Paradigm Academic Press
Law and Economy
ISSN 2788-7049
MAY. 2025 VOL.4, NO.4

Transformation Pathways of Organizational Structures in Italy's Traditional Manufacturing Industry in the Context of Industry 4.0

Giulia Marchetti-Valerio[1]

[1] Marche Polytechnic University, Ancona, Italy

Correspondence: Giulia Marchetti-Valerio, Marche Polytechnic University, Ancona, Italy.

doi:10.63593/LE.2788-7049.2025.05.002

Abstract

This paper investigates the transformation pathways of organizational structures in Italy's traditional manufacturing sector amid the pressures and opportunities of Industry 4.0. Rooted in a legacy of small, family-owned firms and regionally embedded industrial districts, Italian manufacturing is undergoing a complex transition shaped by digital disruption, demographic shifts, and sustainability imperatives. Drawing on institutional, regional, and organizational analysis, the paper identifies key drivers of change—including policy incentives, market dynamics, and technological innovation—as well as the sources of resistance and structural inertia that hinder reform. Particular attention is paid to the evolving governance models, hybrid workforce roles, and the mediating role of vocational and academic institutions. The paper argues for a strategic convergence of digital and green transitions, supported by decentralized innovation ecosystems and inclusive capacity-building. It concludes by proposing a framework for resilient, smart, and sustainable manufacturing tailored to the socio-cultural fabric of Italy's industrial heritage.

Keywords: Industry 4.0, Italian manufacturing, organizational transformation, industrial districts, vocational training, digital skills, governance models

1. Introduction

Italy's manufacturing sector is internationally recognized not only for its high-quality products, particularly in textiles, automotive components, machinery, and artisanal goods, but also for its deep-rooted industrial traditions. The structure of this sector is heavily shaped by a long-standing reliance on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and family-owned firms, many of which are embedded in territorially concentrated production systems known as industrial districts (*distretti industriali*). While these characteristics have historically underpinned the success of Italian industry—enabling flexibility, craftsmanship, and innovation—they have also contributed to organizational rigidity that poses challenges in the face of Industry 4.0 transformations.

Many of these firms are multi-generational, with ownership and leadership passed down through families, often without formal succession planning or external professionalization. This continuity preserves craftsmanship and local identity but tends to reinforce vertical hierarchies and paternalistic governance models, where key decisions remain centralized in the founder or family leadership. Such structures often lack formalized managerial layers, making them less adaptive to rapid technological or procedural shifts.

Moreover, traditional Italian manufacturing relies on strong social and relational capital, rooted in trust-based networks between producers, suppliers, and skilled labor. While this informality allows for agile collaboration within districts, it often substitutes for codified knowledge systems, digital infrastructures, and data-driven management, which are crucial in Industry 4.0 ecosystems. Consequently, many firms operate with low levels of digital maturity and underdeveloped internal structures for innovation or technology absorption.

The concentration of firms in industrial districts—such as Emilia-Romagna for packaging machinery, Veneto for

eyewear, or Marche for footwear—has created highly localized production logics. While beneficial in creating economies of scope and fostering regional identity, this regionalism can foster insularity, where firms optimize within existing production logics rather than adopting disruptive technologies. Studies by the OECD and ISTAT indicate that even in the face of productivity stagnation, many firms are hesitant to alter their internal processes, preferring continuity over transformation (ISTAT, 2022).

Another factor reinforcing rigidity is the low level of managerial turnover and weak external corporate governance. Many SMEs do not have external boards or innovation officers, and strategic decisions are often made based on intuition rather than structured analysis. Furthermore, the average age of Italian entrepreneurs in manufacturing is over 55, according to a 2021 report by Unioncamere, which contributes to a generational gap in digital leadership capacity.

This organizational rigidity is not inherently a flaw—it has enabled Italian firms to preserve quality, maintain employment, and resist offshoring. However, in the context of Industry 4.0, characterized by interconnected, automated, and data-rich production environments, these very strengths become liabilities if not restructured. The challenge, then, is to reconcile industrial heritage with adaptive governance, enabling traditional firms to evolve without losing their distinctive socio-economic identities.

2. Drivers and Pressures for Change in the Industry 4.0 Era

The advent of Industry 4.0 has introduced profound disruptions to global manufacturing systems, pushing even the most established industrial economies to reconsider their organizational and operational models. In Italy, where the manufacturing sector is deeply tied to artisanal expertise and decentralized production systems, these pressures are both technical and structural. A confluence of technological innovation, market reconfiguration, policy incentives, and labor force evolution is compelling traditional manufacturing firms to transform or risk obsolescence.

One of the primary drivers is the acceleration of digital technologies such as cyber-physical systems, industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), robotics, artificial intelligence, and cloud-based enterprise platforms. These tools demand a fundamental rethinking of how work is organized and coordinated within manufacturing firms. For businesses accustomed to analog workflows and face-to-face decision-making, the imperative to adopt real-time data analytics, remote monitoring, and integrated value chains introduces unfamiliar management challenges. A 2022 survey by Confindustria revealed that while 63% of large manufacturers had initiated some form of digital transformation, only 28% of SMEs had a formal Industry 4.0 strategy, highlighting a clear readiness gap.

Global market dynamics are another source of pressure. Italian firms, particularly in sectors such as textiles, machinery, and automotive components, are increasingly exposed to price and innovation competition from Asian and Northern European manufacturers. Customized production, rapid prototyping, and smart logistics—enabled by digital platforms—are now baseline expectations in global supply chains. Italian firms that cannot match this pace face the risk of exclusion from strategic international partnerships or larger B2B platforms.

Policy frameworks have also played a significant role in accelerating change. Italy's "Piano Nazionale Industria 4.0", launched in 2016 and updated under "Transizione 4.0", offers tax credits, super-depreciation for technological investments, and support for digital training. These programs aim to reduce barriers to entry for automation and digitization, particularly for SMEs. However, uptake has been uneven: firms with greater financial capacity or connections to research institutions have benefited more, while smaller, family-run firms—especially in the south—have struggled to engage.

Demographic and labor force trends are an equally important force for change. Italy's aging population and shrinking youth workforce mean that manual and tacit knowledge is disappearing. As experienced workers retire, there is both a skills gap and a knowledge transfer crisis, especially in traditional sectors like ceramics, woodworking, and precision mechanics. Meanwhile, younger workers entering the labor market demand digitally integrated, flexible work environments, pressuring employers to update their internal practices—not just technologically, but also culturally.

Lastly, environmental and social sustainability are rising in both regulatory and market agendas. European Union policies such as the Green Deal and Fit for 55 push firms to align with carbon neutrality targets, resource efficiency, and circular economy principles. These pressures require organizational reconfiguration, not only in production methods but also in governance, supply chain accountability, and stakeholder communication. Firms must now integrate digital and environmental strategies, giving rise to a dual transformation agenda.

In sum, Italian traditional manufacturing firms are being pushed from multiple fronts to evolve. While many recognize the need for transformation, the complexity of coordinating these diverse pressures—technological, economic, regulatory, demographic, and environmental—poses a serious challenge to existing organizational models. The next sections will explore how firms are responding through new adaptation models and the

institutional supports (or constraints) shaping these pathways.

3. Organizational Adaptation Models in Traditional Firms

3.1 Reconfiguring Governance: From Centralized Control to Decentralized Networks

The transition to Industry 4.0 challenges not only the technological systems of manufacturing firms but also the very architecture of organizational governance. For Italy's traditional manufacturing enterprises—many of which are structured around centralized, owner-driven decision-making models—this shift represents a profound cultural and operational transformation. The move toward decentralized, networked governance is increasingly seen as essential to navigate digital complexity, enhance responsiveness, and foster innovation.

Historically, governance in Italian SMEs has been characterized by top-down hierarchies, often with key decisions retained by founding family members or a small executive circle. While such structures have advantages in speed and cohesion, they are poorly suited to the distributed decision-making and cross-functional coordination required in digitally driven manufacturing environments. Industry 4.0 systems rely on interconnected machines, data flows, and human-machine interfaces that span across departments, plants, and even external actors—making rigid governance a liability rather than an asset.

In response, a growing number of firms are adopting networked governance models that emphasize delegation, lateral communication, and internal autonomy. For instance, manufacturing companies in the Brescia and Reggio Emilia industrial districts have begun implementing cross-functional digital innovation teams composed of IT personnel, production managers, and external consultants. These teams are empowered to pilot changes—such as ERP system integration or predictive maintenance algorithms—without requiring approval from the top leadership at every stage. This approach increases adaptability and embeds innovation capacity across the organization.

Furthermore, the introduction of real-time data platforms (e.g., MES—Manufacturing Execution Systems) enables more decentralized decision-making by providing frontline managers and operators with access to key performance indicators and machine diagnostics. In firms where these platforms are adopted, decision authority shifts closer to the point of action, enhancing reactivity and fostering a sense of ownership among workers. According to a 2021 report by Politecnico di Milano's Osservatorio Industria 4.0, firms that adopted real-time data governance tools reported a 28% increase in operational agility and a 21% reduction in unplanned downtimes.

However, decentralization is not simply a technical process—it requires a cultural shift. In many traditional firms, there is resistance to sharing authority, especially where senior leadership equates control with risk mitigation. Organizational change therefore involves retraining leadership to focus on orchestration rather than command, often supported by external change management advisors or innovation hubs. Pilot programs supported by Confindustria Digitale have emphasized "governance maturity" as a key success factor in digital transitions, highlighting the need for firms to build shared decision protocols, transparent communication systems, and continuous feedback loops.

Another dimension of this shift is external governance extension—the growing importance of partnerships and inter-firm networks in decision-making. In sectors like precision machinery or automotive components, firms increasingly rely on digital collaboration platforms to share design data, coordinate logistics, or co-develop products with suppliers and clients. These platforms often operate outside traditional firm boundaries, requiring governance models that embrace transparency, joint accountability, and trust-based collaboration.

In short, the reconfiguration of governance in Italy's traditional manufacturing firms marks a gradual but necessary shift from personalized leadership to distributed organizational intelligence. While the path is uneven and often constrained by legacy mindsets, those firms able to decentralize strategically—without losing coherence—are better positioned to harness the full potential of Industry 4.0.

3.2 Workforce Transformation: Hybrid Roles and Digital Competency Demands

The shift toward Industry 4.0 is not only a technological revolution but also a workforce transformation that fundamentally reshapes job profiles, skill requirements, and role expectations within Italy's traditional manufacturing firms. As production becomes increasingly digitized, the boundaries between technical labor, information management, and decision-making blur—leading to the emergence of hybrid roles that demand both manual expertise and digital fluency.

In traditional Italian SMEs, job roles have historically followed craft-based specializations. Workers acquired tacit knowledge through apprenticeship-like models, often passed down across generations. While this approach supported high-quality artisanal production, it created rigid occupational categories and discouraged cross-functional skills development. In the Industry 4.0 era, such fixed-role structures are increasingly incompatible with the demands of integrated production systems where data, automation, and human input must

constantly interact.

New job profiles are emerging that combine operational knowledge with digital literacy. Examples include:

- Mechatronic Operators, who must understand both mechanical systems and software-based diagnostics;
- Data-Enabled Line Managers, capable of interpreting real-time analytics from MES or SCADA systems;
- Digital Maintenance Technicians, trained to monitor sensor feedback and perform predictive interventions.

These roles reflect a broader trend toward "T-shaped" skills, in which workers maintain deep technical knowledge in a core area while acquiring complementary digital and collaborative competencies.

However, this transformation reveals major skill gaps. A 2022 study by Unioncamere and ANPAL estimated that over 38% of Italian manufacturing firms face shortages of digitally competent workers, particularly in SMEs located outside major industrial regions. Moreover, many older workers—who form the backbone of the existing workforce—report discomfort with digital interfaces, creating resistance to process changes and digital tool adoption. The average age of Italian industrial workers is 46.7, one of the highest in the EU, adding urgency to reskilling efforts.

To bridge these gaps, firms are increasingly investing in in-house training programs, often in collaboration with regional Istituti Tecnici Superiori (ITS) or local universities. For example, in the Emilia-Romagna region, partnerships between SMEs and institutions like Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia have produced modular training modules in robotics, data literacy, and digital logistics. These initiatives reflect a growing awareness that competency development must be continuous and context-specific—anchored in real production needs rather than abstract certification schemes.

Another crucial development is the integration of soft skills into workforce transformation strategies. As organizations decentralize and adopt agile production methods, employees are increasingly required to engage in team-based problem-solving, communication across departments, and autonomous decision-making. The demand is not merely for digital competence, but for adaptability, learning agility, and systems thinking—qualities traditionally undervalued in rigid manufacturing hierarchies.

Nonetheless, the uneven distribution of training infrastructure and institutional support across Italian regions poses a serious challenge. Northern regions benefit from well-established technical schools and industry-university ecosystems, while firms in southern Italy often lack access to structured upskilling programs. This exacerbates existing regional disparities and creates a dual-speed transition in the national manufacturing landscape.

In sum, the workforce transformation underway in Italy's traditional manufacturing sector is not simply about adding digital skills to existing roles—it is about redesigning work itself. Firms that succeed in this transition will be those that invest not only in technology, but in people: equipping workers with the hybrid capabilities necessary to thrive in complex, data-rich, and rapidly evolving production environments.

4. Institutional and Regional Mediators of Transformation

4.1 Industrial Districts and Localized Innovation Dynamics

Italy's industrial landscape is distinguished by its "distretti industriali"—geographically concentrated clusters of small and medium-sized firms, often organized around a shared product, process, or market niche. These districts, deeply rooted in regional culture and socio-economic history, have long been recognized as engines of economic vitality and organizational resilience. As Italy navigates the structural transformations demanded by Industry 4.0, industrial districts are playing a dual role: both as stabilizing forces preserving traditional competencies, and as catalysts for localized innovation and adaptive change.

Historically, industrial districts—such as those in Emilia-Romagna (automation), Veneto (textiles and eyewear), and Marche (footwear)—thrived on proximity-based advantages: informal knowledge exchange, subcontracting flexibility, shared labor pools, and dense supplier-buyer relationships. While this proximity fostered innovation in the past, it often operated without formal R&D departments or structured innovation governance. The introduction of Industry 4.0 technologies, however, necessitates greater formalization of knowledge production, the integration of digital infrastructure, and the expansion of innovation beyond the firm level.

In response, several districts have begun to evolve into regional innovation ecosystems, facilitated by public-private partnerships, regional governments, and EU cohesion policy instruments. For instance, in Reggio Emilia, the traditional packaging machinery district has embraced digital manufacturing through collaboration between local SMEs, the Digital Innovation Hub Emilia-Romagna, and institutions like the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. These actors jointly manage testbeds for automation, coordinate inter-firm training,

and co-develop data platforms for production analytics—allowing even small firms to access advanced technologies without incurring prohibitive individual costs.

Similarly, in Vicenza's textile district, a shift is underway from informal production networks to smart manufacturing consortia. These consortia pool resources to invest in shared digital platforms, traceability technologies, and environmental certifications, responding both to global sustainability pressures and to the need for data-driven governance. Importantly, this district-level coordination enables the diffusion of innovation among firms that might otherwise resist or be excluded from the digital transition due to limited internal capabilities.

This localized dynamic of innovation is further enhanced by the role of intermediary institutions—including chambers of commerce, regional development agencies, and digital competence centers—which act as translators of national policy into context-sensitive transformation strategies. For example, the National Industry 4.0 Plan is interpreted differently in Veneto and Apulia, with regional agencies customizing implementation based on industrial composition, digital maturity, and workforce profiles.

Nonetheless, the success of these innovation ecosystems is not automatic. Some districts have shown resistance to structural transformation, particularly where social cohesion reinforces traditional practices. In artisan-dominated districts, for instance, there may be a preference for incremental upgrades over systemic change, and a cultural reluctance to formalize processes or adopt external technical expertise. Moreover, regional disparities in infrastructure—such as broadband access or advanced training centers—mean that southern districts often lag in innovation readiness, despite policy support.

In sum, Italy's industrial districts are proving to be critical mediators of organizational transformation, translating the abstract imperatives of Industry 4.0 into territorialized strategies for change. Their success depends not only on internal firm willingness but also on the strength of collaborative institutions, the availability of shared infrastructure, and the ability to align local tradition with global innovation trajectories.

4.2 Partnerships with Vocational Training Systems and Universities

In the context of Italy's transition toward Industry 4.0, collaboration between traditional manufacturing firms and educational institutions has emerged as a vital strategy for organizational transformation. These partnerships serve as conduits for skills renewal, research transfer, and cultural modernization, particularly in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that often lack internal resources for innovation. By connecting firms to vocational training systems (Istituti Tecnici Superiori, ITS) and public universities, these collaborations help mediate between legacy production logics and emergent digital competencies.

The role of ITS institutions, created in 2010 under national education reform, has grown significantly in recent years. These schools offer two-year post-secondary programs focused on high-tech sectors, including mechatronics, automation, and sustainable production. Unlike traditional university education, ITS curricula are co-designed with industry partners, and approximately 30–40% of instruction occurs through internships in firms. According to the Italian Ministry of Education, over 80% of ITS graduates find employment within one year, often in roles involving hybrid technical-digital skillsets—exactly the kind of roles that Industry 4.0 integration demands.

For example, in the Lombardy region, partnerships between ITS Lombardia Meccatronica and regional SMEs have produced co-designed learning modules on PLC programming, CNC machine integration, and IoT monitoring systems. These collaborations not only supply firms with job-ready candidates but also create feedback loops where industrial needs directly influence curricular updates, fostering greater alignment between education and production.

At the university level, collaborations are more research- and technology-oriented, often linked to engineering faculties and digital innovation hubs. In Piedmont and Emilia-Romagna, universities such as Politecnico di Torino and University of Bologna play leading roles in supporting SMEs through technology transfer centers, living labs, and joint applied research projects. These initiatives provide testing environments for Industry 4.0 technologies—like collaborative robotics or augmented reality—while also encouraging students and researchers to engage with real-world manufacturing problems.

Importantly, these partnerships often act as gateways for governance modernization within firms. By working alongside younger, digitally literate trainees or university researchers, traditional firms are exposed to new mindsets, working methods, and design logics. In some cases, this has led to internal organizational restructuring, including the creation of innovation task forces, in-house training units, or horizontal communication platforms. Thus, educational partnerships function not only as talent pipelines but also as vehicles for cultural and managerial transformation.

Nonetheless, the landscape is uneven. While northern and central regions benefit from dense institutional

ecosystems and high participation rates in dual training models, southern Italy lags significantly in both infrastructure and firm engagement. According to a 2022 report by Unioncamere, only 15% of SMEs in southern regions had formal partnerships with ITS or universities, compared to over 40% in Emilia-Romagna and Lombardy. This imbalance threatens to exacerbate existing territorial divides in innovation capacity and organizational modernization.

Furthermore, sustaining these partnerships requires ongoing coordination, public investment, and incentives. Programs like "Fondo Nuove Competenze" (New Skills Fund) and "Piano Nazionale Transizione 4.0" provide partial funding, but their complexity and bureaucracy often deter smaller firms. Simplifying access, increasing awareness, and strengthening intermediary actors—such as regional innovation agencies—remain essential for maximizing impact.

In conclusion, partnerships with vocational and academic institutions represent strategic enablers of Industry 4.0 transformation in Italy's manufacturing sector. They bridge the structural gap between tradition and innovation, help redistribute transformation capabilities across regions, and embed learning into the organizational fabric of firms navigating digital disruption.

5. Resistance, Frictions, and Organizational Inertia

While the discourse surrounding Industry 4.0 often emphasizes progress, innovation, and transformation, the reality on the ground—especially within Italy's traditional manufacturing sector—is marked by significant resistance and organizational inertia. Deep-rooted cultural values, generational divides, structural rigidities, and a legacy of artisanal pride all contribute to a complex terrain in which change is not only difficult, but often actively resisted.

A key source of friction lies in the identity and value systems that underpin many Italian SMEs. These firms are frequently family-owned, multigenerational enterprises with a strong sense of continuity and craftsmanship. Their success has historically relied on mastery of tacit, hands-on knowledge, deeply embedded in localized production cultures. For many firm owners and senior managers, the digitalization of production processes, automation of tasks, or redefinition of skill roles is perceived not just as a technical challenge, but as a threat to their professional identity and control.

Generational dynamics further reinforce this resistance. According to data from ISTAT (2021), nearly 60% of Italian manufacturing company owners are over the age of 55, and a significant proportion have limited formal digital education. In many cases, digital transformation is viewed with suspicion, regarded as an abstract or imposed discourse driven by external consultants or governmental policy. Younger workers or external innovation specialists often encounter skepticism or hierarchical gatekeeping when proposing structural changes, leading to cultural clashes within the organization.

Another friction point is fear of workforce displacement. Despite the potential for Industry 4.0 to enhance job quality and reduce physically demanding tasks, employees—especially older, lower-skilled ones—frequently interpret automation as a precursor to layoffs. In unions with strong presence (e.g., FIOM-CGIL in metalworking sectors), this anxiety can translate into collective pushback, demands for job guarantees, or calls for slow implementation timelines. As a result, even when technical upgrades are feasible, social consensus becomes a barrier to organizational restructuring.

In addition, many firms lack the internal capacity to manage change, particularly those with small administrative teams and no dedicated HR or innovation departments. The introduction of new technologies often depends on ad hoc decisions, without accompanying investments in training, process redesign, or employee involvement. This results in fragmented initiatives that fail to scale or embed, reinforcing the perception that digital transformation is costly, disruptive, and ultimately incompatible with existing workflows.

Institutional complexity further compounds inertia. While national policies like Transizione 4.0 offer incentives, the associated bureaucracy, eligibility requirements, and reporting obligations discourage participation among smaller firms. Many report difficulties accessing competent digital advisors, navigating overlapping regional and national funding streams, or even understanding what "Industry 4.0 readiness" entails in practice.

Finally, some resistance is strategic rather than emotional or cultural. In tightly competitive sectors such as textiles, ceramics, or mechanical subcontracting, firms often operate on thin margins. Investing in uncertain technologies without guaranteed return—and in a volatile global environment—can be seen as economically irrational. For many business owners, short-term survival trumps long-term innovation, leading to a deliberate decision to "wait and see" rather than pioneer change.

Taken together, these factors illustrate that the transformation of Italy's manufacturing sector is not simply a matter of upgrading machines or installing new software. It requires a fundamental rethinking of organizational cultures, leadership practices, labor relations, and identity narratives. Overcoming resistance demands more than

financial incentives; it requires trust-building, participatory planning, intergenerational dialogue, and institutionally embedded support mechanisms.

6. Strategic Futures: Pathways Toward Smart and Sustainable Manufacturing

As Italy's traditional manufacturing sector confronts the dual challenge of digital disruption and socio-environmental responsibility, the future of organizational transformation will depend on its ability to forge strategic pathways that are both smart and sustainable. This future does not entail a wholesale rejection of the past, but rather a selective and context-sensitive evolution—one that preserves the cultural value of Italian craftsmanship while enabling resilience, inclusivity, and innovation in an increasingly complex industrial landscape.

A key pillar of this strategic future is the integration of digital and green transitions. Industry 4.0 technologies—when thoughtfully implemented—can facilitate significant gains in energy efficiency, material optimization, and process transparency. Predictive maintenance, IoT-enabled resource tracking, and AI-driven supply chain management are not merely productivity tools; they are enablers of circular manufacturing systems. Italian firms that embed sustainability metrics into their digital strategies can position themselves not only as globally competitive producers, but also as ethical actors aligned with EU goals like the Green Deal and Fit for 55.

To enable this, organizational structures must become more fluid, collaborative, and learning-oriented. Hierarchies based on inherited authority or artisanal mastery must give way to networked models where knowledge flows across roles, generations, and even firms. This shift requires deliberate investments in intergenerational leadership development, employee co-design of innovation, and internal mechanisms for continuous learning—supported by both public policy and civil society.

Moreover, the regional dimension of transformation must remain central. Italy's future industrial strength lies not in the uniform adoption of global models, but in the territorial articulation of innovation: place-based strategies that connect SMEs, universities, training centers, and governance institutions. Smart specialization agendas and local digital innovation hubs should be used to orchestrate cluster-wide transitions, ensuring that no firm or region is left behind. This includes addressing digital divides between north and south, urban and rural areas, and well-resourced and marginal districts.

Financial mechanisms must also be recalibrated to support long-term organizational innovation. Beyond tax credits for capital investments, Italy needs patient funding models that support experimentation, organizational redesign, and cultural transition. Public procurement policies, green finance instruments, and EU Structural Funds should be leveraged not only for technology acquisition, but for capacity-building and inclusive participation.

Finally, the future of manufacturing must be conceived not just in economic but in social terms. Smart manufacturing should promote decent work, gender inclusion, and youth engagement, recognizing that organizational transformation is ultimately about human transformation. Policies and firm strategies must include the voices of workers, communities, and future generations—ensuring that innovation serves broader societal goals rather than narrow technical benchmarks.

In sum, the path toward smart and sustainable manufacturing in Italy is neither linear nor uniform. It requires strategic pluralism: a willingness to combine old and new, tradition and experimentation, local knowledge and global connectivity. For firms, policymakers, and institutions alike, the challenge is not simply to digitize production, but to reimagine the very foundations of how manufacturing is organized, governed, and valued in a 21st-century society.

References

Bettiol, M., Capestro, M., & De Marchi, V., (2021). Industrial districts and the fourth industrial revolution. *Critical Perspectives on International Business*.

Da Roit, B., & Iannuzzi, F. E., (2023). One of many roads to Industry 4.0? Technology, policy, organisational adaptation and worker experience in 'Third Italy' SMEs. *New Technology, Work and Employment*.

Gaddi, M., Garbellini, N., & Garibaldo, F., (2022). The growing inequalities in Italy—North/South—and the increasing dependency of the successful North upon German and French industries. In *Growing Inequalities in Europe* (pp. 79–95).

Hervas-Oliver, J. L., (2022). Industry 4.0 in industrial district SMEs: Understanding collective knowledge transfer by research and transfer institutes. *Critical Perspectives on International Business*.

Maglio, M., (2020). Intellectual capital and territorial organization for Industry 4.0. *Journal of Knowledge Economy*, *17*(4).

Margherita, E. G., & Braccini, A. M., (2023). Industry 4.0 technologies in flexible manufacturing for sustainable organizational value: Reflections from a multiple case study of Italian manufacturers. *Information Systems Frontiers*.

Pagano, A., Carloni, E., & Galvani, S., (2021). The dissemination mechanisms of Industry 4.0 knowledge in traditional industrial districts: Evidence from Italy. *Critical Perspectives on International Business*.

Pessina, G. L., (2022). Industrial districts and the fourth industrial revolution: Recent changes and the Industry 4.0 challenge [Doctoral dissertation, University of Milan].