

Exploring How Market Sentiment Affects Investor Behavioral Bias

Hao Geng¹

¹ The University of New South Wales, Australia Correspondence: Hao Geng, The University of New South Wales, Australia.

doi:10.56397/LE.2024.12.07

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to explore how market sentiment affects investor behavioral biases. By constructing a theoretical model, the paper analyzes how changes in market sentiment can lead to different behavioral biases by affecting investors' information processing, risk perception, and decision-making framework. The article introduces behavioral finance theories, such as prospect theory and overconfidence, to explain how market sentiment shapes investors' decision-making process. Through case studies, including the analysis of the discount rate of closed-end funds and the implied volatility of subscription warrants in the Chinese securities market, the paper reveals the close connection between market sentiment and investor behavioral biases. The findings suggest that fluctuations in market sentiment can significantly affect investors' behavioral biases, which in turn have an impact on asset pricing and market volatility. The research in this paper not only provides new perspectives for understanding market dynamics, but also provides valuable guidance for investors and policy makers. Finally, the paper discusses the limitations of the study and possible directions for future research.

Keywords: market sentiment, behavioral bias, behavioral finance, investment decision, market volatility

1. Introduction

Volatility and uncertainty in financial markets are not only influenced by economic fundamentals but are also strongly influenced by market sentiment and investor behavior patterns. Market sentiment, as a collective reflection of the emotional state of the investor community, has been widely recognized as a key factor influencing asset prices and market volatility (Baker & Wurgler, 2006). Meanwhile, investor behavioral biases, such as overconfidence, loss aversion and herd behavior, are important concepts used in behavioral finance to explain deviations from rational expectations in individual investment decisions (Shefrin & Statman, 1985). The central theme of this paper is to explore how market sentiment steers these behavioral biases and to further analyze the potential impact of this steering relationship on financial market stability.

This study will systematically explore how market sentiment affects different types of investor behavioral biases through literature review, theoretical model construction, and case studies to provide new insights into the financial market and valuable guidance for investors and policy makers, and to present appropriate conclusions.

The purpose of this study is to derive the mechanism of market sentiment's effect on investor behavioral biases so that investors can avoid potential behavioral biases and make more rational investment decisions. At the same time, this study can also help policy makers to design more effective market regulations to reduce the negative impact caused by market sentiment.

The contribution of this study is to provide a theoretical framework that can correlate market sentiment with investor behavioral biases, filling the research gap in the existing literature (Chinese market). In addition, this study provides new research directions for future research, such as the accurate measurement of market sentiment and individual differences in investor behavior under market sentiment.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1 Market Sentiment

Market sentiment can be defined as investors' social-emotional expectations and mental reactions to market movements over some time. These emotional responses can impact investors' investment strategies and cause volatility in asset pricing (Baker & Wurgler, 2006). In behavioral finance, market sentiment is a crucial factor that accounts for market phenomena like market bubbles and crashes (Shiller, 1984). The heart of market sentiment is social and psychological concepts, particularly those that deal with group dynamics and emotion transmission. According to research, market sentiment can affect investors' decisions in a variety of ways, including how they interpret information, perceive risk, and frame their decisions (Hirshleifer, 2001).

2.2 Investor Behavioral Bias

When traders' purchases deviate from their moral expectations, they are called investor behavioral biases. These biases are explained by social factors, mood swings, cognitive limitations, and other factors (Thaler, 1991). Typical behavioral biases include overconfidence, loss aversion, group behavior, anchoring effect, and availability bias (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). Behavioral finance uses psychological theories to discuss these biases, like prospect theory.

2.3 Correlation Between Market Sentiment and Investor Behavior Biases

The relationship between market sentiment and investor behavioral biases is demonstrated by the fact that swings in market sentiment can increase or decrease investors' behavioral biases. When market sentiment is high, investors may show more optimism and confidence, leading to behavioral biases of overconfidence and frequent trading (Daniel et al., 1998). When the market sentiment is low, which can lead to panic selling and group behavior (De Long et al., 1990), investors may become more cautious and risk averse. By maintaining investors' information processing and curiosity focus, shifts in market sentiment may even impact behavioral biases. For instance, in a market expansion, investors may be more inclined to focus on positive information while ignoring negative information, which may increase bias in optimism (Barberis et al., 1998).

Overall, there is a correlation between market sentiment and investor behavioral bias. To forecast market trends, create investment plans, and formulate market regulatory policies, it is crucial to have a better knowledge of this connection.

3. Theoretical Model Building

3.1 Theoretical Model

This study aims to create a theoretical model that explains how market sentiment affects investor behavioral biases. The model's objective is to investigate how changes in market sentiment affect emotional and mental operations, which lead to the development of behavioral biases.

The model assumes market sentiment can change from extreme optimism to extraordinary enthusiasm. Because of different business viewpoints, consumers exhibit a variety of behavioral biases. For instance, when the market sentiment is good, investors might show more risk-seeking behavior and overconfidence. Investors may exhibit more danger, harm, and loss aversion in contrast to negative market sentiment.

3.2 Behavioral Finance Theories

To strengthen the theoretical model, this study introduces several significant behavioral finance principles, like prospect theory, overconfidence, and herding behavior.

Prospect theory: Proposed by Kahneman and Tversky, prospect theory explains how owners exhibit risk aversion in the face of possible losses and chance-seeking behavior in the face of possible benefits (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). According to the theoretical model, reasonable changes in market sentiment impact how investors view potential results and react to challenges. Market sentiment affects investors' perceptions of future returns, which in turn affects how they feel about taking risks.

Overconfidence: According to the theory of overconfidence, investors tend to overestimate their ability to make decisions and judgement (Odean, 1998). The theoretical model considers how market sentiment increases or decreases investor's overconfidence, affecting their investment decisions and strategies.

Herding behavior: The theory of herding behavior states that investors may be socially influenced to mimic the behavior of others, especially when market sentiment is extreme (Bikhchandani et al., 1992). In the theoretical model, we consider herding behavior to investigate investment decision-making in the context of specific market sentiment.

By combining these theories, our model provides a framework for analyzing how market sentiment affects market dynamics at the macro level by influencing the psychology and behavior of investors. The model also considers a two-way feedback mechanism between market sentiment and investor behavioral biases, i.e., market sentiment not only affects investor behavior, but the investor behavior also affects market sentiment in turn.

4. Theoretical Analysis

4.1 The Mechanism of Market Sentiment's Influence on Investor Behavioral Bias

Investor behavior biases can be analyzed on a variety of levels because of market sentiment. First, how investors interpret information may depend on market sentiment. When market sentiment is great, owners may be more inclined to focus on and consider positive details while disregarding or underestimating bad data. This selective cognitive bias can cause overly optimistic and confident behavior (Daniel et al., 1998). Likewise, investors may concentrate more on bad information when small market sentiment leads to negative bias and risk-reluctant behavior.

Next, market sentiment can also affect investors' risk perception. In pessimistic market sentiment, investors may overestimate risks, leading to risk-averse behavior, while in optimistic market sentiment, investors may underestimate potential risks (Shefrin, 2002). Investors' risk taste and purchase decisions are directly affected by this change in temperament.

Lastly, market sentiment can also affect behavioral biases by influencing investors' decision-making framework. Investors may use a variety of decision-making frameworks, such as a development model when the market is optimistic, under the influence of various market moods. In contrast, pessimism may be applied to a loss framework (Tversky & Kahneman, 1981).

4.2 Changes in Investor Behavior in Different Market Sentiment States

Various market sentiments exhibit distinct differences in investment behavior. In an optimistic market sentiment, buyers may display the next psychological features:

- Risk Seeking Behavior: Investors may be more receptive to risks and get higher returns because of positive expectations for the market.

- Overtrading: Overconfidence causes investors to generally trade because they believe they can overcome the market.

- Herding behavior: Investors may be more influenced by other people's opinions and keep to business trends if they are motivated by enthusiasm.

Whereas in a pessimistic market sentiment, investment behavioral traits include:

- Risk Averse Behavior: Bad expectations of the potential of the market caused investors to reduce their risky asset holdings and follow more traditional strategies.

- Panic selling: In times of negative market sentiment, investors reduce their holdings of risky investments and move to safer investments.

- Indecision: Pessimism causes investors to hesitate to make decisions and lose investment options.

4.3 Limitations and Application Problems of the Theoretical Model

Despite some restrictions, the theoretical model provides a scientific foundation for the link between market sentiment and investors behavior biases. Then, the model assumes that market sentiment is continuously changing. Market sentiment is affected by some complex elements, including economic conditions, policy changes, and market liquidity, which require more effort to calculate and consider thoroughly.

Next, the model is unable to fully account for how individual differences affect market sentiment responses. Various investors' cognitive skills, ability to regulate emotions, and level of experience may cause various reactions to the same market sentiment.

Additionally, the validity of the model may be constrained by a certain market situation and time horizon. The effect of market sentiment on investment behavior may change under various business cycles and economic surroundings.

Therefore, the theoretical model in this study is better suited to examine the short-term effects of market sentiment on investment behavior. In contrast, the long-term analysis might have to consider more economic factors and changes in market structures.

5. Case Studies

5.1 Practical Application of Theoretical Models

This research selects two standard cases in the Chinese securities market to explain the practical application of the theoretical model: closed-end fund discount rate and implied volatility of call warrants.

Case 1: Closed-end fund discount rate

Zhang and Liao (2009) point out in their study that the closed-end fund discount rate may indirectly reflect the

sentiment of institutional investors in the Chinese securities market. They discovered a major negative relationship between the cheap price and the market index by analyzing the connection between the closed-end funds' cheap price and the market index. This suggests that closed-end cash discount rates are higher when institutional investors' sentiment is low, projecting market despair; on the contrary, when market sentiment is great, it is lower, reflecting market enthusiasm.

Case 2: Implied volatility of call warrants

The implied volatility of call warrants, which reflects the opinions of specific investors, is another example. According to the study by Zhang and Liao (2009), the SSE Composite Index and the implied volatility of call warrants have a significant negative correlation. The individual investor sentiment (pessimism), which has a negative impact on the stock price, is lower the higher the implied volatility. In contrast, the positive impact of individual investor sentiment (optimism) is on the stock price.

5.2 Analysis of the Relationship Between Market Sentiment and Investor Behavioral Bias in the Case Study

The close connection between investment behavior bias and market sentiment can be seen in the above case analysis.

(1) Sentiment and herding effect: In the Chinese securities market, fluctuations in market sentiment are spread fast and change the decision-making behavior of some investors, leading to the herding effect.

(2) Sentiment and risk perception: Alterations in market sentiment affect owners' view of danger. In an optimistic market sentiment, investors may overlook danger, while in a pessimistic market sentiment, investors may estimate danger, leading to distorted investment decisions.

(3) Sentiment and decision-making framework: Changes in market sentiment also have an impact on investors' decision-making framework. In an optimistic disposition, investors may choose a growth framework, while in a pessimistic disposition, they may acquire a loss framework, affecting their investment decisions.

The benefits of the case study support the theoretical model's prediction that market sentiment guides investor behavioral biases by influencing investors' information processing, risk perception, and decision-making framework. These cases provide crucial insight for knowledge and identifying market dynamics while also demonstrating the real effect of market sentiment on market stability and asset pricing.

6. Conclusion

6.1 Research Findings

Through theoretical model and case study, this paper provides a thorough analysis of the impact of market sentiment on investor behavioral biases. According to research, market sentiment has a major impact on investors' information processing, risk perception, and decision-making framework as a collective representation of an investment group's psychological condition. This paper confirms a powerful connection between market sentiment and investor behavioral biases by analyzing cases in the Chinese securities market, including the closed-end fund discount rate and the implied volatility of call warrants. These findings provide new ideas for knowing market dynamics, identifying market behavior, and developing effective investment strategies.

6.2 Limitations of the Study and Possible Directions for Future Research

To investigate the connection between market sentiment and investor behavioral biases, this paper heavily relies on conceptual analysis and a literature review. Although this strategy has clear limitations, it can provide a thorough understanding of the influence of mechanisms that market sentiment. First, the findings of this paper may only partially reflect the complexity of the actual situation because there is not enough empirical data to support them. Next, the analysis in this paper concentrates on Chinese market, so it might need to be expanded to include other nations or areas as well. Then, the measurement method of market sentiment still needs to be further optimized and validated. To evaluate the theoretical model in this report, future study could gather and evaluate empirical data, as well as examine more geometric indicators of market sentiment and how they relate to behavioral biases in various global markets and financial instruments. Future studies might also examine how personal differences affect market sentiment and behavioral biases, as well as how social media and fintech affect the creation and dissemination of market sentiment.

6.3 Recommendations for Policymakers and Investors

The following suggestions are made for policymakers and investors based on the findings of this study:

(1) Policymakers should pay close attention to changes in market sentiment and consider combining them into macroeconomic policy factors. Through proper policy intervention and information disclosure, policymakers are manual market sentiment, reduce abnormal market volatility, and keep financial market stability. Investor education should also be expanded to make investors more aware of the impact of market sentiment and help them make more rational investment decisions.

(2) Investors should be aware of the possible effects of market sentiment on their behavior and taking steps to mitigate these effects. Investors can diversify their investments, establish stop-loss points, and conduct regular portfolio reviews to reduce the impact of feelings on their decision-making. In addition, investors should produce an extended-term investment mindset and prevent making impulsive trading decisions because of brief-term market mood swings.

The findings of this research highlight the importance of understanding and controlling market sentiment and providing investors and policymakers with strategies to manage market sentiment changes. Stable operation and good financial market development can be promoted through this work.

References

- Baker, M., & Wurgler, J., (2006). Investor sentiment and the cross-section of stock returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 61(4), 1645-1680.
- Barberis, N., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R., (1998). A model of investor sentiment. *The Journal of Financial Economics*, 49(3), 307-343.
- Bikhchandani, S., Hirshleifer, D., & Welch, I., (1992). A theory of fads, fashion, custom, and cultural change as informational cascades. *The Journal of Political Economy*, *100*(5), 992-1026.
- Daniel, K., Hirshleifer, D., & Subrahmanyam, A., (1998). Investor psychology and security market under- and overreactions. *The Journal of Finance*, 53(6), 1839-1885.
- De Long, J. B., Shleifer, A., Summers, L. H., & Waldmann, R. J., (1990). Noise trader risk in financial markets. *The Journal of Political Economy*, 98(4), 703-738.
- Hirshleifer, D., (2001). Investor psychology and asset pricing. The Journal of Finance, 56(4), 1533-1597.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A., (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263-291.
- Odean, T., (1998). Are investors reluctant to realize their losses? The Journal of Finance, 53(5), 1775-1798.
- Shefrin, H., (2002). *Beyond greed and fear: Understanding behavioral finance and the psychology of investing*. Harvard Business Press.
- Shefrin, H., & Statman, M., (1985). The disposition to sell winners too early and ride losers too long: Theory and evidence. *The Journal of Finance*, 40(3), 777-790.
- Shiller, R. J., (1984). Stock prices and social dynamics. The Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 2, 457-510.
- Thaler, R. H., (1991). Quasi Rational Economics. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D., (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science*, 211(4481), 453-458.
- Zhang, D., & Liao, S. K., (2009). A study of investor sentiment in the Chinese securities market. *Securities Market Herald*, (10), 8.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).