Employees may understand new knowledge during training but fail to apply it because follow-up activities are limited, work tasks do not provide suitable opportunities, supervisors provide little support, or training results are not reviewed after employees return to work. The weak connection between post-training follow-up and training transfer reduces the practical value of employee training and may also lead to repeated investment in ineffective programs. This paper examines the relationship between post-training follow-up and training transfer, focusing on the common problems that prevent employees from applying what they have learned. It discusses the separation of training and follow-up, unclear follow-up responsibilities, insufficient workplace support, weak feedback mechanisms, and excessive reliance on formal assessment. Based on these problems, the paper proposes improvements in follow-up planning, supervisor involvement, workplace application, feedback, and evaluation. The discussion suggests that post-training follow-up should not be treated as an additional administrative activity. It should become a continuous process connecting training content, employee work tasks, supervisor support, and performance improvement.

Keywords: employee training, post-training follow-up, training transfer, workplace learning, employee development

1. Introduction

Employee training is often organized as a planned activity with a clear beginning and end. Employees attend training sessions, complete learning tasks, take part in discussions or exercises, and sometimes complete a test at the end of the program. Once these activities are finished, the training is often regarded as completed. This way of managing training makes the delivery process relatively easy to organize, but it does not fully address what employees do after they return to their jobs.

The actual value of training depends on whether employees can use what they have learned in their daily work. New knowledge has limited practical value if it remains only in training materials or is remembered for a short period. The same problem can occur with newly learned skills. An employee may understand a new communication method during a training exercise but continue using an old communication style when dealing with real customers. A manager may learn new methods for giving employee feedback but continue with the same management habits after returning to the workplace. These situations show that learning during training and using that learning at work are different processes.

Training transfer refers to the use of knowledge, skills, attitudes, and behaviors gained through training in actual work situations. Transfer requires employees to move beyond understanding and begin using new approaches in their normal tasks. This process can be difficult because workplace situations are usually more complex than

training exercises. Employees may face time pressure, heavy workloads, unclear work requirements, limited resources, or resistance from colleagues. Even when employees recognize the value of new skills, these conditions can make continued application difficult.

Post-training follow-up can help address this gap. Follow-up takes place after formal training and can include supervisor conversations, work assignments, coaching, refresher activities, performance reviews, and feedback. These activities give employees opportunities to revisit training content and connect it with actual work. They can also help managers identify why employees are not applying what they have learned. In this sense, post-training follow-up is not simply a way to check whether employees remember the course. It can serve as a bridge between learning and workplace behavior.

The quality of this bridge depends on how closely follow-up activities are connected with the original training objectives. If the training focuses on a specific workplace skill, follow-up should give employees a chance to use that skill. If employees encounter problems during application, supervisors or training staff should help them understand the problem and adjust their approach. When follow-up is limited to a satisfaction survey or a short knowledge test, the connection with actual work remains weak.

Another issue is that different people often participate in different parts of the training process. Training staff may be responsible for course design and delivery, while supervisors manage employees' daily work. Employees themselves are responsible for applying new knowledge. When these roles are not connected, the period after training can become a gap in the learning process. The training department may believe that its task has been completed, while supervisors may not know what employees were expected to apply. Employees then have to decide on their own whether and how to use the training content.

The problem is not simply the absence of follow-up. Some organizations do have follow-up activities, but these activities may not support training transfer effectively. Follow-up may be too general, too infrequent, or disconnected from actual job tasks. Employees may be asked to submit reports about their learning without receiving useful feedback on their work performance. Managers may also focus on whether employees completed the required follow-up rather than whether their work behavior has changed.

A stronger connection between follow-up and training transfer requires a shift in attention from training completion to workplace application. The organization needs to consider what employees should do after training, what support they need, who should provide that support, and how the organization will know whether the new behavior is being maintained. These questions make post-training follow-up part of the training process rather than an activity added after training has ended.

This paper focuses on the problems that weaken the connection between post-training follow-up and training transfer. It discusses the separation between training and follow-up, unclear responsibilities, unsupportive workplace conditions, excessive reliance on formal assessment, and weak feedback. It then considers practical ways to strengthen the connection through follow-up planning, supervisor involvement, workplace practice, feedback, and transfer-oriented evaluation. The discussion centers on how organizations can make the period after training more closely connected with employees' actual work.

2. Relationship Between Post-Training Follow-Up and Training Transfer

2.1 Training Transfer as the Practical Purpose of Training

Training transfer is the process through which employees use what they have learned in training in their actual work. It is not limited to remembering course content. The key issue is whether learning can lead to changes in work behavior. Employees may understand a new procedure, communication method, management technique, or technical skill during training, but the learning only becomes practically meaningful when it is used in real work situations.

There is usually a gap between learning and application. Training activities often take place in a relatively controlled environment. Employees have time to listen, practice, ask questions, and repeat an exercise. Workplaces are less predictable. Employees have to deal with customers, colleagues, deadlines, unexpected problems, and existing work procedures. A skill that appears easy during training may become difficult to use when several work demands occur at the same time.

The gap can also come from established habits. Employees usually have existing ways of completing their work. These methods may not be perfect, but they are familiar and require little additional effort. After training, using a new method may require employees to change these habits. If there is no clear reason to make the change, employees may gradually return to their previous behavior.

Employee confidence also affects transfer. Some employees may understand a new skill but remain uncertain about using it independently. They may worry about making mistakes or receiving negative reactions from colleagues and supervisors. In such cases, an opportunity to practice the new behavior with workplace support

can be more useful than additional theoretical instruction.

Training transfer can also be understood through the distinction between initial application and continued application. Initial application occurs when employees first attempt to use new knowledge or skills at work. Continued application means that the new behavior is used repeatedly and becomes part of normal work practice. The second stage is particularly important because occasional use does not necessarily produce lasting change.

Post-training follow-up has an important role in both stages. It can encourage employees to make the first attempt and provide support when they encounter difficulties. It can also help maintain the new behavior through repeated practice and feedback.

2.2 The Role of Post-Training Follow-Up

Post-training follow-up refers to activities carried out after formal training to support employees' continued learning and workplace application. It can take different forms depending on the type of training. A supervisor may have a short conversation with an employee, a training department may provide refresher materials, or an employee may complete a work-based task related to the course.

The main value of follow-up is that it keeps the connection between training content and work tasks active. Without follow-up, employees are often left to decide how much of the training they will use. The organization may have invested considerable resources in the training, but the application process receives much less attention.

A useful follow-up activity should have a clear relationship with the original training. If employees learned a new problem-solving technique, follow-up can ask them to use the technique when handling a real work problem. If the training focused on leadership communication, supervisors can observe how employees conduct team discussions or give feedback to staff. This makes follow-up more concrete.

Follow-up can also reveal problems that were not visible during training. An employee may report that a particular method is difficult to use because the current work system does not provide the required information. Another employee may find that the method takes more time than the existing process. These problems cannot always be solved through additional training. They may require changes in work arrangements, tools, performance standards, or supervisor support.

The timing of follow-up matters as well. A single review conducted long after training may not provide enough support. Employees need opportunities to reflect on training while they are still trying to apply it. Short and regular follow-up activities can make it easier to identify problems early and provide appropriate assistance.

Follow-up also gives employees a reason to take training more seriously. When employees know that their managers will later discuss how training is being used, they are more likely to think about how the learning relates to their work. The follow-up process can create an expectation that training is not simply an activity to complete but something that should influence actual work.

2.3 The Need for a Continuous Connection

The relationship between post-training follow-up and training transfer is strongest when they are designed as parts of the same process. Training provides the knowledge and skills. Follow-up provides opportunities and support for application. Workplace feedback then helps employees adjust their behavior.

This relationship can be represented as:

Training → Application Plan → Workplace Practice → Follow-Up → Feedback → Adjustment → Continued
Transfer

Each stage has a different function. Training introduces the new knowledge or skill. An application plan clarifies how it can be used at work. Workplace practice gives employees an opportunity to test the new approach. Follow-up checks what happens after employees begin applying it. Feedback helps identify strengths and problems. Adjustment allows employees to improve their approach. Continued practice then supports more stable behavior.

The connection can become weak when any stage is treated as unrelated to the others. For example, a training program may teach employees a new reporting procedure, but employees may not be given time to use it. The follow-up department may later ask whether the employees completed the course, but this does not address the real issue. The problem is not whether employees remember the procedure. The problem is that the workplace has not created a suitable opportunity for application.

The same issue can occur when follow-up is designed without considering training objectives. A general questionnaire may show that employees were satisfied with the course, but it provides little information about whether their work behavior has changed. Follow-up needs to focus on the specific knowledge and behaviors

that the training was intended to develop.

A continuous connection also requires cooperation between different organizational roles. Training staff understand the course content and can design suitable follow-up activities. Supervisors understand employees' daily work and can observe actual application. Employees understand the practical difficulties they face when using new skills. Information from these three groups needs to be connected.

This connection is particularly important when training involves behavioral change. Technical knowledge may sometimes be applied immediately after training, but changes in communication, leadership, teamwork, and management behavior usually require repeated practice. Employees need opportunities to try new behaviors, receive feedback, make adjustments, and try again.

Post-training follow-up therefore has a broader function than checking training results. It can create the conditions needed for learning to move into daily work. When follow-up is closely connected with actual tasks, it becomes part of the transfer process itself. When it is separated from workplace application, the organization may complete the formal training process without achieving meaningful changes in employee behavior.

3. Problems in Linking Post-Training Follow-Up with Training Transfer

3.1 Follow-Up Is Separated from the Original Training

A major problem is the separation between training delivery and post-training follow-up. In some organizations, training is planned around the course itself. The main attention is given to training content, instructors, schedules, attendance, and immediate assessments. Once the course is completed, the responsibility of the training department may be considered finished. Follow-up is then arranged later, if it is arranged at all.

This approach makes training and workplace application two relatively independent activities. Employees may receive a clear explanation of what they learned, but they may not receive clear guidance about what they are expected to do after returning to work. The training may emphasize knowledge acquisition without identifying the specific work behaviors that should change.

The problem becomes more obvious when training content is broad. For example, a leadership course may introduce communication, delegation, conflict management, and team motivation. If there is no follow-up plan, an employee may remember several concepts but remain uncertain about which ideas should be applied first. The employee may also have difficulty deciding whether the new methods are suitable for current work situations.

The absence of an application plan creates another problem. Employees need to know how training content can fit into their existing responsibilities. A training course can provide general principles, but employees usually need additional guidance when they encounter specific workplace situations. Without this connection, training knowledge can remain separate from normal work routines.

The timing of follow-up can make the separation even more serious. When a long period passes between training and follow-up, employees may have already returned to familiar working habits. They may remember the general topic of the course but have difficulty recalling specific techniques. A later questionnaire may confirm that the training took place, but it cannot easily restore the opportunity for early workplace practice.

Training and follow-up should also share similar objectives. If the training focuses on improving customer communication, the follow-up should examine customer communication in actual work. If the training teaches a new management process, the follow-up should focus on whether managers have used that process. When the follow-up uses unrelated indicators, the organization cannot clearly judge whether training has transferred to work.

The separation between the two stages is not only a planning problem. It changes the meaning of training itself. Training becomes an event rather than part of a continuing development process. Employees attend the course, complete the required activities, and move back to their normal work. The organization then has limited control over whether the new knowledge becomes part of daily practice.

3.2 Follow-Up Responsibilities Are Unclear

Unclear responsibilities create another barrier to training transfer. Post-training follow-up involves several participants, but their roles are not always clearly defined. Training departments, supervisors, and employees may all have some responsibility, yet none of them may have clear ownership of the entire process.

Training departments usually have strong control over course design and delivery. They can determine the learning objectives, select training materials, arrange instructors, and collect immediate feedback. Their contact with employees may become weaker after the course ends, however. Training staff may not know enough about employees' daily work to judge whether new skills are being applied properly.

Supervisors are in a different position. They work directly with employees and can observe changes in behavior.

They can also provide opportunities for employees to practice new skills. However, supervisors may not know enough about the training content or may not consider post-training coaching part of their own responsibilities.

Employees themselves also play an important role. They need to make an effort to use new knowledge and identify situations in which it can be applied. Yet expecting employees to manage the entire transfer process on their own is unrealistic. Workplace expectations, available resources, and supervisor attitudes can strongly affect their ability to change behavior.

When these roles are not connected, a responsibility gap can appear. The training department may assume that supervisors will follow up. Supervisors may assume that the training department will evaluate the results. Employees may receive no clear instruction from either side. The organization has technically provided training, but no one has taken responsibility for ensuring that learning is transferred.

The lack of responsibility can also affect the quality of follow-up. If no person or department is responsible for reviewing progress, follow-up may become an optional activity. Supervisors may prioritize urgent work, while training staff may focus on new training programs. Employees then receive less attention during the period when they most need support.

Clear responsibilities should not mean that one person handles everything. Training transfer involves different types of work. Training staff can organize the process, supervisors can provide workplace support, and employees can take responsibility for application. The important point is that each role needs to be visible and connected with the others.

3.3 Workplace Conditions Do Not Support New Behaviors

Even when follow-up exists, workplace conditions may prevent employees from applying what they have learned. Training normally focuses on what employees should know or do. Actual transfer also depends on whether the work environment allows employees to use the new behavior.

Workload is one common barrier. Employees may return from training to a busy work schedule and have little time to practice new methods. A new approach may require more attention during the early stage of application. Under time pressure, employees may choose familiar methods because they are faster and easier.

Existing procedures can create a similar problem. An organization may teach employees a new approach but continue using work systems designed around older practices. Employees then have to work within two different sets of expectations. The training encourages change, while the existing work system encourages continuity.

Performance targets can also influence transfer. Employees tend to pay attention to the behaviors that are directly connected with their evaluation. If a new training method requires more time but performance indicators reward speed, employees may have little incentive to use the new method consistently.

Supervisor attitudes are equally important. Employees are more willing to try new approaches when supervisors allow reasonable mistakes and provide constructive feedback. If supervisors immediately criticize unfamiliar methods or insist on previous practices, employees may stop using the new skills.

Coworkers can also influence behavior. Workplace behavior is rarely formed by individuals alone. Employees observe how colleagues perform their tasks and often adjust their own behavior to fit the surrounding work environment. A person who tries to use a new method may find it difficult to continue when colleagues do not accept it.

These conditions show why training transfer cannot be treated as an individual learning problem. Employees may have learned the right knowledge but still lack the conditions required to use it. Post-training follow-up needs to identify these workplace barriers rather than simply telling employees to apply the training more actively.

3.4 Follow-Up Relies Too Heavily on Formal Assessment

Formal assessment is useful for checking learning, but it is not enough to determine whether training has been transferred to the workplace. Many organizations still rely heavily on written tests, online quizzes, attendance records, or course satisfaction surveys after training.

These methods are convenient because they are easy to organize and quantify. A test can show whether employees remember key concepts. A satisfaction survey can show whether employees liked the course. Attendance records can confirm participation. None of these measures directly demonstrates whether employees have changed their work behavior.

For example, an employee may obtain a high score on a test about conflict management but still avoid difficult conversations with colleagues. Another employee may report high satisfaction with a communication course but continue using the same communication habits. The assessment results can look positive even though workplace transfer is limited.

The problem becomes more serious when assessment results are treated as evidence of training success. If an organization considers high test scores sufficient, there is less pressure to observe what happens after employees return to work.

Follow-up should pay more attention to application. This can include reviewing work tasks, observing behavior, discussing actual situations, or examining whether employees have incorporated new methods into their normal routines. Such approaches require more effort than a simple test, but they provide information that is much closer to the purpose of training.

3.5 Feedback Is Delayed or Too General

Feedback is an important part of training transfer, but it is often weak during the post-training period. Employees may be asked whether they have used the training without receiving specific information about how well they are applying it.

Useful feedback needs to be connected with actual behavior. An employee should be able to understand what was done effectively, what created a problem, and what could be changed in the next attempt. General comments such as “keep improving” or “apply what you learned” provide little practical direction.

Timing also matters. Feedback is more useful when it is provided close to the actual work situation. If an employee tries a new technique on Monday but receives comments several weeks later, important details may already have been forgotten. The employee may also have repeated the same mistake many times.

Feedback should not be limited to negative performance. Employees need to know which new behaviors are working well. Positive feedback can strengthen the use of effective methods and increase confidence. When difficulties appear, corrective feedback can help employees make small adjustments instead of abandoning the new approach completely.

There is also a need for two-way feedback. Supervisors should not only tell employees what they think. Employees should be able to explain what makes the training difficult to apply. Some problems may come from the work system rather than from individual performance. Listening to employees can help organizations distinguish between a lack of skill and a lack of workplace support. A stronger feedback process can turn follow-up into an active learning period. Employees try, receive feedback, adjust, and try again. This process is more closely related to training transfer than a single post-training assessment.

4. Improvement Strategies for Strengthening Training Transfer

4.1 Design Follow-Up Together with the Training Program

Post-training follow-up should be considered when the training program is designed rather than added after the course has finished. The training plan needs to answer a simple question: What should employees be able to do differently at work after the training?

This question can help organizations move from general learning objectives to specific workplace behaviors. A training program about customer service, for example, should not stop at teaching communication principles. It can identify several behaviors that employees should use after training, such as asking clearer questions, responding to customer concerns, or explaining solutions in a more structured way. These behaviors can later become the focus of follow-up.

Training objectives should also be connected with realistic work situations. Employees are more likely to transfer learning when they can see where the new knowledge fits into their existing responsibilities. Training content that has no clear connection with daily tasks is difficult to maintain after employees return to work.

The follow-up plan can be prepared before the training ends. Employees can identify one or two areas where they intend to apply the new knowledge. Supervisors can discuss whether these areas are relevant to current work tasks. The training department can then provide simple guidance for the application period.

This approach also makes follow-up easier to evaluate. If the organization has identified specific behaviors before training, it can later examine whether those behaviors have appeared in actual work. The question changes from “Did the employee complete the training?” to “Did the employee use the skill that the training was designed to develop?” Follow-up planning should remain simple. Excessively complicated plans can become another administrative burden. A small number of clear application goals is usually more practical than a long list of requirements.

4.2 Use Short and Regular Follow-Up Activities

Follow-up does not need to rely on one formal review. Short and regular activities can provide continuous contact between employees and the training content. For example, a supervisor can have a ten-minute conversation with an employee one week after training. The conversation can focus on what the employee has tried, what worked, and what was difficult. A second conversation can take place after another period of

workplace practice. This creates repeated opportunities to discuss application.

Refresher materials can also be used. A short reminder of an important concept may help employees recall training content when they need it. The material does not need to repeat the entire course. It should focus on the knowledge or skill that employees are expected to use.

Work-based tasks are another useful method. Employees can be asked to apply one specific technique during normal work and briefly record the result. The task should be connected with their actual responsibilities. This makes follow-up part of work rather than an additional activity that has little connection with work.

Regular follow-up is particularly useful during the early period after training. Employees may experience difficulties when they first attempt to use a new method. Early contact gives the organization an opportunity to identify these difficulties before employees return completely to their old habits.

The frequency of follow-up should also depend on the type of training. A simple technical procedure may require only a small number of checks. Training involving leadership, communication, teamwork, or behavioral change may require longer support because these skills depend on repeated practice.

4.3 Increase Supervisor Involvement

Supervisors are in a strong position to connect training with daily work. They know employees' tasks, observe their performance, and have the authority to arrange work opportunities. Greater supervisor involvement can make training transfer more realistic.

Supervisor involvement should begin before the training. Managers can explain why the training is relevant to the employee's role and identify work situations where the new skill may be useful. This gives employees a clearer reason to pay attention to the course.

After training, supervisors can discuss how employees plan to use the new knowledge. Instead of asking only whether the employee enjoyed the training, the supervisor can ask what the employee has tried and what support is needed.

Supervisors can also create opportunities for application. If an employee has learned a new presentation technique, the supervisor can assign a suitable presentation task. If an employee has learned a new method for handling customer complaints, the supervisor can allow the employee to use the method in appropriate cases.

Observation is another important function. Supervisors do not need to observe every activity. They can pay attention to selected situations in which the trained behavior is expected to appear. Their observations can then be discussed with employees.

The supervisor's response to mistakes also matters. Employees may not use a new method perfectly during the first attempt. If every mistake is treated as a failure, employees may quickly return to familiar practices. Supervisors should allow reasonable experimentation while making clear what standards still need to be met.

Supervisor involvement should not become constant monitoring. Excessive monitoring may create pressure and make employees view follow-up as another form of inspection. The purpose is to provide support and direction so that employees can gradually use new skills with greater confidence.

4.4 Connect Training Tasks with Real Work

The distance between the training environment and the workplace can be reduced by making post-training tasks part of employees' normal work. Employees learn more effectively when they can immediately connect new knowledge with real situations. A manager who has learned a new delegation method can apply it when assigning an actual project. A sales employee who has learned a new customer communication technique can use it during real customer interactions. A team leader who has learned a conflict management method can apply it when handling a genuine disagreement.

Real work also provides more useful feedback than artificial exercises. Workplace situations contain unexpected factors that cannot always be reproduced during training. Employees can discover which parts of the training are easy to apply and which require adjustment.

The organization should avoid assigning application tasks that have no connection with employees' responsibilities. Such tasks may satisfy a formal follow-up requirement but are unlikely to produce lasting changes. The closer the task is to normal work, the easier it is for employees to see the value of the training.

Work-based application also makes the role of supervisors clearer. Supervisors can include the new behavior in normal work arrangements instead of creating completely separate activities. This reduces the administrative burden associated with follow-up.

4.5 Build a Simple Feedback Mechanism

A practical feedback mechanism should connect employees, supervisors, and training staff. Each group has

different information about the transfer process. Employees know what happens when they attempt to use new skills. They can explain which parts of the training are useful and which parts are difficult to apply. Supervisors can observe changes in actual work behavior. Training staff can compare this information with the original learning objectives.

A simple feedback structure can be built around three questions:

What have you applied?

What problems did you encounter?

What support do you need?

These questions are more useful than asking only whether employees were satisfied with the training. They focus attention on workplace application and the barriers that affect it. Feedback should also move in both directions. Training departments should receive information from employees and supervisors, but they should also provide useful responses. If employees repeatedly report the same difficulty, the organization should determine whether the problem comes from insufficient training, unclear procedures, limited resources, or conflicting work requirements. The feedback mechanism can also support later training design. Information collected after training can show which parts of a course are difficult to apply. The next training program can then place more attention on those areas.

4.6 Evaluate Transfer Rather than Only Learning Outcomes

Training evaluation should include workplace transfer. Immediate learning results are useful, but they represent only one part of the process. Evaluation can examine whether employees use the trained skills in their normal work, whether new behaviors are maintained, and whether work performance shows relevant improvement. The indicators should match the purpose of the training.

For communication training, evaluation can focus on observable communication behaviors. For technical training, it can examine whether employees correctly use the new procedure. For management training, it can consider behaviors such as delegation, feedback, meeting management, or employee communication.

The evaluation period should also be long enough to identify continued use. An employee may use a new skill immediately after training but stop using it several weeks later. A single evaluation cannot fully capture this change. Evaluation should not become a tool for blaming employees. If transfer is weak, the organization should examine the surrounding conditions as well. The employee may have learned the required skill but lacked time, resources, authority, or supervisor support. A transfer-oriented evaluation needs to consider these factors.

When evaluation results are used in this way, post-training follow-up becomes more useful. It does not simply produce a score. It provides information about what employees have learned, what they have applied, what has prevented application, and what should be changed in the next stage.

5. Building an Integrated Post-Training Follow-Up Mechanism

5.1 Establishing a Clear Connection Before Employees Return to Work

An effective follow-up mechanism should begin before employees leave the training environment. The period immediately after training is easier to manage when employees already understand what they are expected to apply in their work.

The organization can identify a small number of key skills or behaviors at the end of each training program. These should be specific enough to observe in daily work. For example, communication training can focus on several observable behaviors rather than asking employees to “improve communication” in general. Management training can identify specific practices such as giving regular feedback, delegating tasks clearly, or holding structured team discussions.

Employees can then prepare a simple application plan. The plan does not need to contain complicated procedures. It can identify the skill to be used, the work situation in which it will be used, and the expected period of initial practice. This gives employees a clear starting point after they return to work.

Supervisors should be involved in this stage as well. They can help employees choose realistic work situations for application. A skill that is appropriate in one position may not be equally relevant in another. Supervisor participation can make the application plan more closely connected with actual job responsibilities.

The organization should also clarify who will provide follow-up. Employees need to know who they can approach when they encounter problems. Supervisors need to know what kind of support they are expected to provide. Training staff need to know when they should become involved again.

This early preparation reduces the gap between learning and work. Instead of leaving employees to decide independently what to do after training, the organization establishes a clear path from the training room to the

workplace.

5.2 Supporting Employees During Workplace Application

The next stage begins when employees start using new knowledge and skills in their normal work. This is often the most difficult stage because employees must deal with real work conditions.

Organizations should give employees reasonable opportunities to practice. If the new skill is never needed in the employee's current tasks, transfer cannot occur easily. Work assignments should therefore provide suitable situations for employees to try the new method.

Supervisors can support this process through coaching. Coaching does not require a long formal meeting every time. A short conversation after a work task can be enough to identify what happened and what could be improved. The important point is that the conversation focuses on actual application.

Employees may also need access to practical resources. These can include job aids, checklists, templates, examples, or short refresher materials. Such resources can be particularly useful when employees are still becoming familiar with a new procedure.

Peer support can provide another source of assistance. Employees who have attended the same training can discuss how they have used the knowledge in their own work. They may encounter similar problems and exchange practical solutions. This can make the transfer process less dependent on formal supervision.

Workplace support should not mean that every employee is required to follow a new method in exactly the same way. Different positions may require some adjustment. What matters is that the main purpose of the training is maintained while employees are allowed to adapt the skill to realistic work conditions.

5.3 Creating a feedback and Adjustment Cycle

The application stage should be followed by regular feedback. Feedback helps employees understand whether their new behaviors are producing the expected results.

A useful feedback conversation can begin with the employee's own experience. Employees can describe what they tried, where they found difficulty, and whether the new method seemed useful. Supervisors can then add their observations and suggest specific adjustments.

This process is more useful than simply telling employees whether they passed or failed a follow-up assessment. Transfer is often gradual. Employees may successfully apply some parts of the training while struggling with others. Feedback allows the organization to respond to these differences.

Adjustment is also important because training content cannot predict every workplace situation. An approach that works well in a training exercise may need modification when used with real customers, colleagues, or work processes. Follow-up can identify these differences and help employees adapt without abandoning the main learning objective.

The feedback cycle can also identify organizational problems. Suppose several employees report that they cannot use a new procedure because the relevant software does not provide the necessary information. The problem is not simply a lack of employee effort. The organization may need to change the system or work process.

This makes feedback valuable at both the individual and organizational levels. At the individual level, it helps employees improve their behavior. At the organizational level, it shows whether the workplace itself is helping or restricting training transfer.

5.4 Reviewing Continued Application

Initial application does not mean that transfer has been completed. Employees may try a new method once or twice and later return to previous habits. The organization therefore needs to review whether new behaviors continue over time.

The review does not need to be a large formal evaluation. It can be incorporated into regular performance discussions or team meetings. Supervisors can consider whether employees continue to use the relevant skills and whether the new practices have become part of normal work.

The review should also consider the stability of the new behavior. If employees use a skill only when they know they are being observed, the transfer may be superficial. More meaningful transfer occurs when employees use the skill naturally during ordinary work.

Continued application can be encouraged by recognizing useful behaviors. Recognition does not always have to involve financial rewards. Managers can acknowledge employees who successfully apply new methods, share useful examples with the team, or give employees opportunities to take on tasks that require the newly developed skills.

If application declines, the organization should identify the reason rather than simply asking employees to work harder. The problem may be related to workload, unclear procedures, lack of resources, or changes in job requirements. A follow-up mechanism should be able to respond to these changes.

5.5 Returning Follow-Up Information to Training Design

The final part of an integrated mechanism is the return of follow-up information to future training design. Training should not be treated as a fixed product that remains unchanged after delivery.

Information from the workplace can reveal which parts of the training are useful and which parts are difficult to apply. If employees repeatedly struggle with the same skill, the training program may need more practical exercises. If employees understand the skill but cannot use it because of workplace procedures, the organization may need to change the work environment rather than redesign the course.

This creates a connection between one training cycle and the next. The organization can use follow-up information to improve training objectives, examples, exercises, application tasks, and evaluation methods.

A complete mechanism can therefore be understood as a continuing cycle:

Training Design → Learning → Application Plan → Workplace Practice → Follow-Up → Feedback →
Adjustment → Continued Application → Training Improvement

The value of this mechanism lies in its continuity. Training does not end when employees leave the classroom. Follow-up does not end when a questionnaire is completed. The organization continues to observe, support, and adjust the transfer process.

This approach also changes the role of the training department. Training staff are no longer responsible only for organizing courses. They become participants in a wider employee development process. Supervisors are not simply users of training results. They become important supporters of workplace application. Employees are not passive recipients of training. They participate actively in turning learning into work behavior.

An integrated mechanism can remain relatively simple if the organization focuses on a few key questions: What should employees apply? Where can they apply it? Who will support them? What difficulties have appeared? What needs to be adjusted? Clear answers to these questions can make post-training follow-up more closely connected with actual training transfer.

5.6 Connecting Follow-Up with Organizational Performance

Training transfer should not stop at the question of whether employees have used a new skill. The more important issue is whether the new behavior can become part of normal work and contribute to the requirements of the employee's position. This does not mean that every training program must produce an immediate improvement in performance. The relationship between training transfer and performance is usually indirect. Employees may need time to practice a new skill before its effects become visible in work results.

For this reason, organizations should distinguish between learning, transfer, and performance. Learning means that employees acquire new knowledge or skills. Transfer means that employees use these abilities in actual work. Performance refers to the broader results of their work, which can be influenced by many factors beyond training. A sales employee, for example, may successfully apply a new customer communication technique, but sales results may still be affected by market conditions, product quality, customer demand, or pricing. It would be unreasonable to attribute every change in performance directly to training.

This distinction is important when organizations evaluate post-training follow-up. If follow-up focuses only on final performance indicators, employees may be judged before they have had enough time to develop stable new behaviors. A better approach is to connect training objectives with observable work behaviors and then consider their contribution to relevant performance outcomes. This creates a more realistic relationship between training and organizational performance.

Training objectives should also be connected with actual job responsibilities. Each training program can identify the work activities in which the learned knowledge or skills are expected to be used. For example, training for team leaders may focus on delegation, employee feedback, and task coordination. These skills can then be connected with the team leader's normal management responsibilities. Follow-up can examine whether the leader is using these practices during regular work rather than asking only whether the training content has been remembered.

This connection can also reduce unnecessary administrative work. If follow-up is designed as a separate reporting system, employees and supervisors may view it as another form to complete. When follow-up is included in existing work meetings, coaching conversations, or performance discussions, it becomes easier to maintain. A supervisor can spend a few minutes discussing the application of a recently learned skill during a normal performance conversation instead of arranging a separate meeting.

The training department can support this process by providing simple follow-up tools. These tools can include short discussion questions, observation points, application checklists, or examples of expected workplace behaviors. Supervisors can use them according to their actual work conditions. The purpose is not to create another complicated evaluation system but to make training transfer visible during normal management activities.

Employees should also have an active role in this process. They can identify which training content is relevant to their current responsibilities and report difficulties during application. This information can help supervisors understand whether a problem comes from individual skill, work conditions, or unclear expectations. Employees should not be expected to solve every transfer problem themselves, but their experience should be part of the follow-up process.

A long-term connection between follow-up and performance also requires information to move back to the training department. If supervisors repeatedly report that employees understand a skill but have difficulty using it, the training department can review the course design. More practical exercises may be needed, or the training content may need to be presented in a way that is closer to actual work situations. If the problem comes from work procedures rather than employee ability, organizational adjustments may be more appropriate than additional training.

This creates a more useful division of responsibilities. The training department provides the learning framework and follow-up support. Supervisors connect training with daily work and observe application. Employees practice new skills and provide feedback about their experience. Managers can consider whether the new behaviors support broader work requirements. No single group needs to manage the entire transfer process alone.

The connection with organizational performance should also remain flexible. Different types of training will produce different kinds of outcomes. Technical training may influence work accuracy or task completion. Customer service training may affect communication quality and complaint handling. Management training may influence team coordination and employee interaction. The follow-up process should reflect these differences rather than applying one performance indicator to every training program.

In this way, post-training follow-up can become part of ordinary performance management without losing its original purpose. The focus remains on helping employees use what they have learned, while the organization gradually gains information about whether the new behaviors support actual work requirements. Training transfer then becomes a continuing process that links individual learning with workplace practice and organizational needs.

6. Conclusion

The connection between post-training follow-up and training transfer has a direct influence on the practical value of employee training. Formal training can provide employees with new knowledge and skills, but learning alone does not guarantee changes in workplace behavior. Employees need opportunities to use what they have learned, support from supervisors, timely feedback, and working conditions that allow new behaviors to continue.

Several problems can weaken this connection. Training and follow-up may be planned separately, leaving employees without clear application goals after the course. Responsibilities may also be unclear among training departments, supervisors, and employees. Even when employees are willing to apply new skills, workload, existing procedures, performance requirements, and workplace habits may make application difficult. Some organizations also rely too much on tests and satisfaction surveys, which provide limited information about actual workplace transfer. Weak or delayed feedback makes it harder for employees to correct problems during the early stages of application.

Improvement should focus on making follow-up part of the training process rather than treating it as an activity that begins after training has ended. Training objectives can include specific workplace behaviors, and employees can prepare simple application plans before returning to work. Supervisors can provide suitable work opportunities, observe performance, and offer practical coaching. Short and regular follow-up activities can help employees maintain contact with the training content without creating excessive administrative work.

The workplace itself also needs to be considered. When employees cannot use new skills because of workload, outdated procedures, limited resources, or conflicting performance targets, additional training may not solve the problem. Follow-up should help identify these organizational barriers and provide information for adjustments. Evaluation should focus not only on what employees remember but also on whether they use the relevant skills and behaviors in their normal work. A stronger connection between follow-up and training transfer can be built through a continuous process of training, workplace application, follow-up, feedback, adjustment, and continued practice. Such a process gives employees a clearer path from learning to action and gives organizations better information about the actual value of their training activities.

References

- Baldwin, T. T., & Ford, J. K. (1988). Transfer of training: A review and directions for future research. *Personnel Psychology*, 41(1), 63–105.
- Holton, E. F., III. (1996). The flawed four-level evaluation model. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 7(1), 5–21.
- Burke, L. A., & Hutchins, H. M. (2007). Training transfer: An integrative literature review. *Human Resource Development Review*, 6(3), 263–296.
- Baldwin, T. T., Ford, J. K., & Blume, B. D. (2009). Transfer of training 1988–2008: An updated review and agenda for future research. *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 24, 41–70.
- Blume, B. D., Ford, J. K., Baldwin, T. T., & Huang, J. L. (2010). Transfer of training: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Management*, 36(4), 1065–1105.
- Grossman, R., & Salas, E. (2011). The transfer of training: What really matters. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 15(2), 103–120.
- Gegenfurtner, A. (2011). Motivation and transfer in professional training: A meta-analysis of the moderating effects of knowledge type, instruction, and assessment conditions. *Educational Research Review*, 6(1), 1–13.
- Hutchins, H. M., & Burke, L. A. (2007). Identifying trainers' knowledge of training transfer research findings—Closing the gap between research and practice. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 11(4), 236–264.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).