Paradigm Academic Press
Journal of Innovations in Medical Research
ISSN 2788-7022
OCT. 2025 VOL.4, NO.5

Epileptogenesis as a Multilevel Process: Cellular, Circuit, and Network Mechanisms

Javier Santos[1]

[1] University of Granada, Spain

Correspondence: Javier Santos, University of Granada, Spain.

doi:10.63593/JIMR.2788-7022.2025.10.006

Abstract

Epileptogenesis represents the gradual transformation of the brain from a normal to a chronically hyperexcitable and hypersynchronous state. This paper proposes a unified multilevel framework describing epileptogenesis as a hierarchical and dynamic process spanning molecular, cellular, circuit, and network domains. At the molecular level, dysregulation of ion channels, neurotransmitter receptors, and chloride homeostasis disrupts excitability. At the cellular level, altered synaptic transmission, receptor trafficking, and maladaptive plasticity reinforce hyperexcitability and disinhibition. At the circuit level, microcircuit reorganization—through interneuron loss, mossy fiber sprouting, and impaired feedback inhibition—produces local oscillatory instability. At the network level, large-scale reconfiguration of functional connectivity and synchronization transforms regional disturbances into global epileptic dynamics. Glial and immune mechanisms modulate these processes by shaping extracellular homeostasis, inflammation, and blood–brain barrier integrity. Across these layers, feedback loops couple molecular defects with network behavior, producing self-reinforcing cycles of excitation and maladaptive remodeling. Temporally, epileptogenesis progresses through acute, latent, and chronic phases, each characterized by distinct but interconnected processes. The paper synthesizes these mechanisms into a cascade model emphasizing cross-scale feedback, critical transitions, and loss of resilience as defining features. This integrative perspective reframes epilepsy not as a static condition of recurrent seizures but as a systems-level reorganization driven by multilevel interactions. Mechanism-based classification and intervention strategies are discussed, emphasizing the transition from symptomatic control to causal correction. By linking molecular neurobiology, synaptic physiology, and network theory, the paper advances a comprehensive conceptual architecture for understanding, preventing, and reversing epileptogenesis.

Keywords: epileptogenesis, synaptic plasticity, network synchronization, neuroinflammation, critical transitions, systems neuroscience, mechanism-based classification, network reorganization, maladaptive plasticity

1. Introduction

Epileptogenesis is the progressive transformation of a structurally and functionally stable brain into one predisposed to generate recurrent, spontaneous seizures. The process involves a gradual reorganization of neural function across molecular, cellular, circuit, and network domains. Rather than a discrete event, it unfolds as a continuum of interdependent alterations that reshape the excitatory–inhibitory equilibrium and the architecture of neuronal communication. The phenomenon embodies the principle of systems reconfiguration, where minor molecular disturbances can propagate upward to modify the behavior of large-scale brain networks.

In its broadest conception, epileptogenesis describes a deviation from neural homeostasis that becomes self-reinforcing. The normal brain operates through dynamic balance, adjusting its activity through feedback mechanisms that preserve stability. When the regulation of excitability, plasticity, or metabolic support becomes chronically impaired, this balance deteriorates, allowing the emergence of hyperexcitable assemblies. Such assemblies develop their own internal dynamics, often independent of external sensory or behavioral demands,

producing spontaneous discharges that evolve into seizures.

Traditional models of epilepsy have sought to localize its origin either to the molecular domain, emphasizing ion channel mutations and neurotransmitter receptor dysfunctions, or to the macroscopic level of network synchronization observed in electrophysiological recordings. Each perspective captures a necessary but insufficient component of the process. The molecular approach identifies the genetic and biophysical substrates of excitability, yet it cannot account for the emergence of coherent seizure activity that spans multiple brain regions. The network approach characterizes large-scale synchronization, yet it does not explain how microscopic perturbations accumulate into system-wide instability.

A multilevel perspective unifies these views by recognizing that epileptogenesis is distributed across a hierarchy of organizational scales. The *cellular level* forms the substrate of neural signaling through the properties of neuronal membranes, ionic currents, and synaptic transmission. Variations in ion channel kinetics or receptor expression alter the responsiveness of individual neurons to synaptic inputs. The *circuit level* organizes these neurons into local assemblies whose connectivity determines how excitation and inhibition are integrated. Circuit motifs, such as recurrent excitatory loops or inhibitory feedback pathways, define the microdynamics that regulate local synchrony. The *network level* represents the macroscopic coordination of distant brain regions, governed by both anatomical connectivity and dynamic coupling. At this scale, seizures emerge as global states of synchronization that reflect the cumulative instability of underlying circuits.

The relationship among these levels is neither linear nor unidirectional. Alterations at one level can induce compensatory or amplifying changes at another. A mutation in a sodium channel gene can modify action potential threshold, which reshapes firing patterns within a circuit. Recurrent circuit activation strengthens excitatory connections, reinforcing network synchrony. Network synchronization in turn modifies gene expression through activity-dependent signaling pathways. Epileptogenesis thus becomes a circular process in which feedback across scales transforms temporary disturbances into stable pathological patterns.

The concept of hierarchy in systems neuroscience provides a framework for understanding this progression. Each level of organization possesses emergent properties that cannot be fully inferred from the level below it, yet each remains constrained by lower-level mechanisms. The neuron’s membrane potential constrains synaptic integration; the circuit’s topology constrains network synchrony; the network’s global activity constrains individual neuronal firing. Epileptogenesis operates through perturbations that exploit these dependencies, gradually reconfiguring the rules of interaction among components.

Defining epileptogenesis as a multilevel process has conceptual and clinical implications. It directs attention away from isolated lesions or single molecular defects toward the dynamic processes that connect them. The aim is not to identify a singular origin of seizures but to map the causal chains linking microstructural alterations to system-level instability. Such an approach enables mechanistic explanations that traverse scales and offers theoretical grounding for interventions that target the propagation of dysfunction rather than its final manifestation.

Understanding epileptogenesis within this framework requires a synthesis of biophysics, neurophysiology, and network science. The task is to describe how the biophysical properties of cells contribute to emergent circuit behavior and how circuit interactions form the substrate for global synchronization. A successful model must capture not only the triggers of seizure generation but also the mechanisms by which transient disruptions consolidate into chronic epileptic states.

The objective of the present paper is to develop such a unified account. It will analyze epileptogenesis as an emergent system of cascading mechanisms, tracing the transformation from molecular perturbations to network-level reorganization. The analysis will emphasize the interdependence of cellular excitability, synaptic plasticity, circuit connectivity, and large-scale synchronization. By integrating these dimensions, it seeks to clarify how epileptogenesis evolves as a multilevel process and how this perspective can inform new strategies for prevention and treatment.

2. Conceptual Foundations of Epileptogenesis

The conceptual history of epileptogenesis reflects a gradual expansion from the neuron-centered view of hyperexcitability to a systemic understanding of the brain as a self-organizing network. In early physiological research, seizures were attributed primarily to excessive firing of individual neurons. The discovery that electrical stimulation could induce epileptiform discharges led to the assumption that intrinsic membrane instability constituted the core of epilepsy. Over time, as electrophysiological and imaging technologies advanced, it became clear that seizures represent a coordinated phenomenon that recruits vast populations of neurons distributed across multiple brain regions. The focus of inquiry shifted from isolated neurons to the collective properties of neural systems.

The term *epileptogenesis* designates the entire process through which a previously normal brain develops the

capacity for spontaneous recurrent seizures. It differs from seizure generation, which is the immediate mechanism by which a single epileptic event occurs. Epileptogenesis encompasses the latent period during which structural, molecular, and functional modifications accumulate silently, eventually establishing a state of persistent hyperexcitability. This distinction is fundamental because it separates the transient electrophysiological events of a seizure from the enduring biological transformation that allows such events to reappear.

The phenomenon is not uniform. It may follow an acute brain insult such as trauma, infection, or prolonged seizures, or arise gradually through genetic or developmental anomalies. In each case, the underlying principle remains that repeated perturbations reshape the excitatory–inhibitory equilibrium and modify synaptic and network organization. The brain transitions from a dynamic system that resists perturbation to one that amplifies it.

The *kindling model* illustrates this transformation. In experimental animals, repeated subthreshold electrical or chemical stimulations of certain brain regions progressively lower the threshold for seizure induction. Initially, the same stimulus elicits only brief afterdischarges. With repetition, it produces prolonged events and, eventually, spontaneous seizures. This progressive facilitation demonstrates that epileptogenesis involves long-term plastic changes within neural circuits. The kindled brain retains a memory of past excitations, embedded in modified synaptic strength, altered receptor composition, and reorganized connectivity.

Three concepts frame the theoretical core of epileptogenesis: excitability, synchrony, and plasticity. Excitability denotes the intrinsic ability of neurons to respond to synaptic input with action potentials. It depends on ion channel properties, resting membrane potential, and intracellular signaling. In epileptogenesis, excitability becomes persistently elevated, either through increased inward currents, reduced inhibitory tone, or altered modulation of voltage-gated channels.

Synchrony describes the temporal coordination of neuronal activity within and across populations. In physiological conditions, synchrony supports information encoding and oscillatory rhythms. When synchronization escapes inhibitory regulation, it turns into hypersynchrony, the hallmark of seizure activity. The degree and pattern of synchrony determine whether neural assemblies function as coherent processing units or pathological generators.

Plasticity represents the capacity of synapses and circuits to modify their strength and organization in response to activity. It provides the mechanism through which transient excitatory events become structurally consolidated. Long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) are physiological expressions of plasticity, but when regulatory mechanisms fail, these same processes can promote maladaptive reinforcement of excitatory pathways. In epileptogenesis, plasticity loses its homeostatic balance and becomes a vector for pathological stability.

Homeostasis operates as the fourth implicit element linking these processes. Neural systems maintain stability through negative feedback mechanisms that regulate firing rates, receptor expression, and synaptic scaling. When sustained stress or injury exceeds the capacity of these mechanisms, the feedback becomes maladaptive. Excitatory neurons increase connectivity to compensate for inhibition loss, interneurons undergo exhaustion, and glial cells alter extracellular ion concentrations. The resulting environment favors runaway excitation, completing the transition to a self-sustaining epileptic state.

Historically, theoretical models of epilepsy have alternated between reductionism and holism. The reductionist approach identifies specific molecular or cellular defects, providing clarity at the cost of systemic coherence. The holistic approach emphasizes emergent properties of neural networks but often lacks mechanistic precision. A balanced model requires the integration of both perspectives, where molecular abnormalities are interpreted as initiating perturbations that evolve through circuit dynamics into network-level phenomena.

Epileptogenesis can thus be seen as a multistage reconfiguration of the brain’s internal dynamics. The process begins with molecular disturbances that alter excitability, progresses through changes in synaptic organization that modify local circuitry, and culminates in the emergence of large-scale synchrony. The transformation is cumulative and self-reinforcing, reflecting the interaction of adaptive and maladaptive plasticity.

A central conceptual insight is that epileptogenesis represents a failure of neural adaptability rather than a mere excess of excitation. The normal brain adapts continuously to maintain equilibrium between plasticity and stability. In the epileptogenic brain, adaptive mechanisms are distorted into mechanisms of persistence. Instead of restoring balance, activity-dependent processes amplify instability. The system acquires new attractor states corresponding to pathological rhythms, and once established, these attractors constrain future activity patterns.

This understanding reframes epilepsy from a static pathology to a dynamic disease process. It emphasizes temporal evolution and causal hierarchy rather than static lesions or symptom clusters. The shift from describing seizures to explaining epileptogenesis aligns epilepsy research with broader systems neuroscience, which seeks

to understand how complex networks maintain and lose stability.

By grounding the concept of epileptogenesis in excitability, synchrony, plasticity, and homeostasis, one obtains a foundation for integrating observations across scales. The next sections explore how these principles manifest in cellular mechanisms involving ion channels and receptor dynamics, and how they propagate upward to circuits and networks.

3. Cellular-Level Mechanisms: Ion Channels and Membrane Excitability

At the cellular level, epileptogenesis originates in the fundamental properties of the neuronal membrane. The excitability of a neuron depends on the balance between depolarizing and hyperpolarizing currents generated by ion channels embedded in the membrane. These channels establish the resting membrane potential, shape the action potential, and regulate the refractory period that controls firing frequency. Small perturbations in their function can shift the excitatory–inhibitory equilibrium toward instability, allowing neurons to fire in bursts or in response to subthreshold stimuli.

3.1 Voltage-Gated Sodium Channels

Voltage-gated sodium channels initiate the rapid depolarization that defines the action potential. Mutations in genes such as SCN1A, SCN2A, or SCN8A alter the kinetics of activation and inactivation, extending the period during which sodium conductance remains open. A prolonged inward sodium current reduces the threshold for action potential initiation and increases the likelihood of repetitive firing. In inhibitory interneurons, loss-of-function mutations in SCN1A diminish their capacity to regulate network excitation. In excitatory pyramidal neurons, gain-of-function mutations amplify the depolarizing drive. Both outcomes converge on an increased probability of synchronized discharge within local circuits. The dynamics of sodium channel gating also interact with intracellular signaling pathways. Persistent sodium current elevation modifies calcium handling through secondary activation of voltage-dependent calcium channels. This coupling between sodium and calcium signaling creates a bridge between membrane excitability and intracellular plasticity mechanisms that contribute to the consolidation of hyperexcitability.

3.2 Potassium Channels and Repolarization

Potassium channels provide the counterforce that restores the resting potential after depolarization. They determine the duration of the action potential and set the neuronal firing threshold. Defective delayed rectifier channels slow repolarization, leading to afterdepolarizations and repetitive firing. Mutations in channels such as KCNQ2 or KCNQ3 reduce the M-current, a non-inactivating potassium current that normally dampens excitatory bursts. The loss of this stabilizing influence promotes rhythmic oscillations characteristic of epileptiform activity. Inwardly rectifying potassium channels contribute to resting potential maintenance and extracellular potassium buffering. Impaired function elevates extracellular potassium concentration, which depolarizes neighboring neurons, producing a local environment of increased excitability. These local changes propagate through glial networks and disrupt regional ionic equilibrium, linking cellular malfunction to tissue-level instability.

3.3 Calcium Channels and Intracellular Signaling

Calcium channels are both mediators of excitability and messengers of cellular plasticity. During an action potential, voltage-gated calcium channels open briefly, allowing influx of calcium ions that trigger neurotransmitter release and activate intracellular enzymes. Dysregulation of these channels alters both synaptic transmission and gene expression. T-type calcium channels, prominent in thalamic neurons, promote rhythmic burst firing when persistently activated. L-type calcium channels influence transcriptional activity and can initiate signaling cascades that lead to structural remodeling.

Chronic calcium overload induces oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and activation of calcium-dependent proteases. These processes alter membrane proteins and receptor composition, embedding hyperexcitability within the molecular architecture of the cell. In epileptogenesis, calcium signaling becomes a central mediator of the transition from reversible excitatory responses to irreversible structural and functional change.

3.4 Chloride Homeostasis and GABAergic Polarity

The efficacy of inhibitory transmission depends on the chloride gradient across the neuronal membrane. Under physiological conditions, low intracellular chloride concentration ensures that activation of GABA_A receptors results in hyperpolarization. The cotransporters KCC2 and NKCC1 maintain this gradient by extruding and importing chloride, respectively. In many epileptogenic conditions, KCC2 expression decreases or NKCC1 expression increases, leading to intracellular chloride accumulation.

This shift reverses the polarity of GABAergic signaling. Instead of producing inhibition, GABA_A receptor activation depolarizes neurons, converting an inhibitory system into an excitatory one. The paradoxical

excitation disrupts synchronization control within local circuits and undermines inhibitory restraint. Altered chloride homeostasis thus transforms a protective mechanism into a driver of epileptogenesis.

3.5 Integration and Excitation–Inhibition Imbalance

Each class of ion channel contributes to the cell's electrical identity. The combined effect of altered sodium, potassium, calcium, and chloride conductances produces a cumulative increase in excitability that is not simply additive. These channels interact dynamically, and modification of one conductance changes the effective range of others. The result is a shift in the balance between excitation and inhibition that favors repetitive or synchronous firing.

The excitation–inhibition imbalance forms the foundation for the higher-level manifestations of epileptogenesis. At the single-cell level, increased firing probability enhances the likelihood of simultaneous discharges among connected neurons. At the population level, these discharges synchronize, producing oscillatory instability that can propagate through circuits. The pathological synchronization observed in seizures originates in this cellular imbalance, magnified by the network's intrinsic connectivity.

3.6 Channelopathies as Starting Points of Epileptogenesis

Inherited or acquired channelopathies serve as primary initiators of epileptogenesis in many forms of epilepsy. Mutations affecting sodium or potassium channels, alterations in calcium channel expression, and disruption of chloride transporters can arise from genetic defects or secondary processes such as inflammation or hypoxia. Each initiates a cascade of compensatory responses intended to restore stability. These compensations, however, often introduce new forms of instability. For example, upregulation of excitatory receptors compensates for reduced depolarization but enhances the overall excitatory drive.

Channelopathies demonstrate how localized molecular defects propagate across scales. A single amino acid substitution in a channel protein can alter neuronal firing patterns, reshape synaptic dynamics, and ultimately reconfigure network oscillations. The transition from molecular disturbance to system-level pathology exemplifies the multilevel nature of epileptogenesis.

3.7 The Cellular Context of Systemic Transformation

The neuron does not operate in isolation. Its membrane properties are influenced by extracellular ion concentrations, glial regulation, and metabolic state. Epileptogenesis at the cellular level involves both intrinsic membrane dysfunction and extrinsic modulation. Astrocytic potassium and glutamate buffering, microglial cytokine signaling, and vascular permeability contribute to the environment in which neuronal excitability is expressed. The cellular phase of epileptogenesis is therefore not a discrete stage but the foundational substrate for subsequent circuit and network reorganization. The altered excitability of individual neurons sets the conditions for maladaptive plasticity and synchronization, which define the next stages of the epileptogenic process.

4. Cellular-Level Mechanisms: Neurotransmitter Systems and Receptor Dynamics

The transition from isolated neuronal hyperexcitability to organized epileptiform activity depends not only on ionic conductances but also on the modulation of neurotransmitter systems. The molecular architecture of synaptic receptors determines how excitation and inhibition are transmitted, integrated, and modified by activity. Epileptogenesis involves progressive alterations in glutamatergic and GABAergic transmission, receptor trafficking, and neuromodulatory signaling. These processes reshape synaptic efficacy and render the network prone to excessive synchronization.

4.1 Glutamatergic Transmission and Receptor Dysregulation

Glutamate is the principal excitatory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system. Its receptors, classified as ionotropic (AMPA, NMDA, kainate) and metabotropic (mGluR), regulate both fast and slow components of excitatory transmission. The balance among these receptor systems ensures that excitatory drive remains temporally and spatially controlled. During epileptogenesis, this balance deteriorates.

AMPA receptors mediate rapid depolarization through sodium influx. Overexpression of GluA1-containing subunits, reduced receptor desensitization, or impaired endocytosis leads to prolonged excitatory currents. In models of temporal lobe epilepsy, synaptic AMPA receptor density increases significantly in granule cells and pyramidal neurons, amplifying excitatory postsynaptic potentials. These molecular adjustments promote persistent depolarization that sustains network hyperactivity.

NMDA receptors are voltage- and ligand-gated, serving as coincidence detectors for synaptic plasticity. Their prolonged activation permits calcium entry that drives transcriptional programs and structural remodeling. Under pathological conditions, excessive NMDA receptor activity induces calcium overload, mitochondrial stress, and excitotoxic injury. The resulting neuronal loss and reactive synaptogenesis create circuits with heightened

excitatory connectivity. This process exemplifies how cellular-level receptor dysregulation translates into circuit reorganization.

Kainate receptors, though less studied, modulate presynaptic release probability. Their overactivation enhances glutamate release, establishing a feedback loop that reinforces hyperexcitability. Abnormal activation of group I metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR1 and mGluR5) also contributes by stimulating phospholipase C signaling and intracellular calcium release. This pathway facilitates long-term potentiation of excitatory synapses beyond physiological limits, converting adaptive plasticity into maladaptive persistence.

4.2 GABAergic Transmission and the Loss of Inhibitory Tone

The inhibitory system centered on GABA counterbalances excitatory transmission. GABAergic interneurons synchronize neuronal populations and prevent runaway excitation. Epileptogenesis involves both quantitative and qualitative deterioration of this system. Reduction in the number or function of inhibitory interneurons decreases network stability. Selective vulnerability of parvalbumin-positive and somatostatin-positive interneurons has been documented in chronic epilepsy, leading to a diminished capacity for phasic and tonic inhibition.

At the receptor level, GABA_A receptors mediate fast inhibitory currents through chloride conductance. Alterations in subunit composition modify receptor kinetics and pharmacological sensitivity. Downregulation of α1 subunits and upregulation of α4 subunits shift receptor properties toward slower, less effective inhibition. Concurrent loss of GABA_B receptor function weakens slow inhibitory postsynaptic potentials that normally regulate network oscillations.

Decreased synthesis of GABA, caused by reduced expression of glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD67), limits inhibitory neurotransmitter availability. Impaired vesicular GABA transport further diminishes inhibitory efficacy. Together these changes produce a state of disinhibition, allowing excitatory neurons to fire more readily and in synchrony.

4.3 Metabotropic and Neuromodulatory Contributions

Beyond fast synaptic transmission, neuromodulators exert long-term influence on excitability. Metabotropic glutamate receptors, dopamine, acetylcholine, and serotonin systems shape the excitability landscape through second messenger cascades. In epileptogenesis, persistent alterations in these pathways modify receptor sensitivity and neuronal responsiveness.

For example, activation of mGluR5 in the hippocampus enhances excitatory transmission through phosphatidylinositol signaling and protein kinase C activation. Chronic overactivation of this pathway leads to increased NMDA receptor phosphorylation and enhanced calcium permeability. Dopaminergic D2 receptor downregulation weakens inhibitory feedback in cortico-striatal circuits. Alterations in cholinergic signaling influence thalamocortical synchronization, affecting rhythmic control that distinguishes physiological oscillations from epileptiform bursts.

These neuromodulatory imbalances are not transient. Receptor sensitivity and intracellular signaling efficiency adapt to persistent network activity, embedding the hyperexcitable state within the cellular biochemistry of the neuron.

4.4 Receptor Trafficking and Activity-Dependent Remodeling

Synaptic strength depends not only on receptor quantity but also on their spatial distribution and trafficking dynamics. In physiological conditions, synaptic activity induces receptor insertion or removal to maintain balance between excitation and inhibition. During epileptogenesis, these regulatory mechanisms are altered.

Sustained high-frequency activity enhances surface expression of AMPA and NMDA receptors while reducing GABA_A receptor clustering. The process involves phosphorylation of receptor subunits and modifications in scaffold proteins such as gephyrin and PSD-95. The net effect is a persistent enhancement of excitatory drive coupled with weakening of inhibitory control.

Endocytosis of inhibitory receptors or impaired recycling prevents restoration of inhibitory balance after excitatory bursts. Synapses thus retain a hyperexcitable profile, even in the absence of external stimuli. This form of plasticity constitutes a molecular memory of epileptogenic activity, ensuring that transient events have long-term consequences for network excitability.

4.5 Neuropeptides and Seizure Susceptibility

Neuropeptides act as fine regulators of excitability and network synchronization. Neuropeptide Y (NPY), somatostatin, and galanin exert inhibitory modulation by suppressing glutamate release or enhancing potassium conductance. In epileptogenic tissue, expression of these peptides is often reduced, eliminating an important buffering mechanism. Loss of NPY in hippocampal interneurons removes presynaptic inhibition of excitatory

terminals, increasing glutamate release. Decreased somatostatin reduces inhibitory tone within dendritic compartments, facilitating dendritic calcium spikes that sustain synchronous discharges.

Conversely, some peptides such as substance P or corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) promote excitation through presynaptic facilitation. Elevated levels of these excitatory peptides have been reported in chronic epilepsy, suggesting a complex reorganization of peptidergic modulation during epileptogenesis.

4.6 Integrated Perspective

The cumulative effect of receptor dysregulation, inhibitory failure, and neuromodulatory imbalance transforms the neuron into an amplifier of excitation. Receptor trafficking and transcriptional adaptations ensure that this transformation persists long after the initiating insult. Cellular excitability becomes an intrinsic property rather than a temporary state. From a systems viewpoint, these molecular alterations constitute the microfoundations of epileptogenesis. The neuron ceases to function as an independent computational unit and becomes part of a self-reinforcing ensemble that favors synchronous activity. Such ensembles form the seeds of hyperexcitable circuits, linking the cellular level to the circuit-level dynamics that will later dominate epileptic pathology.

5. Glial Mechanisms in Epileptogenesis

Epileptogenesis cannot be understood solely in neuronal terms. Glial cells, once regarded as passive structural support, are now recognized as active regulators of ionic balance, neurotransmitter recycling, and metabolic stability. Astrocytes, microglia, and oligodendrocytes shape the extracellular milieu that determines neuronal excitability. During epileptogenesis, these cells undergo functional and morphological transformations that sustain hyperexcitability, propagate inflammatory signaling, and weaken homeostatic control. The glial contribution converts local disturbances into system-wide instability, providing a bridge between molecular pathology and network reorganization.

5.1 Astrocytic Regulation of Glutamate Homeostasis

Astrocytes maintain extracellular glutamate concentration through high-affinity transporters, primarily EAAT1 (GLAST) and EAAT2 (GLT-1). These transporters prevent excitotoxic accumulation of glutamate in the synaptic cleft. In epileptogenic tissue, expression or function of EAAT2 is often reduced, leading to inefficient glutamate clearance. The resultant elevation in extracellular glutamate increases activation of postsynaptic receptors and promotes sustained depolarization.

Astrocytic processes envelop synapses and form the structural basis for tripartite synaptic regulation. When these processes retract under inflammatory or oxidative stress, diffusion of glutamate extends beyond its intended synaptic target, producing spillover excitation in adjacent neurons. This spatial diffusion enhances synchrony by linking neighboring neurons through shared excitatory fields.

5.2 Potassium Buffering and Kir4.1 Dysfunction

Astrocytes also regulate extracellular potassium through Kir4.1 inwardly rectifying potassium channels. During intense neuronal activity, potassium accumulates in the extracellular space. Astrocytes absorb this excess and redistribute it through gap-junction networks in a process known as spatial buffering. Impairment of Kir4.1 function or downregulation of its expression elevates extracellular potassium concentration, depolarizing neurons and lowering their firing threshold.

Experimental deletion of Kir4.1 in astrocytes reproduces key electrophysiological features of epilepsy, including spontaneous epileptiform discharges. In human epileptic tissue, reduced Kir4.1 expression correlates with seizure frequency. The failure of astrocytic potassium buffering exemplifies how glial dysfunction can shift the ionic equilibrium of entire networks, independent of neuronal mutations or receptor pathology.

5.3 Gap Junctions and Glial Network Synchronization

Astrocytes are interconnected by gap junctions composed primarily of connexin proteins **Cx43** and **Cx30**. These junctions permit the diffusion of ions and metabolites, enabling astrocytic networks to regulate extracellular composition over wide regions. Alterations in connexin expression during epileptogenesis modify intercellular communication. In some contexts, enhanced coupling facilitates the spread of calcium waves that synchronize astrocytic activity. The propagated calcium transients can trigger glutamate release through vesicular and hemichannel pathways, thereby exciting adjacent neurons.

Conversely, excessive uncoupling isolates astrocytic domains, reducing their capacity to buffer ions efficiently. Both extremes disturb homeostasis and contribute to network instability. The duality of gap-junctional changes reveals that astrocytic networks participate directly in the spatial coordination of excitation.

5.4 Microglial Activation and Neuroinflammation

Microglia function as the immune sentinels of the central nervous system. Under resting conditions, they continuously monitor the microenvironment with highly motile processes. Following injury, infection, or

abnormal neural activity, microglia transition to an activated state characterized by cytokine release and phagocytic activity.

During epileptogenesis, microglial activation becomes chronic. Elevated levels of interleukin-1β (IL-1β), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), and high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) have been observed in epileptic brain regions. These molecules influence neuronal excitability through multiple mechanisms. IL-1β increases NMDA receptor phosphorylation, enhancing calcium permeability and excitatory transmission. TNF-α downregulates surface GABA_A receptors while upregulating AMPA receptors, amplifying the excitatory–inhibitory imbalance. HMGB1 acts on toll-like receptors and RAGE receptors to initiate proinflammatory signaling cascades that perpetuate glial activation.

Microglial-derived cytokines also disrupt astrocytic function, further compromising glutamate uptake and potassium buffering. The resulting neuroinflammatory milieu transforms the microenvironment into a pro-excitatory state. Over time, inflammatory mediators induce structural remodeling, including axonal sprouting and synaptic reorganization, embedding hyperexcitability within the architecture of the network.

5.5 Blood–Brain Barrier Breakdown

The integrity of the blood–brain barrier (BBB) ensures the chemical isolation of neural tissue from circulating factors. Breakdown of this barrier occurs in several epileptogenic conditions, including trauma, infection, and prolonged seizures. When plasma proteins such as albumin enter the parenchyma, they bind to transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) receptors on astrocytes, initiating signaling pathways that alter gene expression and increase neuronal excitability.

Albumin exposure downregulates Kir4.1 and EAAT2, impairs potassium and glutamate homeostasis, and promotes astrocytic transformation into a reactive phenotype. In parallel, leakage of immune mediators across the compromised barrier recruits peripheral immune cells that secrete additional cytokines. This interaction between systemic inflammation and local glial activation sustains the epileptogenic process long after the initial barrier disruption has resolved.

5.6 Metabolic Coupling and Energy Dynamics

Glial cells contribute to neuronal energy metabolism through the astrocyte–neuron lactate shuttle. Neurons depend on astrocytic glycolysis for the rapid provision of lactate during high activity states. In epileptogenesis, chronic overactivity and inflammation impair mitochondrial function in both neurons and astrocytes. The resulting energy deficit hinders reuptake of neurotransmitters and operation of ion pumps, producing ionic disequilibrium and promoting hyperexcitability.

Reactive oxygen species generated by dysfunctional mitochondria further damage membrane proteins and DNA, perpetuating glial and neuronal stress. This metabolic component links cellular energy homeostasis to the electrophysiological expression of seizures.

5.7 Integration of Glial Contributions

Astrocytic and microglial dysfunction, barrier permeability, and metabolic disruption converge to produce a pathological environment that nurtures hyperexcitability. The glial network, normally dedicated to maintaining stability, becomes a positive feedback system that reinforces neuronal excitation. Astrocytes fail to clear glutamate, microglia release proconvulsant cytokines, and vascular leakage introduces additional inflammatory triggers. These interactions transcend single-cell pathology and influence the collective behavior of neuronal populations. Epileptogenesis thus emerges as a multicellular phenomenon. Neurons, glia, and vascular elements form an integrated system where alterations in one component modify the behavior of the others. The cellular microenvironment becomes the foundation for maladaptive plasticity, preparing the ground for the structural and functional reorganization that defines the next stage of the epileptogenic cascade.

6. Synaptic Plasticity and Structural Remodeling

Epileptogenesis depends on enduring alterations in the structure and function of synapses. Synaptic plasticity represents the capacity of neuronal connections to strengthen or weaken in response to activity, forming the biological substrate for learning and memory. Under normal conditions, plasticity supports adaptive refinement of circuit function. In the epileptogenic brain, these same processes become maladaptive, reinforcing excitatory dominance and network instability. Structural remodeling and aberrant plasticity transform transient hyperexcitability into a self-sustaining state.

6.1 Long-Term Potentiation and Long-Term Depression Abnormalities

Long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) govern the bidirectional adjustment of synaptic strength. LTP enhances transmission through increased postsynaptic receptor density, augmented presynaptic neurotransmitter release, and structural enlargement of dendritic spines. LTD reduces synaptic efficacy by the

opposite mechanisms. The balance between these two forms of plasticity maintains the stability of information processing.

In epileptogenesis, LTP-like mechanisms become persistently activated while LTD mechanisms are weakened or absent. Excessive calcium influx through NMDA receptors or voltage-gated calcium channels activates calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) and protein kinase C (PKC), leading to phosphorylation of AMPA receptors and increased synaptic insertion. The resulting synapses display enhanced excitatory transmission that resists normalization.

Impaired LTD further exacerbates this imbalance. Defective activation of phosphatases or metabotropic glutamate receptor pathways prevents removal of AMPA receptors from the postsynaptic membrane. The inability to downscale overactive synapses leaves excitatory connections potentiated indefinitely. This unidirectional strengthening converts synaptic plasticity from a mechanism of learning into a mechanism of pathological memory, encoding hyperexcitability into the network.

6.2 Mossy Fiber Sprouting in Temporal Lobe Epilepsy

Structural reorganization of axons and dendrites provides a morphological correlate of epileptogenic plasticity. The most studied example is mossy fiber sprouting in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus. Under normal conditions, granule cell axons project to CA3 pyramidal neurons and avoid forming recurrent connections within the granule cell layer. After seizures or injury, these axons sprout aberrantly and establish excitatory synapses onto neighboring granule cells.

The new recurrent network creates a feedback loop that allows activity in one granule cell to propagate to others without external input. This loop converts the dentate gyrus, which normally serves as a gate controlling information flow into the hippocampus, into an amplifier of excitatory activity. The sprouted mossy fibers release glutamate onto their own dendritic fields, generating reverberating excitation that underlies seizure propagation.

Mossy fiber sprouting exemplifies how structural plasticity can shift the function of a circuit from filtering to amplification. The phenomenon is activity-dependent and self-reinforcing; repeated seizures promote further sprouting, which increases seizure likelihood, forming a positive feedback cycle that consolidates the epileptogenic state.

6.3 Dendritic Spine Alterations and Synaptic Reorganization

Dendritic spines are the principal sites of excitatory synapses. Their shape and density correlate with synaptic efficacy and plastic potential. In epileptogenic tissue, dendritic spines undergo both proliferation and morphological transformation. Spines may become elongated, thin, or irregular, indicating instability of synaptic structure.

Increased spine density is often observed in regions with recurrent seizures, reflecting compensatory or maladaptive responses to activity. Enlarged spines with greater postsynaptic density area contain higher numbers of AMPA and NMDA receptors, producing stronger excitatory currents. Conversely, loss of inhibitory synapses on the soma and proximal dendrites reduces local control of depolarization. The combination of excessive excitatory spines and diminished inhibitory contacts reshapes the excitatory–inhibitory architecture of the neuron.

At the ultrastructural level, seizure-induced calcium signaling activates actin remodeling pathways that stabilize the enlarged spines. Structural consolidation of excitatory contacts thus parallels the electrophysiological persistence of hyperexcitability.

6.4 Hebbian and Homeostatic Plasticity

Neural systems operate under two complementary forms of plasticity. Hebbian plasticity strengthens synapses based on correlated activity between pre- and postsynaptic neurons. Homeostatic plasticity adjusts overall synaptic strength to maintain stable firing rates across the network. In the epileptogenic brain, this balance is lost. Hebbian mechanisms dominate, driving continual reinforcement of coactive excitatory synapses. Homeostatic feedback that would normally downscale overactive connections becomes ineffective.

Several factors contribute to the collapse of homeostasis. Persistent seizures exhaust intracellular signaling resources required for synaptic scaling. Altered expression of immediate-early genes such as *Arc* and *BDNF* shifts the equilibrium toward potentiation. Disruption of inhibitory tone deprives neurons of the feedback signals necessary to gauge their output relative to network activity. The result is a runaway process of Hebbian strengthening, producing highly interconnected excitatory assemblies.

The dominance of Hebbian plasticity explains why seizures often recruit consistent neuronal populations. The same circuits that have been repeatedly coactivated through seizures become structurally reinforced, establishing

fixed pathways for future synchronization.

6.5 Hyperexcitability as Maladaptive Plasticity

Hyperexcitability in chronic epilepsy can thus be interpreted as the outcome of maladaptive plasticity. The mechanisms that normally encode experience are co-opted to encode pathological synchrony. Synaptic potentiation, dendritic remodeling, and axonal sprouting create a substrate optimized for the recurrence of high-frequency discharges.

At the molecular level, activity-dependent transcription of growth factors and adhesion molecules promotes synaptogenesis in already hyperactive regions. This targeted structural reinforcement perpetuates network instability. The system acquires new attractor states defined by synchronized oscillations, and transitions between these states manifest as seizures.

6.6 The Transition from Cellular to Circuit-Level Organization

The cumulative alterations in synaptic strength and structure represent the link between the cellular and circuit levels of epileptogenesis. Modified connections redefine circuit topology, altering how excitation and inhibition are distributed. Recurrent loops and hyperconnected nodes arise spontaneously from local synaptic changes.

These circuit configurations determine the spatial and temporal dynamics of epileptic activity. Once formed, they exhibit intrinsic rhythmicity and can generate pathological oscillations even in the absence of external input. The circuit becomes a self-organizing entity that sustains its own excitability.

The transition from molecular and cellular dysfunction to circuit-level hyperexcitability marks the emergence of a new hierarchical stage in the epileptogenic process. The following chapter examines this level in detail, exploring how microcircuits and local excitability patterns govern the transformation from localized hyperactivity to network-wide synchronization.

7. Circuit-Level Mechanisms: Microcircuits and Local Excitability

At the circuit level, epileptogenesis is expressed as the progressive distortion of local microcircuits that coordinate excitation and inhibition. Circuits represent the intermediate domain between individual neurons and the global network. Their organization determines how signals are filtered, synchronized, and propagated. When cellular hyperexcitability and synaptic remodeling converge within these structures, the functional architecture of the brain shifts from regulated communication to uncontrolled reverberation.

7.1 The Role of Inhibitory Interneuron Subtypes

Interneurons define the temporal structure of network activity. Their diversity allows multiple forms of inhibitory control across spatial and temporal scales. Parvalbumin-positive (PV+) interneurons provide fast, perisomatic inhibition that synchronizes pyramidal cell firing. Somatostatin-positive (SST+) interneurons regulate dendritic excitability, while vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP+) interneurons modulate inhibition by targeting other interneurons.

In epileptogenesis, selective vulnerability of these interneuron populations undermines inhibitory control. Loss of PV+ cells disrupts gamma oscillations and increases the likelihood of synchronous bursting. Degeneration or functional impairment of SST+ interneurons removes dendritic gating, permitting uncontrolled back-propagation of action potentials. Reduction in VIP+ activity distorts inhibitory balance within microcircuits. The cumulative effect is a weakening of both phasic and tonic inhibition, leaving excitatory cells to dominate local processing.

Deficient interneuron activity also impairs temporal precision. Without coordinated inhibition, neuronal populations lose phase alignment, allowing pathological synchronization to emerge from previously asynchronous firing patterns.

7.2 Feedforward and Feedback Inhibition Failure

Healthy circuits rely on feedforward and feedback inhibition to constrain excitation. In feedforward inhibition, incoming excitatory input activates interneurons that suppress postsynaptic responses, filtering the spread of activity. In feedback inhibition, activated neurons recruit interneurons that suppress their own excitatory output. Both mechanisms act as dynamic stabilizers of network excitability.

Epileptogenesis alters the efficacy of these inhibitory loops. Reduced interneuron density, decreased inhibitory synaptic strength, and altered receptor properties weaken inhibitory timing. The delay or failure of feedforward inhibition allows excitation to reach downstream targets unopposed. Impairment of feedback inhibition removes the brakes that normally terminate excitation. The result is the emergence of self-perpetuating activity within the circuit, a critical step toward epileptic synchronization.

7.3 Recurrent Excitatory Circuits

Excitatory principal neurons form recurrent connections that enhance information integration and sustain

activity. These loops are essential for short-term memory and temporal summation but can become pathological when unbalanced. During epileptogenesis, the density and strength of recurrent excitatory synapses increase through activity-dependent plasticity and axonal sprouting.

Recurrent excitation permits local assemblies to maintain depolarization long after the initial stimulus has ceased. The persistent activity forms a substrate for population bursts and paroxysmal depolarization shifts, the cellular correlate of interictal spikes. In regions such as the hippocampal CA3 and entorhinal cortex, where recurrent collaterals are abundant, the potential for self-sustained excitation is particularly high.

The interaction between recurrent excitation and weakened inhibition creates a circuit-level attractor state characterized by oscillatory instability. This attractor state forms the prototype of a local epileptogenic focus.

7.4 Hippocampal Circuitry and the Dentate Gate Model

The hippocampus serves as a central structure for studying circuit-level epileptogenesis. Its laminar organization and well-defined connectivity reveal how alterations in circuit function lead to seizure propagation. The **dentate gyrus** normally acts as a gate, filtering cortical input before it reaches the excitatory CA3 network. Granule cells are tightly regulated by inhibitory interneurons and possess intrinsic properties that limit repetitive firing.

In the epileptogenic hippocampus, this gating mechanism collapses. Loss of inhibitory interneurons in the hilus, combined with mossy fiber sprouting, generates recurrent excitation within the granule cell layer. The dentate gyrus shifts from a selective filter to a hyperexcitable relay, allowing synchronized discharges to invade the hippocampal network. Once the gate fails, the CA3 and CA1 regions engage in reverberating excitation that can generalize to the neocortex.

This transformation demonstrates how a specific circuit can change its functional role under pathological conditions, from protective barrier to facilitator of epileptic spread.

7.5 Thalamocortical Circuits in Generalized Epilepsy

Generalized epilepsies often involve disturbances in thalamocortical circuitry. The thalamus and cortex form reciprocally connected loops that generate oscillatory rhythms during sleep and attention. These rhythms arise from the interplay between excitatory thalamic relay neurons and inhibitory neurons of the thalamic reticular nucleus. The system produces rhythmic bursts regulated by T-type calcium channels and GABAergic inhibition.

During epileptogenesis, alterations in T-type channel expression and GABA receptor kinetics increase burst propensity. The oscillatory rhythm transforms into a hypersynchronous spike–wave pattern characteristic of absence seizures. Cortical hyperexcitability further amplifies thalamic input, producing generalized discharges that synchronize large brain regions.

This phenomenon underscores that epileptogenesis is not confined to local circuits but can emerge from dysregulation of rhythmic loops that coordinate distant structures.

7.6 Circuit Motifs Predisposing to Runaway Excitation

Certain structural motifs predispose circuits to instability when homeostatic mechanisms fail. These include high recurrence ratios, convergence of excitatory inputs, and disinhibitory feedback. Circuits containing excitatory–excitatory feedback with delayed inhibition are particularly prone to oscillations that can become epileptiform.

The degree of vulnerability depends on connectivity density and synaptic strength. In densely connected cortical microcolumns, minimal reduction in inhibition or enhancement of synaptic efficacy can trigger population bursts. Computational models demonstrate that small parametric changes in these motifs lead to bifurcations from stable to oscillatory states, illustrating how microscopic reorganization translates into macroscopic instability.

7.7 Emergent Local Dynamics

The transformation of microcircuit dynamics during epileptogenesis reflects the emergent properties of complex systems. Local interactions among excitatory and inhibitory neurons create nonlinear feedback loops capable of generating oscillations, bistability, and critical transitions. Once these circuits reach a threshold of instability, minor perturbations trigger large-scale events such as seizures.

At this level, epileptogenesis represents a transition from regulated, high-dimensional dynamics to low-dimensional attractor states dominated by rhythmic synchronization. The circuit's repertoire of possible activity patterns narrows, reducing functional flexibility.

7.8 The Mesoscale Bridge

Circuit-level alterations bridge cellular pathology and network synchronization. The hyperexcitable circuit acts as a local oscillator that entrains neighboring regions through anatomical and functional connectivity. Repeated

activation strengthens these connections, creating corridors of synchronized activity that extend across the brain.

Thus, epileptogenesis at the circuit level provides the scaffolding for the next phase: the emergence of large-scale oscillatory disturbances and pathological network synchronization.

8. Circuit-Level Mechanisms: Disrupted Oscillations and Rhythmicity

Epileptogenesis alters not only the architecture of local circuits but also their temporal coordination. Neural oscillations are the rhythmic patterns of electrical activity that organize communication among neuronal populations. They define the timing of information flow and synchronize activity across spatial scales. Each frequency band—from gamma to delta—reflects the collective dynamics of inhibitory and excitatory interactions within circuits. When the balance of these interactions collapses, the rhythms that sustain functional communication degenerate into pathological synchronization.

8.1 The Nature of Oscillatory Dynamics

Oscillations arise from the interplay between excitatory principal neurons and inhibitory interneurons. Fast gamma oscillations depend on rapid GABAergic inhibition mediated by parvalbumin-positive interneurons, while slower theta and delta rhythms involve complex feedback loops between cortical and subcortical structures. These rhythmic activities maintain temporal precision and enable selective coordination among neurons that represent related information.

In the epileptogenic brain, the mechanisms that generate oscillations remain active but become misaligned. The temporal coordination that once supported functional computation now facilitates hypersynchrony. The transformation is not a simple amplification of normal rhythms but a reconfiguration of their underlying structure.

8.2 Breakdown of Gamma Oscillations

Gamma oscillations (30–80 Hz) are crucial for perceptual binding and cognitive processing. They depend on synchronous inhibitory postsynaptic potentials generated by PV+ interneurons. The loss or dysfunction of these interneurons during epileptogenesis disrupts gamma coherence. Instead of producing tightly phase-locked inhibition, the remaining interneurons fire irregularly, leading to fragmented oscillations.

The reduction in gamma stability removes a key mechanism that prevents low-frequency rhythms from dominating cortical activity. As gamma power declines, slower oscillations gain prominence, creating conditions for pathological synchronization. This shift in frequency dominance reflects a fundamental reorganization of circuit timing and hierarchy.

8.3 Thalamocortical Oscillatory Loops

The thalamus and cortex interact through reciprocal connections that generate rhythmic activity central to consciousness and sleep. Thalamic relay neurons and reticular inhibitory neurons form oscillatory loops controlled by T-type calcium currents and GABAergic feedback. These loops produce sleep spindles and alpha rhythms in healthy brains.

In epileptogenesis, hyperactivation of T-type channels and reduced inhibitory tone distort the normal rhythmic balance. The oscillatory loop becomes excessively resonant, producing bursts of synchronized activity that propagate through cortical projections. This mechanism underlies the generalized spike–wave discharges seen in absence epilepsy. The oscillation no longer reflects coordinated information transfer but a resonance between thalamic and cortical compartments that traps the system in repetitive cycles.

8.4 Phase-Locking and Burst Firing

Oscillatory synchronization depends on precise phase relationships among neurons. Phase-locking ensures that excitatory and inhibitory inputs align in time, maintaining rhythmic stability. In epileptogenic circuits, phase-locking becomes unstable. Excitatory inputs arrive when inhibitory restraint is weak, allowing neurons to depolarize collectively. The failure of precise timing transforms rhythmic oscillations into high-amplitude bursts.

Burst firing represents a nonlinear transition from oscillatory activity to paroxysmal events. It arises when depolarizing currents summate during the vulnerable phase of the oscillation cycle. Once initiated, bursts recruit neighboring neurons through recurrent excitation, expanding the spatial domain of synchronization. The circuit shifts from dynamic balance to runaway excitation, producing interictal and ictal discharges.

8.5 Rhythm Destabilization and Frequency Shifts

Epileptogenesis often manifests as a shift in the frequency spectrum of local field potentials. High-frequency oscillations (80–500 Hz) appear in epileptic regions and correlate with seizure onset zones. These pathological high-frequency oscillations (pHFOs) differ from physiological gamma rhythms. They represent rapid, synchronized firing of small neuronal clusters and serve as biomarkers of local hyperexcitability.

The emergence of pHFOs signals a fundamental reorganization of circuit resonance properties. It reflects shortened synaptic time constants, enhanced recurrent connectivity, and impaired inhibitory control. As the epileptogenic process advances, these high-frequency events merge into low-frequency waves during seizure initiation, demonstrating a cascade from microscopic synchrony to macroscopic rhythm collapse.

8.6 Linking Oscillatory Disturbances to Seizure Onset Patterns

Seizures can be viewed as transitions between dynamic states of neural activity. In healthy circuits, oscillations fluctuate within bounded ranges of amplitude and coherence. During seizure initiation, local oscillations exceed these bounds and entrain adjacent regions. The progressive recruitment of neuronal populations converts localized rhythms into coherent waves that span large networks.

The temporal evolution of this transition follows predictable patterns. In focal seizures, local pHFOs precede the emergence of synchronized low-frequency activity. In generalized seizures, thalamocortical oscillations gradually increase in amplitude until they dominate cortical activity. These observations support the concept that seizures represent pathological extensions of normal oscillatory mechanisms rather than wholly distinct phenomena.

8.7 Oscillatory Coupling and Cross-Frequency Interactions

In complex neural systems, different oscillatory bands interact through phase–amplitude coupling. Slow oscillations modulate the amplitude of faster rhythms, coordinating activity across temporal scales. Epileptogenesis disrupts this coupling. The hierarchical control of fast rhythms by slower ones becomes erratic, leading to decoupling between frequency bands.

Loss of cross-frequency coupling reduces information segregation and increases global synchrony. The brain transitions from a modular, multi-frequency organization to a monolithic system dominated by a single pathological rhythm. This homogenization of temporal dynamics parallels the structural convergence observed in network reorganization.

8.8 Rhythmic Instability as a Marker of Circuit Transformation

The degradation of oscillatory precision and cross-frequency coupling reflects the underlying deterioration of circuit function. Rhythmic instability signifies that inhibitory–excitatory feedback no longer maintains temporal coherence. Instead, circuits oscillate near critical thresholds, where small perturbations trigger large responses.

This proximity to criticality allows the system to switch abruptly between states, producing the paroxysmal nature of seizures. Epileptogenesis thus drives the brain toward a dynamical regime characterized by reduced resilience and increased sensitivity. Oscillatory disturbances serve not only as symptoms but as integral mechanisms of this transformation.

8.9 From Rhythmic Breakdown to Network Synchronization

At this stage, the pathological rhythms of local circuits begin to interact and synchronize across distant regions. The collapse of inhibitory regulation and the dominance of resonant oscillations prepare the brain for global coordination of excitation. The transition from local rhythmic disruption to network-wide synchronization defines the threshold between circuit-level and network-level epileptogenesis.

In the next chapter, the focus expands to this network domain, examining how local disturbances integrate into system-wide synchronization dynamics that characterize seizure initiation and propagation.

9. Network-Level Mechanisms: Synchronization Dynamics

Epileptogenesis culminates in the emergence of pathological synchronization across large-scale brain networks. While circuit-level alterations define local excitability, network-level dynamics determine how these disturbances propagate and coalesce into seizures. Synchronization, the temporal alignment of activity across distributed neuronal populations, is a fundamental principle of brain function. In epileptogenesis, synchronization loses its adaptive character and becomes excessive, spatially extensive, and self-sustaining.

9.1 Transition from Local Excitation to Global Synchronization

Under normal conditions, local excitations are constrained by inhibitory feedback and limited by the intrinsic architecture of functional networks. In the epileptogenic brain, weakened inhibition and enhanced excitatory coupling enable local oscillations to recruit distant regions. This recruitment proceeds through both anatomical connections and dynamic coupling mechanisms, transforming isolated bursts into coherent network states.

The transition from local to global synchronization often follows a cascade sequence. Initially, clusters of neurons oscillate in phase due to shared input or recurrent excitation. These clusters expand as phase alignment spreads through synaptic and gap-junctional pathways. Once a critical proportion of neurons becomes synchronized, the system enters a metastable state where oscillations reinforce themselves through mutual

entrainment. This process corresponds to the electrographic onset of a seizure.

9.2 Functional Connectivity Changes Before, During, and After Seizures

Functional connectivity refers to the statistical dependence between the activity of distinct brain regions. During epileptogenesis, this connectivity evolves dynamically. Prior to seizure onset, local increases in connectivity appear within epileptic foci, often detectable as elevated coherence or phase-locking values. These preictal changes reflect the formation of transient communication pathways that lower the threshold for synchronization.

During seizures, connectivity expands across cortical and subcortical regions, producing a global rise in synchronization. This state is characterized by decreased network entropy and increased mutual information among nodes, signifying reduced independence of regional activity. After seizure termination, the system typically exhibits a phase of decreased connectivity and reduced responsiveness, a postictal depression that reflects exhaustion of excitatory resources and activation of inhibitory mechanisms.

9.3 Recruitment, Propagation, and Termination

Seizure dynamics can be decomposed into three stages: recruitment, propagation, and termination. Recruitment involves the initial activation of a critical mass of neurons. Propagation represents the spatial expansion of this activity across networks, while termination marks the return to desynchronized, stable dynamics.

Recruitment depends on the strength of local connectivity and the excitability of surrounding tissue. Once a critical synchronization threshold is reached, propagation occurs through both synaptic transmission and extracellular field effects. In particular, ephaptic coupling—electrical influence among neighboring neurons—can accelerate the spread of activity without synaptic mediation.

Termination involves several potential mechanisms. Inhibitory interneuron activation, depletion of neurotransmitter stores, and ionic shifts such as extracellular potassium accumulation all contribute to restoring desynchronization. The precise balance between these processes determines whether the system reverts to baseline or progresses toward chronic hyperexcitability.

9.4 Network Hubs and Node Vulnerability

Not all nodes in a neural network contribute equally to synchronization. Hubs—regions with high connectivity or centrality—play a disproportionate role in coordinating activity. Examples include the hippocampus, thalamus, and medial prefrontal cortex. In epileptogenesis, structural and functional alterations within these hubs increase their influence, enabling them to drive global synchronization.

Vulnerability of a node depends on both intrinsic excitability and topological position. Highly connected hubs are exposed to larger cumulative inputs and therefore more susceptible to pathological entrainment. Once a hub becomes hyperexcitable, its influence on network dynamics amplifies. In computational models, removal or modulation of a single hyperconnected node can prevent seizure propagation, underscoring the hierarchical nature of epileptogenic control.

9.5 Small-World Topology and Hypersynchrony

Healthy brain networks exhibit **small-world topology**, combining local clustering with short global path lengths. This configuration optimizes efficiency and robustness. Epileptogenic reorganization often shifts the network toward increased clustering and reduced path length, enhancing synchronization probability.

The small-world structure becomes a double-edged sword. It allows rapid coordination but also enables pathological synchronization to spread efficiently. The transition from balanced to hypersynchronous dynamics occurs when local clustering exceeds a critical threshold, producing redundant excitatory pathways. Once hypersynchrony arises, it dominates network activity, limiting the system's capacity for independent processing.

9.6 Seizure as a Network State Transition

Seizures can be described mathematically as transitions between attractor states of the brain's dynamic system. In the preictal state, activity fluctuates around a stable equilibrium. Gradual changes in parameters such as excitability, connectivity, or inhibition push the system toward a critical point. At this threshold, the equilibrium loses stability and the network enters a new attractor characterized by large-scale synchronization.

This transition exhibits features of critical phenomena observed in complex systems, including increased correlation length, reduced variance recovery, and hysteresis. Once the seizure state is established, it persists until adaptive or exhaustion mechanisms return the network to the original attractor basin. The phenomenon of critical slowing down—a progressive delay in recovery from perturbations—serves as an early warning marker for impending transitions.

9.7 Dynamic Reconfiguration of Network Architecture

Epileptogenesis reshapes network architecture through both structural and functional mechanisms. Synaptic

plasticity strengthens excitatory pathways, while neuronal loss removes inhibitory connections. These changes alter the distribution of network motifs and create circuits optimized for synchronization.

Functional connectivity maps derived from neuroimaging and electrophysiology reveal shifting patterns of interaction over time. During the latent phase, focal hyperconnectivity develops within the epileptogenic zone. As the condition progresses, secondary hubs emerge through activity-dependent recruitment. The network becomes increasingly integrated and less modular, facilitating widespread synchronization during seizures.

9.8 Directionality and Causality in Network Synchronization

Advanced analytical approaches such as Granger causality and transfer entropy reveal that seizure propagation is not symmetric. Specific regions consistently act as drivers, transmitting activity to others that act as receivers. This directionality reflects structural hierarchies within the brain. During epileptogenesis, driver regions gain influence due to strengthened efferent connections and altered phase relationships.

Identifying these directional pathways provides insight into how seizures generalize and persist. It also offers potential targets for intervention, such as disrupting causal links through neuromodulation or targeted inhibition.

9.9 Network Resilience and Breakdown

The capacity of a network to resist synchronization reflects its resilience. In the healthy brain, redundancy and inhibitory feedback maintain this resilience. During epileptogenesis, loss of inhibitory control, decreased diversity of oscillatory frequencies, and excessive hub dominance erode resilience. The network approaches a critical regime where minimal perturbations produce large-scale synchronization.

Resilience breakdown marks the irreversible transition from potential epileptogenesis to established epilepsy. At this point, the network has reorganized into a new steady state optimized for synchronous activity. This transformation demonstrates that epilepsy is not merely a symptom of local pathology but a systemic reconfiguration of brain dynamics.

9.10 Integration of Network Synchronization Dynamics

Network synchronization embodies the culmination of all preceding levels of epileptogenic transformation. Ion channel dysfunction increases excitability, synaptic plasticity reorganizes connectivity, and circuit failures reduce temporal regulation. These elements converge in the network to produce a global state of hypersynchrony. The seizure, therefore, represents the expression of a network attractor stabilized by pathological feedback loops. Recovery from seizures requires the network to traverse energy barriers that separate attractor basins, explaining the abrupt onset and termination of epileptic events. Understanding these transitions at the network level offers a framework for predicting seizures and developing strategies that restore dynamic stability.

10. Network-Level Mechanisms: Large-Scale Network Reorganization

Epileptogenesis ultimately reshapes the global architecture of the brain. The reorganization of large-scale networks encompasses alterations in structural connectivity, functional interactions, and dynamical stability. What begins as local hyperexcitability evolves into a distributed pathology that modifies communication across cortical and subcortical systems. The chronically epileptic brain is not merely a normal brain with seizures; it is a reorganized network that has adopted a maladaptive mode of operation.

Resting-state functional connectivity reflects the spontaneous activity of brain regions in the absence of specific tasks. In epilepsy, resting-state networks such as the default mode network (DMN), salience network, and frontoparietal control network undergo significant reconfiguration. Functional MRI studies reveal decreased coherence within the DMN and increased connectivity between limbic and sensorimotor regions. These changes suggest a redistribution of network resources. The DMN, which normally supports introspective and self-referential processing, becomes suppressed, while task-positive networks show excessive activation even at rest. This inversion reflects chronic instability in large-scale coordination, where regions that should remain quiescent are continuously engaged by subcortical excitatory drives. The persistence of abnormal resting-state patterns indicates that epileptogenesis affects baseline brain function, not only transient seizure events. The system maintains a state of latent hyperexcitability, in which background synchronization is elevated but contained below the threshold for overt seizures.

Diffusion tensor imaging and tractography have revealed that structural reorganization accompanies functional changes. White matter tracts connecting key hubs such as the hippocampus, thalamus, and prefrontal cortex often exhibit altered integrity. Decreased fractional anisotropy indicates demyelination or axonal disruption, while compensatory increases in other tracts suggest aberrant connectivity growth. Axonal sprouting extends beyond local circuits to interregional pathways, forming novel connections that bypass normal relay centers. This rewiring increases the efficiency of pathological communication while reducing segregation between networks. The architecture evolves toward a state that favors rapid global synchronization at the cost of modular independence.

Resilience in brain networks refers to their capacity to absorb perturbations without transitioning into pathological states. A resilient network exhibits redundancy, inhibitory balance, and modular segregation. Epileptogenesis erodes each of these properties. Loss of inhibitory control reduces feedback stability, while hyperconnectivity diminishes modular boundaries. The network becomes increasingly homogeneous, and small perturbations can evoke system-wide responses. Quantitatively, this transition can be observed as a decrease in graph-theoretic modularity and increased global efficiency. Although higher efficiency may appear beneficial, it reflects pathological overintegration that undermines stability. Once the network crosses this threshold, oscillatory disturbances can easily recruit distant areas, facilitating seizure generalization.

Graph theory provides a mathematical language for describing network reorganization. In healthy conditions, brain networks display high clustering coefficients and moderate path lengths, forming a small-world structure that balances specialization with integration. During epileptogenesis, clustering increases while path length decreases, moving the network toward a globally synchronized regime. Degree centrality and betweenness centrality analyses reveal hypertrophy of hub nodes, especially in temporal and thalamic regions. These hubs act as control centers of epileptiform activity, capable of driving global synchronization. The emergence of hub dominance signifies a loss of distributed control, concentrating influence within a few nodes and rendering the network vulnerable to their instability. Assortativity, the tendency of high-degree nodes to connect with similar nodes, also increases in epileptic networks. This clustering of hubs amplifies feedback loops and supports the spread of synchronized activity. The resulting architecture resembles an overcoupled system with diminished capacity for asynchronous communication.

Functional connectivity is not static; it fluctuates over time. The healthy brain exhibits a dynamic repertoire of connectivity states, transitioning flexibly between configurations according to cognitive demands. In epilepsy, this repertoire shrinks. The network spends more time in highly synchronized states and less time in diverse configurations. Temporal variability of connectivity, often measured as **dynamic flexibility**, declines markedly during epileptogenesis. This rigidity indicates reduced adaptability and predisposition to critical transitions. When the system becomes trapped in a limited set of states, any perturbation is more likely to trigger a seizure, as alternative stable configurations are unavailable.

Neural activity consumes metabolic energy, primarily through synaptic transmission and maintenance of ionic gradients. Hub regions with high connectivity bear disproportionate metabolic demands. Chronic hyperactivity during epileptogenesis imposes energy stress on these hubs, leading to mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative damage, and reduced ATP availability. Energy constraints feed back into functional instability. Exhausted hubs fail to maintain inhibitory control and exhibit irregular firing patterns that propagate instability. This phenomenon, termed hub overload, converts energetic vulnerability into a driver of network dysfunction. The relationship between energy homeostasis and network dynamics underscores the systemic nature of epileptogenesis.

Over time, repeated seizures consolidate network reorganization into a stable phenotype. Structural and functional alterations become embedded in the brain’s architecture, and even seizure-free intervals display pathological connectivity. The network now operates within a new dynamical regime characterized by reduced modularity, increased synchronization, and persistent excitability. This maladaptive phenotype maintains itself through continuous feedback between activity and structure. Recurrent seizures reinforce excitatory connections and further degrade inhibitory pathways. The brain becomes locked in a cycle of excitation, plasticity, and reorganization that perpetuates the epileptic state.

Structural and functional reorganization are intertwined. Changes in white matter connectivity alter signal propagation, which modifies functional coupling patterns. Functional synchronization, in turn, drives structural plasticity through activity-dependent mechanisms. Epileptogenesis represents the convergence of these reciprocal processes, forming a closed loop of maladaptive adaptation. The dynamic coupling between structure and function ensures that even small disturbances can have long-term consequences. A transient increase in synchronization may stimulate axonal growth, which subsequently reinforces synchronization. The process is self-reinforcing and explains why epilepsy often persists even after the initial insult has resolved.

Understanding large-scale network reorganization provides both diagnostic and therapeutic opportunities. Imaging markers of connectivity changes can identify individuals at risk before clinical seizures develop. Network analysis allows localization of critical hubs for targeted interventions such as deep brain stimulation or transcranial neuromodulation. Therapeutic strategies that restore modularity and reduce hub dominance may enhance network resilience. Computational models suggest that partial desynchronization or selective inhibition of overconnected nodes can stabilize dynamics without impairing global function.

Large-scale network reorganization represents the culmination of epileptogenesis. It integrates molecular dysfunction, cellular hyperexcitability, synaptic plasticity, and circuit alterations into a coherent systems-level transformation. The resulting network no longer behaves as a collection of adaptive modules but as a unified

oscillator predisposed to synchronization.This perspective reframes epilepsy as a disease of network topology and dynamics rather than isolated lesions or channel defects. The network becomes the final expression of multilevel processes, where the history of cellular and circuit events is encoded in the structure of global connectivity. In the next chapter, these interactions across scales will be integrated into a unified framework, linking molecular, cellular, circuit, and network dysfunction into a single mechanistic model of epileptogenesis.

11. Multilevel Integration: Linking Molecular, Cellular, Circuit, and Network Dysfunction

Epileptogenesis unfolds as a continuum of biological transformation that links microscopic molecular events to macroscopic network dynamics. The process cannot be compartmentalized into isolated stages. Each level—molecular, cellular, circuit, and network—interacts continuously through feedback and feedforward loops that amplify or compensate for perturbations. Understanding epileptogenesis requires an integrated framework that traces these interactions across scales.

At the molecular level, mutations or dysregulation of ion channels alter intrinsic excitability. Persistent sodium currents, impaired potassium conductance, or disrupted chloride gradients modify the firing thresholds of neurons. These alterations change the statistical distribution of action potential generation within populations. Over time, circuits composed of such neurons exhibit altered gain functions, meaning that small inputs produce disproportionately large outputs. This microscopic instability scales upward. Enhanced excitability increases the likelihood of synchronous firing within microcircuits, while inhibitory interneurons struggle to maintain control. The resulting imbalance forms the foundation for abnormal oscillations that characterize epileptic circuits. The process demonstrates how a molecular perturbation, when embedded in recurrent architecture, produces emergent collective behavior.

Deficient inhibition within circuits transforms local oscillations into propagating network waves. Reduced GABAergic tone, impaired interneuron recruitment, and loss of inhibitory connectivity diminish temporal precision. Excitatory neurons begin to fire in correlated clusters, and these clusters synchronize through long-range connections. Once synchronization crosses a critical spatial threshold, the network transitions into a globally coherent state. The synchronization is self-reinforcing because network coupling increases excitatory drive in return. At this level, epileptogenesis manifests as the loss of dynamic segregation among functional modules. Networks that once operated independently now oscillate in unison, erasing the boundaries between cognitive systems.

Epileptogenesis is sustained by feedback loops that operate across levels of organization. Increased firing promotes calcium influx, which activates signaling pathways that upregulate excitatory receptor expression and synaptic growth. Structural remodeling then strengthens recurrent circuits, further increasing firing. The same positive feedback occurs at larger scales: seizures enhance network connectivity and plasticity, which in turn facilitate future seizures. This multilevel reinforcement converts transient disturbances into stable pathological configurations. Unlike normal plasticity, which is self-limiting through homeostatic feedback, epileptogenic plasticity lacks intrinsic termination. The system continually reorganizes toward higher excitability and stronger synchronization until constrained by external intervention or energy depletion.

While molecular and cellular events initiate epileptogenesis, higher levels exert downward influence that reshapes their dynamics. Network synchronization alters the local environment of neurons through changes in extracellular ion concentrations and neurovascular coupling. Repetitive global activation modifies gene expression, promoting further channel dysregulation. This bidirectionality exemplifies *cross-scale control*: molecular changes shape network behavior, and network states modulate molecular processes. The interplay between upward emergence and downward causation gives epileptogenesis its recursive character. The brain functions as a closed adaptive system where every level constrains and informs the others.

The integrated model of epileptogenesis can be conceptualized as a cascade. The initial perturbation—genetic, metabolic, inflammatory, or traumatic—triggers a wave of compensatory responses at the cellular level. If compensation fails, these responses propagate upward, altering circuit structure and network function. Each transition amplifies instability and reduces resilience. The cascade progresses nonlinearly. Early changes may remain silent for long periods, accumulating until they reach a tipping point. Once crossed, the system reorganizes rapidly, producing clinical seizures. The same cascade may operate in reverse during recovery or therapeutic modulation, suggesting that epileptogenesis is reversible under certain conditions if the hierarchical feedback loops can be disrupted.

Complex systems theory provides a framework for understanding epileptogenesis as a series of critical transitions. These transitions occur when gradual parameter shifts—such as increased excitability or reduced inhibition—push the system toward a bifurcation point. Near this point, the system becomes highly sensitive to perturbations and exhibits phenomena such as critical slowing down and increased correlation length. In neural terms, critical transitions correspond to the onset of hypersynchrony. Small perturbations, such as random

synaptic fluctuations, can synchronize entire assemblies. Once the seizure state is entered, returning to equilibrium requires external mechanisms that restore diversity of activity and reestablish inhibitory control.

Computational neuroscience provides tools for integrating mechanisms across scales. Biophysical models simulate ion channel kinetics and neuronal firing, while network models capture the emergence of synchronization and wave propagation. Multiscale models combine these approaches, linking molecular dynamics to network oscillations. Such models reveal that epileptogenesis is not merely the sum of abnormalities at different levels but the product of nonlinear interactions among them. For instance, a mild reduction in inhibitory conductance may have little effect in isolation but can precipitate global synchronization when coupled with structural reorganization. Theoretical integration thus transforms descriptive pathology into predictive understanding.

The multilevel nature of epileptogenesis also involves temporal hierarchies. Molecular changes occur over milliseconds to hours, structural remodeling over days to weeks, and network reorganization over months or years. These differing timescales interact: rapid electrical events trigger slow molecular cascades that in turn alter long-term structural dynamics. The accumulation of slow processes behind fast activity explains the delayed onset of epilepsy after an initial insult. During the latent period, molecular and cellular modifications quietly reshape the system's stability landscape. The eventual emergence of spontaneous seizures marks the point where long-term processes have sufficiently altered fast dynamics to sustain synchronization autonomously.

Epileptogenesis thus represents a convergence of processes operating across spatial and temporal scales. Channelopathies create permissive conditions for hyperexcitability, glial dysfunction destabilizes the extracellular environment, synaptic plasticity reinforces maladaptive connections, and network topology amplifies synchronization. These components are not independent but interdependent. The integrated perspective reveals that therapeutic interventions must target multiple levels simultaneously. Correcting ionic imbalances without addressing structural plasticity or network reorganization may alleviate symptoms temporarily but cannot halt the progression of epileptogenesis.

A unified multilevel framework portrays epileptogenesis as an evolving system rather than a static pathology. It connects molecular biology, electrophysiology, and systems neuroscience within a single conceptual structure. The framework emphasizes three principles:

1) Hierarchical coupling: local changes propagate upward through structured interactions.
2) Feedback amplification: activity reinforces the mechanisms that produced it.
3) Loss of resilience: the system approaches criticality through cumulative destabilization.

Understanding epileptogenesis as a multilevel, recursive, and self-organizing process provides the theoretical foundation for mechanistic classification, predictive modeling, and targeted therapeutic strategies.

12. Temporal Dimensions of Epileptogenesis

Epileptogenesis is not a singular event but a temporally stratified process extending across multiple phases. Each phase involves distinct molecular, cellular, and network mechanisms that interact dynamically over time. Understanding these temporal dimensions clarifies why the transformation from a healthy brain to an epileptic one can occur gradually, sometimes over years, and why interventions are most effective within specific temporal windows.

The acute phase begins immediately after an initial insult such as traumatic brain injury, prolonged seizures, infection, ischemia, or inflammation. During this period, neurons and glial cells experience rapid physiological disruption. Ion homeostasis is lost, intracellular calcium rises, and glutamate is excessively released. Reactive oxygen species and inflammatory mediators are produced in large quantities. In the acute phase, compensatory responses attempt to restore equilibrium. Astrocytes increase glutamate uptake, microglia remove debris, and inhibitory neurons transiently enhance activity. Despite these efforts, the cellular environment remains unstable. The critical determinant of progression is whether the acute disturbance resolves completely or initiates self-sustaining molecular cascades that persist into the latent phase.

The latent phase, often clinically silent, represents the incubation period of epilepsy. During this stage, neurons may appear to function normally, but profound molecular and structural changes are taking place. Gene expression shifts toward pro-excitatory and proinflammatory profiles. Synaptic reorganization, dendritic remodeling, and network rewiring occur gradually. The latent phase is characterized by the consolidation of maladaptive plasticity. Strengthened excitatory connections, weakened inhibition, and altered receptor expression form the substrate for future seizures. Although no spontaneous seizures are evident, electrophysiological recordings often show interictal spikes or high-frequency oscillations, reflecting subthreshold instability. This phase provides a crucial therapeutic window. Interventions that restore inhibition,

modulate plasticity, or dampen inflammation can potentially halt the epileptogenic process before it becomes irreversible.

The chronic phase marks the clinical manifestation of epilepsy. Spontaneous recurrent seizures emerge as the reorganized network achieves self-sustaining hyperexcitability. At this stage, the brain's structural and functional architecture has been fundamentally altered. Chronic epileptic tissue displays persistent inflammation, gliosis, neuronal loss, and altered metabolism. Synaptic and network reorganization continues, reinforcing seizure pathways. The system exhibits bistability, alternating between interictal and ictal states depending on minor fluctuations in excitation or inhibition. Pharmacological interventions during the chronic phase often suppress seizures temporarily but rarely reverse the underlying network reorganization. The chronic state represents the stabilization of the epileptogenic system within a pathological attractor.

In certain forms of epilepsy, particularly genetic and developmental types, epileptogenesis progresses slowly over months or years without an identifiable initial insult. Gradual accumulation of channel dysfunction, synaptic imbalance, or network maladaptation leads to the eventual emergence of seizures. This slow trajectory highlights the brain's remarkable capacity for compensation. For long periods, homeostatic mechanisms counterbalance excitability, maintaining functional stability. Seizure onset occurs only when compensatory capacity is exhausted. The process exemplifies the nonlinear relationship between molecular pathology and clinical expression.

Activity-dependent plasticity serves as the mechanism by which repeated subthreshold events produce cumulative change. The kindling model remains the most illustrative example. Repeated low-intensity stimulation gradually reduces the seizure threshold until spontaneous seizures occur. Kindling demonstrates that epileptogenesis is an experience-dependent process. Each episode of excessive activity modifies synaptic strength, gene expression, and network connectivity, effectively "teaching" the brain to seize. Once established, the hyperexcitable network maintains its configuration even in the absence of further stimulation, indicating that epileptogenesis involves a durable alteration in the brain's learning mechanisms.

Different processes operate on distinct timescales. Ionic disturbances and neurotransmitter release occur within milliseconds to seconds. Gene expression and receptor trafficking unfold over hours to days. Structural remodeling and network reorganization extend over weeks to years. These timescales interact hierarchically. Fast events trigger slower molecular cascades that, once initiated, feed back to modulate fast dynamics. The repeated coupling of rapid activity with slow structural change creates a temporal feedback loop that gradually consolidates epileptogenesis.

Seizures represent acute manifestations of network instability, while epileptogenesis represents the long-term process that creates the conditions for instability. A seizure can occur without leading to chronic epilepsy if homeostatic mechanisms restore balance afterward. Epileptogenesis begins when the recovery processes themselves become maladaptive. This distinction explains why seizure suppression does not necessarily prevent epileptogenesis. Drugs that reduce acute excitability may have little effect on the slower plastic changes that underpin the disease process. Therapeutic strategies must therefore address both immediate excitability and long-term remodeling.

Epileptogenesis does not progress linearly but cyclically. Each seizure alters the brain in ways that influence future activity. The relationship between seizures and network remodeling forms a feedback loop: seizures promote reorganization, and reorganization promotes seizures. Over time, these cycles deepen the pathological state. The temporal dimension thus embodies both accumulation and recurrence. Epileptogenesis is not merely the passage of time but the repetition of transformation. Each episode reinforces the system's movement toward chronicity.

Identifying reliable biomarkers of epileptogenesis requires recognizing its temporal evolution. Early molecular indicators such as upregulation of inflammatory cytokines, alteration of chloride transporters, or appearance of high-frequency oscillations precede behavioral seizures. Monitoring these temporal markers enables prediction and early intervention. Temporal biomarkers also serve to evaluate treatment efficacy. A therapeutic agent may not abolish seizures immediately but could slow or reverse molecular and structural trajectories, extending the latent period or preventing chronic transformation.

Epileptogenesis integrates processes occurring across multiple temporal domains. The acute phase provides the initiating insult, the latent phase establishes structural and functional reorganization, and the chronic phase manifests the new equilibrium of pathological excitability. Slow progression and recurrent activity bind these phases into a continuous evolutionary sequence. The temporal view highlights the dynamic nature of epileptogenesis. It is a process of transformation rather than accumulation, a dialogue between rapid events and long-term adaptations. Understanding its timing is essential for both mechanistic insight and therapeutic design.

13. Mechanism-Based Classification of Epilepsies

The classification of epilepsies has historically relied on clinical manifestations and electroencephalographic patterns. While useful for diagnosis and treatment selection, this descriptive framework obscures the underlying biological diversity of epileptic disorders. A mechanism-based classification, grounded in molecular and systems neuroscience, seeks to align clinical categories with causal pathways. It connects pathophysiology to etiology, facilitating personalized therapy and prediction of disease trajectories.

Traditional classifications, such as those proposed by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE), distinguish epilepsies by seizure type (focal, generalized, combined) and syndrome (temporal lobe epilepsy, absence epilepsy, Lennox–Gastaut syndrome, and others). This system reflects observable features but often aggregates heterogeneous mechanisms under the same label. For example, temporal lobe epilepsy may arise from hippocampal sclerosis, cortical dysplasia, or autoimmune inflammation—distinct causes that share similar electroclinical profiles. As a result, identical treatments yield divergent outcomes. Without mechanistic resolution, therapy remains empirical rather than predictive.

A mechanism-based framework addresses these limitations by organizing epilepsies according to pathophysiological processes rather than symptoms. It acknowledges that seizures are emergent phenomena that can result from multiple converging mechanisms. By identifying the specific molecular or network dysfunction involved, clinicians can select interventions that target the root cause. This approach aligns with modern medicine's shift toward precision therapeutics. It transforms epilepsy from a collection of syndromes into a family of mechanistically defined disorders, each characterized by distinct signatures of excitability, plasticity, and network dynamics.

Genetic epilepsies exemplify the molecular tier of classification. Mutations in ion channel genes—SCN1A, KCNQ2, CACNA1H, GABRA1, among others—produce dysfunction in membrane excitability and synaptic inhibition. Each mutation creates a specific biophysical signature that shapes neuronal firing and circuit dynamics. Channelopathies represent disorders of molecular gating. In Dravet syndrome, SCN1A mutations impair sodium channel function in inhibitory interneurons, reducing their firing and disinhibiting excitatory circuits. In contrast, KCNQ2-related epilepsies result from loss of potassium currents that stabilize membrane potential. Despite shared clinical features, these mechanisms differ fundamentally and require distinct therapeutic strategies—sodium channel blockers may worsen Dravet syndrome but ameliorate KCNQ2 epilepsies. Receptor mutations such as GRIN2A (NMDA receptor) or GABRB3 (GABA_A receptor) affect synaptic kinetics, altering temporal coordination within circuits. The mechanistic taxonomy identifies these as receptoropathies, a subclass of molecular epilepsies driven by receptor dysfunction rather than ion channel gating per se.

Structural epilepsies arise from abnormal organization of neural circuits due to developmental malformations, trauma, tumors, or gliosis. Cortical dysplasia, for instance, produces ectopic neurons and aberrant connectivity that generate recurrent excitation. Post-traumatic epilepsy follows axonal injury and sprouting, which reorganize circuits into hyperconnected modules. Mechanistically, structural epilepsies belong to the circuit level of classification. Their defining feature is altered network architecture rather than molecular dysfunction. Surgical resection of the lesion often reduces seizures because it removes the pathological circuit. The principle demonstrates that in circuit-driven epilepsies, network topology itself constitutes the core pathology.

Metabolic epilepsies reflect deficits in cellular energy metabolism. Abnormalities in glycolysis, oxidative phosphorylation, or mitochondrial function impair ATP-dependent ion transport and neurotransmitter recycling. Energy failure increases extracellular potassium, reduces GABA synthesis, and triggers depolarization. Examples include POLG-related mitochondrial disease, pyruvate dehydrogenase deficiency, and glucose transporter type 1 (GLUT1) deficiency. The unifying mechanism is failure of bioenergetic homeostasis leading to ionic imbalance and hyperexcitability. Treatment strategies such as ketogenic diets or metabolic supplementation target this level directly.

Autoimmune and inflammatory processes constitute another mechanistic class. In autoimmune encephalitis, antibodies against NMDA, LGI1, CASPR2, or GABA receptors alter receptor trafficking and synaptic function. Cytokine-mediated inflammation produces similar effects by modulating channel expression and impairing blood–brain barrier integrity. Inflammatory epilepsies operate across cellular and glial levels. They demonstrate that epileptogenesis can arise from immune signaling rather than intrinsic neuronal instability. Immunotherapy can reverse seizures in these conditions, emphasizing the reversibility of mechanistically targeted intervention.

Some epilepsies cannot be attributed to localized lesions or molecular defects but arise from distributed network dysfunction. Generalized epilepsies, absence seizures, and certain idiopathic forms exemplify this category. Their defining mechanism is large-scale synchronization within thalamocortical or corticolimbic systems. Network epilepsies reflect maladaptive coordination rather than structural damage. Treatments targeting synchronization dynamics, such as deep brain stimulation or neurostimulation of thalamic nuclei, modulate network excitability without altering cellular properties. The mechanistic classification recognizes these as

disorders of network regulation rather than tissue pathology.

Many epilepsies span multiple levels simultaneously. For example, **tuberous sclerosis complex** involves genetic mutations that disrupt cellular signaling (molecular level), cortical malformations (structural level), and network hyperconnectivity (network level). Mechanistic classification accommodates such hybrid categories by mapping the contribution of each process. The overlap among categories highlights the continuum of epileptogenesis. Molecular, cellular, and network factors interact to produce the final phenotype. Classification is thus not rigid but relational, representing dominant rather than exclusive mechanisms.

Etiological classification identifies the origin of epilepsy—genetic, structural, metabolic, immune, infectious, or unknown. Mechanism-based taxonomy refines this by specifying *how* each etiology disrupts neural dynamics. For instance, genetic etiology may act through channelopathy, while immune etiology may act through receptor internalization or cytokine-mediated disinhibition. By linking etiology to mechanism, clinicians can infer potential treatment targets. This approach aligns diagnostic categories with therapeutic logic, bridging basic neuroscience and clinical practice.

Mechanism-based classification transforms epilepsy research from descriptive observation to causal explanation. It encourages integration of molecular genetics, neurophysiology, and computational modeling into unified diagnostic frameworks. Clinically, it supports personalized medicine, where therapy is guided by identified mechanisms rather than symptomatic patterns. The shift toward mechanistic understanding also redefines prevention. If epileptogenesis is identified as a process rather than a static condition, interventions can be designed to interrupt it before chronicity develops. Mechanistic classification thus bridges the gap between theory and therapy, connecting the multilevel framework of epileptogenesis to clinical outcomes. In the following chapter, these mechanistic insights will be translated into therapeutic strategies that target epileptogenesis across molecular, cellular, and network dimensions.

14. Implications for Therapeutic Strategies

The recognition of epileptogenesis as a multilevel process has transformed the conceptual landscape of epilepsy therapy. Traditional antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) suppress seizures primarily by modulating ion channels or neurotransmitter systems, but they do not prevent or reverse the underlying epileptogenic process. A mechanistic understanding that spans molecular, cellular, circuit, and network levels enables the development of interventions aimed not only at symptom control but at disease modification.

Most current AEDs act by enhancing inhibition or reducing excitation. Sodium channel blockers, GABA enhancers, and calcium channel modulators effectively suppress neuronal firing but do not alter the structural and functional reorganization that sustains chronic epilepsy. Once the brain has undergone epileptogenic remodeling, seizure suppression without addressing the underlying mechanisms allows the disease to persist in latent form. Symptomatic control provides short-term relief but fails to interrupt the progression of excitatory plasticity, glial inflammation, and network synchronization. This limitation underscores the need for mechanism-based therapies that target the causes of epileptogenesis rather than its outcomes.

At the molecular level, correcting ionic imbalances remains a cornerstone of therapy. Selective modulators of sodium, potassium, calcium, and chloride channels can restore excitability toward physiological ranges. In channelopathies, precision therapy aims to counter specific biophysical defects. For example, in Dravet syndrome, agents that enhance sodium channel function in inhibitory interneurons or reduce persistent sodium current in excitatory neurons can rebalance firing dynamics. Drugs such as stiripentol, fenfluramine, and emerging sodium channel subtype-selective modulators illustrate this approach. Chloride transporters KCC2 and NKCC1 have become novel therapeutic targets. Bumetanide, an NKCC1 inhibitor, reduces intracellular chloride accumulation and restores GABAergic inhibition in neonatal and acquired epilepsies. Regulation of potassium currents through KCNQ openers or BK channel modulators offers another avenue for stabilizing neuronal membranes. These interventions exemplify the principle that molecular-level correction can influence higher scales when properly aligned with cellular and circuit dynamics.

Epileptogenesis involves significant contributions from glial dysfunction and neuroinflammation. Therapies directed at astrocytic and microglial signaling aim to restore homeostasis. Astrocyte-targeted agents that upregulate GLT-1 (EAAT2) improve glutamate clearance and reduce excitotoxicity. Compounds enhancing Kir4.1 expression normalize potassium buffering. Inhibitors of TGF-β signaling can counteract albumin-induced hyperexcitability following blood–brain barrier breakdown. Anti-inflammatory interventions, including IL-1β antagonists (anakinra), TNF-α blockers, and HMGB1 inhibitors, have shown potential to attenuate epileptogenic progression. These therapies target cytokine-mediated cascades that alter receptor function and promote glial reactivity. The conceptual shift here is from neuronal suppression to microenvironmental restoration. Modulating glial and immune processes reestablishes the conditions necessary for neuronal stability rather than merely reducing activity.

Because epileptogenesis involves maladaptive plasticity, therapeutic strategies that regulate synaptic remodeling are essential. Agents influencing BDNF–TrkB signaling, mTOR pathways, and matrix metalloproteinases can modify synaptic and structural reorganization. Inhibitors of the mTOR pathway, such as everolimus, have demonstrated efficacy in tuberous sclerosis complex by limiting aberrant growth and synaptogenesis. Modulation of BDNF signaling may prevent overexpression of excitatory synapses. Regulation of extracellular matrix components stabilizes dendritic spines and limits mossy fiber sprouting. Targeting plasticity requires precision, as excessive suppression could impair learning and recovery. The goal is selective modulation of pathological reinforcement without disrupting adaptive processes.

When epileptogenesis reaches the network level, interventions must address synchronization dynamics. **Deep** brain stimulation (DBS), vagus nerve stimulation (VNS), and responsive neurostimulation (RNS) exemplify such strategies. DBS of the anterior nucleus of the thalamus modulates thalamocortical circuits and reduces seizure frequency. VNS influences widespread cortical and subcortical systems through neuromodulatory pathways involving norepinephrine and serotonin. RNS provides closed-loop stimulation that detects and disrupts pathological synchronization in real time. Noninvasive methods such as transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) aim to desynchronize overconnected networks and restore oscillatory diversity. Computational models suggest that periodic or stochastic stimulation patterns can increase network entropy and reduce seizure probability. These techniques operate at the macroscopic level but embody the same mechanistic logic: restoring balance between excitation and inhibition by reconfiguring network dynamics rather than suppressing local excitability alone.

Gene therapy provides a direct means to correct molecular defects. Adeno-associated viral (AAV) vectors carrying genes encoding functional ion channels, inhibitory neurotransmitter enzymes, or neuroprotective peptides have been tested in preclinical models. For example, delivery of KCNQ2 or SCN1A cDNA restores normal channel function, while expression of GAD67 enhances GABA synthesis. Targeted expression of inhibitory peptides such as neuropeptide Y or galanin can suppress local hyperexcitability. CRISPR-based genome editing opens the possibility of precise correction of pathogenic mutations, while RNA-based approaches (antisense oligonucleotides) can modulate gene expression. These technologies bring epileptogenesis therapy into the realm of molecular precision.

Restoration of energy balance and ionic stability complements molecular and network-level strategies. The ketogenic diet, rich in fats and low in carbohydrates, shifts metabolism toward ketone utilization, enhancing mitochondrial efficiency and reducing excitability. Pharmacological agents that support mitochondrial function, such as coenzyme Q10, carnitine, or creatine, aim to maintain energy homeostasis. Regulation of pH, oxygenation, and oxidative stress further stabilizes cellular environments prone to depolarization.

Ultimately, effective intervention must engage multiple levels simultaneously. Combining molecular therapies with network modulation and anti-inflammatory agents addresses both cause and consequence. Computational modeling can optimize combinations by predicting cross-level effects and feedback outcomes. Disease modification implies restoration of resilience rather than permanent suppression. It requires reestablishing the brain’s capacity to regulate excitation, inhibition, and plasticity dynamically.

Emerging strategies aim to prevent epileptogenesis before seizures appear. Early identification of biomarkers—such as gene expression changes, high-frequency oscillations, or network instability—allows preemptive therapy. Neuroprotective compounds, glial modulators, or targeted gene editing may stop the cascade before it reaches chronic stages. In advanced stages, interventions may focus on reprogramming maladaptive circuits through patterned stimulation or activity-dependent gene expression. Machine learning algorithms integrated with neural interfaces could enable personalized, adaptive therapies that respond dynamically to network states.

The future of epilepsy therapy lies in transitioning from controlling seizures to correcting the mechanisms that produce them. Mechanism-based strategies unite molecular biology, neurophysiology, and systems neuroscience into a coherent therapeutic philosophy. They aim not to silence the brain but to restore its self-organizing balance. This transition reflects a paradigm shift in medicine: from treating disease manifestations to engineering stability in complex biological systems. Epileptogenesis, understood as a multilevel process, becomes not only a challenge but an opportunity to develop therapies that act across the full hierarchy of brain organization.

15. Conclusion

Epileptogenesis represents one of the most intricate examples of pathological transformation in the nervous system. It is not a singular malfunction of cells or molecules, but a progressive reorganization that spans from ion channels to global brain networks. Understanding its full scope requires unifying the principles of cellular biophysics, synaptic plasticity, circuit dynamics, and network theory into one continuous explanatory model.

At the molecular level, disruptions in ion channel function, receptor regulation, and neurotransmitter

homeostasis alter the fundamental rules of excitability. Sodium and calcium influx become excessive, potassium and chloride gradients collapse, and neurotransmitter systems lose precision. These molecular instabilities define the initial trigger of epileptogenesis. At the cellular level, the cumulative effects of these molecular disturbances manifest as hyperexcitability and loss of inhibitory tone. The neuron becomes less responsive to feedback and more prone to firing bursts. Altered receptor trafficking, intracellular calcium signaling, and gene expression reprogram the cell toward sustained excitation. At the circuit level, these cells assemble into maladaptive microcircuits. Inhibitory interneurons degenerate or become functionally ineffective. Recurrent excitation and impaired feedback inhibition convert localized oscillations into reverberating loops. The dentate gate fails, thalamocortical rhythms distort, and local synchronization becomes a self-sustaining phenomenon. At the network level, microcircuit instability scales into global synchronization. The topology of brain connectivity reorganizes toward small-world hypersynchrony, reducing modular independence and increasing global efficiency. Seizures emerge as transitions between metastable network states, representing systemic reorganizations rather than isolated discharges. Across these scales, glial cells and immune processes act as amplifiers and mediators. Astrocytes lose their buffering capacity, microglia release inflammatory cytokines, and the blood–brain barrier deteriorates. These non-neuronal elements integrate cellular pathology with systemic responses, transforming local excitability into widespread instability.

The central feature of epileptogenesis is its recursive architecture. Changes at one level propagate upward through emergent dynamics and downward through feedback modulation. Channel mutations alter firing patterns that modify synaptic plasticity; seizures alter gene expression that further destabilizes ionic conductance. This cross-scale coupling defines epileptogenesis as a *self-referential system*. Once initiated, it sustains itself through positive feedback loops that couple molecular events with network behavior. The brain evolves toward a state of reduced resilience, where small perturbations trigger global responses. The system no longer returns easily to equilibrium because equilibrium itself has shifted.

Traditional neurology regarded seizures as the primary phenomenon and epilepsy as their repetition. The multilevel model reverses this relationship. Seizures are not the cause of epilepsy; they are the expression of an already reorganized system. The focus thus moves from episodic events to the underlying transformation that makes such events possible. This shift has profound implications for diagnosis and treatment. It directs research toward early detection of epileptogenic processes before clinical symptoms appear and emphasizes interventions that restore the system's capacity for self-regulation rather than merely suppressing its manifestations.

Epileptogenesis unfolds over multiple timescales and involves nested hierarchies of organization. Rapid ionic changes interact with slow structural remodeling; acute injury initiates chronic reorganization. This complexity explains the variability in latency, severity, and therapeutic response among individuals. Each patient's epilepsy reflects a unique trajectory through a shared multidimensional space of mechanisms. A unified framework must therefore be probabilistic rather than categorical. It should capture the evolving interaction of parameters—excitability, inhibition, connectivity, energy balance—that determine the brain's position within the epileptogenic landscape.

The multilevel view transforms classification systems from symptom-based to mechanism-based. Epilepsies are organized not by outward expression but by dominant processes: channelopathy, plasticity disorder, inflammatory dysfunction, or network disintegration. Such a taxonomy links molecular genetics to clinical behavior and aligns research with therapeutic development. For basic science, this model encourages integrative approaches that connect ion channel biophysics to computational models of network synchronization. For clinical practice, it promotes personalized interventions based on mechanistic diagnosis. For public health, it reframes epilepsy as a preventable systems disorder rather than an inevitable outcome of injury.

Mechanism-based therapy arises directly from this framework. If epileptogenesis is a cascade of self-reinforcing processes, then interrupting the cascade at any level can halt progression. Ion channel modulation, glial restoration, anti-inflammatory intervention, and network desynchronization become complementary rather than competing strategies. Preventive medicine gains new significance. Identifying biomarkers of early epileptogenesis—altered oscillations, inflammatory signatures, genetic susceptibilities—creates the possibility of preemptive treatment. The therapeutic goal shifts from suppressing seizures to stabilizing the system before pathological synchronization consolidates.

Epileptogenesis serves as a paradigm for studying complex adaptive systems in biology. It demonstrates how distributed interactions across scales produce emergent pathology. The principles derived from its study—feedback amplification, critical transitions, multiscale coupling—apply broadly to other neurological and systemic disorders. Epilepsy research thus contributes not only to its own field but to a general understanding of how the brain reorganizes under chronic perturbation. The epileptogenic process exemplifies the transition from dynamic flexibility to rigidity, from resilience to fragility, and from normal function to pathological stability.

A unified theory of epileptogenesis must integrate quantitative modeling, empirical data, and theoretical

neuroscience. It must describe how molecular stochasticity becomes network determinism, and how collective behavior emerges from local rules. Such a theory would treat the brain as a nonlinear dynamical system characterized by attractor landscapes. Epileptogenesis would then correspond to the reshaping of that landscape—where once-deep basins of stability flatten and new attractors representing hypersynchrony emerge. The goal of therapy becomes restoring the geometry of stability rather than merely suppressing its symptoms.

Viewing epilepsy as a multilevel, self-organizing disorder transforms our understanding of treatment, prognosis, and even identity. The epileptic brain is not broken in a simple mechanical sense; it is reorganized according to altered principles of adaptation. Therapy thus becomes not the eradication of disease but the guidance of self-organization toward health. This conceptual shift invites humility. The brain's complexity exceeds any single explanatory level, and the success of intervention depends on respecting that complexity. Integrative models do not simplify reality; they illuminate its interconnectedness.

Epileptogenesis as a multilevel process unites molecular biology, cellular physiology, and network theory into a coherent vision of disease evolution. It reveals the nervous system as a hierarchy of interacting processes in continuous dialogue. The path from channel dysfunction to network reorganization illustrates how biological systems, when destabilized, create new equilibria that preserve activity at the cost of control. To understand epilepsy is to understand how the brain learns maladaptively, how it builds stability out of instability. To treat it is to restore balance across levels—to reestablish inhibition, restore homeostasis, rebuild connectivity, and reopen the space for flexible dynamics. In this unified view, epileptogenesis is not an irreversible descent into chaos but a reversible transition within a dynamic system. The task of neuroscience is to map the pathways of that transition and to design interventions that guide the brain back toward equilibrium.

References

Avanzini, G., & Franceschetti, S., (2003). Cellular biology of epileptogenesis. *The Lancet Neurology, 2*(1), 33–42. https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laneur/article/PIIS1474442203002655/abstract

Avanzini, G., & Franceschetti, S., (2015). Mechanisms of epileptogenesis. In J. Engel Jr. & T. Pedley (Eds.), *Epilepsy: A Comprehensive Textbook* (pp. 39–64). Wiley-Blackwell. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118936979.ch3

Goldberg, E. M., & Coulter, D. A., (2013). Mechanisms of epileptogenesis: A convergence on neural circuit dysfunction. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 14*(5), 337–349. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3482

McNamara, J. O., Huang, Y. Z., & Leonard, A. S., (2006). Molecular signaling mechanisms underlying epileptogenesis. *Science's STKE, 2006*(356), re12. https://doi.org/10.1126/stke.3562006re12

Najm, I., Ying, Z., & Janigro, D., (2001). Mechanisms of epileptogenesis. *Neurologic Clinics, 19*(2), 323–349. https://www.neurologic.theclinics.com/article/S0733-8619(05)70017-7/abstract

Pitkänen, A., & Lukasiuk, K., (2009). Molecular and cellular basis of epileptogenesis in symptomatic epilepsy. *Epilepsy & Behavior, 14*(1), 16–25. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1525505008002916

Qureshi, I. A., & Mehler, M. F., (2010). Epigenetic mechanisms underlying human epileptic disorders and the process of epileptogenesis. *Progress in Neurobiology, 90*(4), 291–314. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0969996110000525

Staley, K., (2015). Molecular mechanisms of epilepsy. *Nature Neuroscience, 18*(3), 367–372. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3947

Sumadewi, K. T., Harkitasari, S., & Tjandra, D. C., (2023). Biomolecular mechanisms of epileptic seizures and epilepsy: A review. *The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery, 59*(1), 82. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s42494-023-00137-0

Zaitsev, A. V., (2025). Special Issue "Molecular and Cellular Mechanisms of Epilepsy—3rd Edition": Emerging frontiers in neuroinflammation, network remodeling, and therapy. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 26*(20), 10020. https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/26/20/10020

Copyrights