Paradigm Academic Press
Innovation in Science and Technology
ISSN 2788-7030
JUN. 2026 VOL.5, NO.2

Advances in Geodetic Monitoring of Subsurface Gas Reservoirs: From Surface Deformation to Reservoir Characterization

Phimia Doosu Eeba[1]

[1] Department of Surveying and Geo-Informatics, Captain Elechi Amadi Polytechnic, Rumuola, Nigeria

Correspondence: Phimia Doosu Eeba, Department of Surveying and Geo-Informatics, Captain Elechi Amadi Polytechnic, Rumuola, Nigeria.

doi:10.63593/IST.2788-7030.2026.06.005

Abstract

The safe and efficient management of subsurface gas reservoirs, including natural gas fields and underground gas storage (UGS) facilities, is paramount for global energy security and environmental stewardship. While traditional monitoring relies on invasive methods like well-logging and seismic surveys, these techniques can be costly and spatially limited. Over the past two decades, geodetic methods, particularly Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) and the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS), have emerged as powerful, non-invasive tools for monitoring reservoir behavior. By measuring minute surface deformations—subsidence and uplift—caused by pressure changes within the reservoir, geodesy provides critical insights into reservoir dynamics. This paper reviews the advances in the application of geodetic techniques for monitoring subsurface gas reservoirs. It discusses the evolution from simple deformation detection to sophisticated quantitative analysis through poroelastic modeling, data assimilation, and the synergistic use of multiple geodetic and geological datasets. These advancements now enable the characterization of reservoir geometry, the inference of pressure distributions, the assessment of caprock integrity, and the optimization of injection and withdrawal strategies, solidifying geodesy's role as an indispensable component of modern reservoir management.

Keywords: geodesy, InSAR, GNSS, subsurface gas reservoir, poroelasticity, surface deformation, reservoir monitoring

1. Introduction

Subsurface gas reservoirs are critical assets for the global energy infrastructure, serving both as primary sources of natural gas and as strategic storage facilities to buffer seasonal demand fluctuations (Evans & Chadwick, 2009). The operational lifecycle of these reservoirs, which involves the extraction and injection of vast quantities of gas, induces significant changes in pore pressure within the geological formation. These pressure changes alter the effective stress state of the reservoir rock and its surroundings, leading to mechanical deformation. This deformation propagates to the surface, manifesting as measurable subsidence (during extraction or pressure depletion) and uplift (during injection or pressurization).

Traditionally, reservoir monitoring has been dominated by direct, in-situ measurements. Well-logging provides high-resolution data on rock properties and fluid saturation at specific locations, while 4D seismic surveys can image changes in fluid distribution over time. However, these methods are often expensive, logistically complex, and provide spatially sparse (wells) or temporally infrequent (seismic) information. This has created a critical need for complementary monitoring technologies that can provide wide-area, frequent, and cost-effective data on reservoir behavior.

Geodesy, the science of accurately measuring and understanding the Earth's shape, orientation, and gravity field, has filled this technological gap. High-precision geodetic techniques can detect surface displacements at the millimeter level, providing a direct link to subsurface geomechanical processes (Segall, 2010). Initially used to confirm the existence of anthropogenic subsidence, the role of geodesy has matured significantly. It has evolved into a quantitative tool used not just for monitoring but for characterizing and modeling reservoir systems, thereby enhancing operational safety and efficiency. This paper explores the key geodetic advancements and their transformative impact on the management of subsurface gas reservoirs.

2. Core Geodetic Techniques and Their Application

Two satellite-based geodetic techniques form the cornerstone of modern reservoir monitoring: the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) and Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR).

2.1 Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS)

GNSS, which includes systems like the US Global Positioning System (GPS), utilizes a constellation of satellites to determine precise three-dimensional positions on the Earth's surface. By establishing continuously operating reference stations (CORS) over a reservoir, GNSS can track their displacement with sub-centimeter accuracy and high temporal resolution (daily or even sub-daily solutions). The primary strength of GNSS lies in its ability to provide a continuous time series of 3D deformation (east, north, and vertical components), enabling the detailed tracking of deformation dynamics tied to injection/withdrawal cycles (Bawden et al., 2001). However, its principal limitation is its spatial sparsity; as a point-based measurement system, it cannot capture the full spatial complexity of the deformation field without a prohibitively dense network of stations.

2.2 Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR)

InSAR is a remote sensing technique that uses the phase difference between two or more radar images, acquired from satellites at different times, to generate maps of ground deformation. The resulting "interferogram" represents a spatially continuous map of displacement along the satellite's line-of-sight (LOS) with millimeter-scale precision and spatial resolutions of tens of meters (Fialko, 2006).

Since the launch of dedicated radar satellites like ERS, Envisat, and the modern Sentinel-1 constellation, InSAR has become a revolutionary tool for reservoir monitoring. Its ability to provide synoptic views of deformation over hundreds of square kilometers allows for the identification of previously unknown deforming areas, the characterization of the spatial extent of the deformation bowl, and the detection of anomalous signals related to fault reactivation or leakage (Teatini et al., 2011). While powerful, InSAR is limited by its lower temporal frequency (days to weeks), its one-dimensional LOS measurement, and its susceptibility to atmospheric artifacts and signal decorrelation in vegetated or rapidly changing areas.

3. From Deformation Data to Reservoir Insights: The Role of Modeling

The true value of geodetic data is unlocked when it is integrated with physical models to infer subsurface processes. The primary physical principle linking pore pressure changes to surface deformation is poroelasticity (Biot, 1941). Poroelastic models describe how a porous, elastic solid matrix deforms in response to changes in the pressure of the fluid within its pores.

3.1 Forward and Inverse Modeling

The application of poroelastic theory in reservoir monitoring typically involves two modeling approaches:

- **Forward Modeling:** In this approach, a known or assumed reservoir model (e.g., geometry, depth, pressure change) is used to predict the resulting surface deformation. The predicted deformation is then compared to the observed geodetic data (from GNSS or InSAR) to validate the reservoir model. Discrepancies between the prediction and observation can highlight inaccuracies in the assumed reservoir parameters.
- **Inverse Modeling:** This is a more powerful application where the observed surface deformation is used as an input to constrain and estimate unknown reservoir parameters. By using inversion algorithms, researchers can infer key characteristics such as the depth and geometry of the pressure source, the magnitude of the pressure change, and the poroelastic properties of the reservoir and surrounding formations (Segall, 2010). For example, the spatial extent of the subsidence bowl is strongly related to the depth of the reservoir, while the magnitude of deformation is linked to the pressure change and the rock's compressibility.

3.2 Synergistic Data Integration

Recent advancements have focused on overcoming the limitations of individual techniques through data fusion. The high temporal resolution of GNSS is used to "anchor" and calibrate the spatially dense InSAR data. By integrating the two, it is possible to decompose the 1D InSAR LOS measurements into vertical and horizontal components, providing a much more complete picture of the 3D deformation field (Samsonov & d'Oreye, 2017). Furthermore, assimilating geodetic data with traditional datasets—such as well pressure measurements, production volumes, and seismic interpretations—into a unified geomechanical model leads to a highly constrained and robust understanding of the reservoir system. This integrated approach minimizes ambiguities inherent in any single data type and provides a holistic view of reservoir behavior.

4. Advanced Applications in Reservoir Management

The maturation of geodetic monitoring has enabled several advanced applications crucial for modern reservoir management:

- **Assessing Caprock Integrity and Fault Stability:** The caprock is an impermeable layer that seals the reservoir, preventing gas from migrating upwards. Anomalous deformation patterns, such as sharp gradients or localized uplift/subsidence away from the main injection/extraction zone, can indicate caprock fracturing or the reactivation of pre-existing faults (Teatini et al., 2011). Monitoring these signals with InSAR provides an early warning system for potential leakage pathways, which is critical for both safety and environmental protection.
- **Optimizing Underground Gas Storage (UGS):** UGS facilities are subjected to regular, high-pressure injection and withdrawal cycles, which induce cyclic stress on the reservoir and caprock. Geodetic data provides a direct measure of the geomechanical response to these cycles. By monitoring the deformation, operators can ensure that pressure limits are not exceeded, preventing rock fatigue and preserving the long-term integrity and storage capacity of the facility.
- **Application to Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS):** The methodologies developed for natural gas reservoirs are directly transferable to the monitoring of geological CO2 sequestration sites. Ensuring the permanent and safe storage of CO2 is the primary objective of CCS, and geodetic monitoring offers a proven, cost-effective method to detect surface uplift due to CO2 injection, verify plume containment, and provide early detection of potential leaks (Vasco et al., 2010).

5. Future Directions and Conclusion

The role of geodesy in monitoring subsurface gas reservoirs continues to evolve. The future lies in the exploitation of new satellite missions, such as the NASA-ISRO Synthetic Aperture Radar (NISAR) mission, which will provide unprecedented data quality and frequency. The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms is poised to revolutionize data processing, helping to automate atmospheric corrections for InSAR and accelerate complex inverse modeling. The ultimate goal is to move towards near-real-time data assimilation frameworks, where geodetic data streams are continuously fed into dynamic reservoir models to guide operational decisions.

In conclusion, geodetic monitoring is no longer a peripheral research tool but a mainstream, operational component of reservoir management. By providing spatially and temporally dense measurements of surface deformation, GNSS and InSAR offer unparalleled insights into the geomechanical behavior of subsurface gas reservoirs. When coupled with poroelastic modeling and integrated with other geological and engineering data, these techniques enable enhanced characterization of reservoir properties, improved assessment of operational risks, and optimized management strategies. As the world continues to rely on natural gas and explores new energy solutions like CCS, the importance of advanced geodetic monitoring will only continue to grow, ensuring these critical subsurface resources are managed safely, efficiently, and sustainably.

References

Bawden, G. W., Thatcher, W., Stein, R. S., Hudnut, K. W., & Peltzer, G. (2001). Tectonic contraction across Los Angeles after removal of groundwater pumping effects. *Nature*, *412*(6849), 812–815.

Biot, M. A. (1941). General theory of three-dimensional consolidation. *Journal of Applied Physics*, *12*(2), 155–164.

Evans, D. J., & Chadwick, R. A. (2009). *Underground gas storage: Worldwide experiences and future development*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 313.

Fialko, Y. (2006). Interseismic strain accumulation and the earthquake potential on the southern San Andreas fault system. *Nature*, *441*(7096), 968–971.

Samsonov, S. V., & d'Oreye, N. (2017). Multidimensional Small Baseline Subset (MSBAS) for two-dimensional deformation analysis: Case study of the Virunga volcanic chain and mountain gorillas habitat. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, *43*(1), 42–54.

Segall, P. (2010). *Earthquake and volcano deformation*. Princeton University Press.

Teatini, P., Castelletto, N., & Ferronato, M. (2011). Geomechanical response to seasonal gas storage in a depleted gas field: A case study in the Po River Basin, Italy. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *116*(B9).

Vasco, D. W., Rucci, A., Ferretti, A., Novali, F., Bissell, R. C., Ringrose, P. S., ... & Mathieson, A. S. (2010). Satellite-based measurements of surface deformation reveal fluid flow patterns in a producing hydrocarbon reservoir. *Geophysics*, *75*(5), 1WA121-1WA132.