Paradigm Academic Press
Law and Economy
ISSN 2788-7049
SEP. 2026 VOL.5, NO.3

Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanism Used in Resolving Football Disputes

Awandem Willy Steve[1]

[1] Landmark Metropolitan University, Buea, Cameroon

Correspondence: Awandem Willy Steve, Landmark Metropolitan University, Buea, Cameroon.

doi:10.63593/LE.2788-7049.2026.09.011

Abstract

Football, as the world's most popular sport, inevitably generates disputes among players, clubs, agents, and governing bodies. These disputes range from contractual disagreements to disciplinary sanctions and transfer conflicts. Traditional litigation often proves unsuitable due to its cost, delays, and adversarial nature. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms provide a more efficient and flexible approach. ADR includes arbitration, mediation, and negotiation as key methods. Arbitration is the most prominent mechanism in football disputes. The Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) serves as the supreme body for sports arbitration. CAS ensures neutrality, expertise, and consistency in resolving football conflicts. Mediation emphasizes dialogue and consensus between disputing parties. It preserves relationships and promotes amicable settlements. Negotiation allows direct resolution without third-party intervention. FIFA has institutionalized ADR through its Dispute Resolution Chamber (DRC). The DRC handles transfer disputes and contractual claims between players and clubs. National federations also adopt ADR clauses in player contracts. ADR mechanisms reduce the burden on civil courts worldwide. They guarantee confidentiality and procedural flexibility in sensitive matters. ADR enhances fairness and integrity in football governance. It balances the interests of clubs, players, agents, and federations. Disciplinary and ethical violations are also addressed through ADR frameworks. The effectiveness of ADR strengthens trust in football institutions. It ensures that disputes are resolved swiftly and equitably. Thus, ADR remains indispensable in maintaining harmony and justice in global football.

Keywords: dispute resolution, mechanism, football disputes, international football law

1. Introduction

Football, as the most popular sport worldwide, is not only a source of entertainment but also a multi-billion-dollar industry that inevitably generates disputes among its stakeholders. These disputes may arise between players and clubs, agents and federations, or even among sponsors and governing bodies. The complexity of football disputes stems from contractual obligations, transfer negotiations, disciplinary sanctions, and ethical violations. Traditional litigation, though available, often proves inadequate due to its adversarial nature, high costs, and lengthy procedures. As a result, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms have become increasingly important in the football industry. ADR refers to methods such as arbitration, mediation, and negotiation that provide flexible and efficient solutions outside the courtroom.

Arbitration is the most widely used mechanism in football disputes. The Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS), headquartered in Lausanne, Switzerland, plays a central role in resolving international football conflicts. CAS ensures neutrality, expertise, and consistency in its decisions, thereby enhancing trust in sports governance. Mediation, on the other hand, emphasizes dialogue and consensus, helping parties preserve long-term relationships.

Negotiation allows disputants to reach settlements directly without third-party intervention.

FIFA has institutionalized ADR through its Dispute Resolution Chamber (DRC), which handles transfer disputes and contractual claims. National federations also adopt ADR clauses in player contracts to ensure swift resolution of conflicts. ADR mechanisms reduce the burden on civil courts and promote confidentiality in sensitive matters. They also provide procedural flexibility that accommodates the unique dynamics of football. By balancing the interests of clubs, players, agents, and federations, ADR strengthens fairness in football governance. It further addresses disciplinary and ethical issues that affect the integrity of the sport. The effectiveness of ADR mechanisms has reinforced confidence in football institutions globally. They ensure that disputes are resolved equitably and in line with the principles of justice. ADR thus contributes to maintaining harmony and stability within the football industry. In this context, ADR emerges not merely as an alternative but as an indispensable mechanism for resolving football disputes.

2. Alternative Disputes Mechanisms

Football disputes frequently arise due to disagreements between players, clubs, agents, and governing bodies over matters such as contracts, transfers, wages, and governance. Traditional court litigation, while an option, is often not practical due to its adversarial nature, lengthy processes, and high costs. To address these challenges, the football ecosystem relies on Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms that prioritize efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and the preservation of relationships. These mechanisms, which include arbitration, mediation, negotiation, conciliation, ombudsman services, and others, provide effective ways to resolve disputes while ensuring fairness and compliance with established rules.

2.1 Arbitration

Arbitration is one of the most widely used ADR methods in football due to its formalized yet flexible structure. It involves the submission of a dispute to one or more neutral arbitrators, who act as decision-makers. Arbitration is particularly effective for disputes that require specialized knowledge of football regulations, such as contractual disagreements or international transfer disputes.[1] For example, when a player claims unpaid wages, the arbitrator examines the contract, the evidence of payment or non-payment, and issues a binding award based on the findings.

In football, arbitration is institutionalized through bodies such as FIFA Dispute Resolution Chamber (DRC) and the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS). [2]The DRC specifically addresses disputes involving international transfers, player status, and compensation claims. It acts as a neutral platform where players, clubs, and agents can seek resolution without resorting to national courts. CAS, on the other hand, is the global appellate body for football disputes. When parties are dissatisfied with decisions by FIFA or national federations like FECAFOOT, they escalate the matter to CAS, which delivers a final and enforceable ruling.

Arbitration in football is guided by procedural rules that ensure fairness and efficiency. Parties agree on the terms of arbitration, including the selection of arbitrators and the scope of issues to be resolved. The arbitrator decisions are final and binding, ensuring that disputes are conclusively resolved. Furthermore, arbitration proceedings are confidential, protecting the reputations of the parties involved. This aspect is particularly important in football, where public scrutiny can damage careers and relationships.[3] The use of arbitration extends to governance disputes within football federations. For instance, conflicts between FECAFOOT and the Ministry of Sports and Physical Education over governance or funding allocation can be referred to arbitration to ensure impartiality and compliance with FIFA regulations. Similarly, disagreements over the organization of leagues or the interpretation of sponsorship contracts are often resolved through arbitration.[4]

Arbitration's effectiveness in football stems from its ability to handle complex and high-stakes disputes efficiently. By providing specialized and enforceable solutions, arbitration contributes significantly to maintaining the stability and integrity of the sport at all levels, from grassroots to professional football.

2.2 Mediation

Mediation is another essential ADR mechanism used in football disputes. Unlike arbitration, mediation is a collaborative process in which a neutral third party, the mediator, facilitates discussions between the disputing parties. The mediator helps the parties identify common ground and work toward a mutually agreeable solution. Mediation is non-binding, meaning that the parties retain control over the outcome and can choose whether to

[1] International Council of Arbitration for Sport, Guidelines on Mediation and Arbitration in Sport (2019).

[2] Bjorklund, A. K. (2007). Mandatory rules of law and arbitration. *The American Review of International Arbitration*, 175.

[3] Stipanowich, T. J. (2007). The arbitration penumbra: Arbitration law and the rapidly changing landscape of dispute resolution. Nevada Law Journal, 8, 427.

[4] Confederation of African Football. (2020). CAF disciplinary code (Art. 13).

accept the mediator's recommendations.[1]

In the football industry, mediation is particularly valuable for resolving interpersonal or relational disputes. For example, conflicts between players and coaches over professional conduct or training methods are often resolved through mediation. The process enables both parties to express their concerns and negotiate solutions in a respectful and non-adversarial environment. Mediation can also be used in disputes between clubs and sponsors, where the focus is on preserving long-term partnerships.

Mediation application in football is often guided by the rules and frameworks of governing bodies like FIFA or FECAFOOT. For instance, FIFA encourages mediation as an initial step before disputes are escalated to arbitration or litigation. This approach not only reduces the caseload for arbitration bodies but also promotes amicable settlements that strengthen relationships among stakeholders. In national contexts, mediation is frequently facilitated by dedicated committees within federations, ensuring that disputes are resolved locally and informally. One notable area where mediation is used is in governance disputes within federations. When disagreements arise over the organization of leagues or the allocation of resources, mediation provides an opportunity for stakeholders to address their concerns without escalating conflicts. This is particularly important in Cameroon, where governance conflicts between FECAFOOT and MINSEP have historically disrupted football activities. Mediation offers a practical solution by allowing both parties to negotiate terms that align with national laws and FIFA regulations.[2]

Mediation effectiveness lies in its ability to promote understanding and compromise. By focusing on the interests of the parties rather than their positions, mediation fosters creative solutions that address the underlying causes of disputes.[3] This approach is particularly important in football, where the preservation of relationships and the continuity of the sport are paramount.

2.3 Negotiation

Negotiation is the most direct form of dispute resolution and serves as the foundation for many ADR processes. It involves the disputing parties engaging in discussions to reach an agreement without the involvement of a third party. Negotiation is often the first step in resolving football disputes, as it allows parties to address their issues informally and efficiently. In the context of football, negotiation is widely used in player transfer disputes. As an illustration, when a club seeks to acquire a player from another club, negotiations are conducted to determine the transfer fee, payment terms, and other contractual conditions. Similarly, negotiation plays a key role in resolving disputes over contract renewals or salary adjustments. Players and clubs often negotiate terms that balance the interests of both parties, ensuring a fair and mutually beneficial agreement.[4]

Negotiation is also a critical tool for resolving disputes involving agents. When conflicts arise over representation fees or the terms of player contracts, agents and their clients often engage in negotiation to settle the matter. The process allows both parties to articulate their expectations and find solutions that align with industry standards and legal requirements. In governance disputes, negotiation is used to address conflicts within federations or between federations and external stakeholders. For instance, when FECAFOOT and MINSEP disagree on the allocation of government funding for football activities, negotiation provides a platform for dialogue and compromise. [5]This approach not only resolves disputes but also fosters collaboration and alignment between stakeholders.

Negotiation's value in football lies in its simplicity and adaptability. By allowing parties to engage directly with one another, negotiation promotes open communication and the exploration of creative solutions.[6] This makes it an indispensable tool for resolving disputes in a dynamic and fast-paced industry like football.

2.4 Conciliation

Conciliation is a structured ADR mechanism that combines elements of negotiation and mediation. In conciliation, a neutral third party, the conciliator, actively assists the disputing parties in reaching an agreement. Unlike mediators, conciliators often provide recommendations or propose solutions to resolve the dispute. While conciliation is non-binding, the conciliator's input is highly influential in shaping the outcome.

---

[1] International Council of Mediation, Guidelines on Mediation in Sports (2019).

[2] Blackshaw, I. (2003). The Court of Arbitration for Sport: An international forum for settling disputes effectively within the family of sport. *Entertainment Law, 2*(2), 61–83.

[3] CAS Mediation Rules.

[4] Cameroon Football Federation: Regulation of the 2008/2009 MTN Elite One Season.

[5] Rules and Regulation 2008/2009 of MTN One Elite Season of FECAFOOT.

[6] Procedural rules (CAS) R.28.

In football, conciliation is commonly used to address disputes that require specialized knowledge or expertise. For example, conflicts over the interpretation of FIFA regulations or the allocation of solidarity payments for player transfers may be referred to conciliation. The conciliators role is to clarify the relevant rules and guide the parties toward a fair resolution. One significant application of conciliation in football is in disputes involving disciplinary actions. When players or officials are sanctioned for misconduct, conciliation provides an opportunity for them to appeal the decision and negotiate alternative outcomes. This process ensures that disciplinary measures are proportionate and consistent with established guidelines.

Conciliation is also used to address disputes over sponsorship agreements. When conflicts arise between clubs and sponsors over contract terms, a conciliator helps both parties identify mutually acceptable solutions that preserve their partnership. This is particularly important in football, where sponsorships are a critical source of revenue for clubs. The effectiveness of conciliation in football disputes is enhanced by its structured yet flexible approach. By combining expert guidance with collaborative problem-solving, conciliation ensures that disputes are resolved efficiently and amicably, minimizing disruption to the sport.

2.5 Ombudsman Services

Ombudsman services provide an independent and impartial avenue for resolving complaints in football. An ombudsman is a neutral official who investigates complaints and facilitates resolution through recommendations or mediated agreements. Ombudsmen are commonly appointed by national federations, leagues, or associations to address issues such as unfair treatment, governance conflicts, and ethical violations.[1]

In Cameroon, ombudsman services are increasingly being recognized as a valuable tool for resolving football disputes. For example, an ombudsman may be tasked with investigating allegations of corruption within FECAFOOT or addressing complaints from players about unfair treatment by clubs. The ombudsman's role is to ensure accountability and fairness while maintaining confidentiality and impartiality. One notable application of ombudsman services is in addressing fan-related disputes. When fans raise concerns about ticket pricing, stadium facilities, or governance issues, the ombudsman acts as an intermediary between the fans and the relevant authorities. This helps maintain transparency and trust within the football community.

Ombudsman services are also used to address administrative disputes within federations or clubs. For instance, when conflicts arise over the allocation of resources or the interpretation of internal policies, an ombudsman can provide recommendations that ensure compliance with established rules and principles. [2] The role of ombudsman services in football extends beyond dispute resolution to include advocacy and education. By promoting awareness of rights and responsibilities, ombudsmen contribute to a culture of fairness and accountability in football.

2.6 Dispute Review Boards (DRBs)

Dispute Review Boards (DRBs) are specialized panels established to monitor and resolve disputes that arise during ongoing projects or agreements. While DRBs are commonly used in construction and large-scale infrastructure projects, their application in football has become increasingly relevant, especially in areas involving contracts and development. The DRBs consist of impartial experts who periodically review the status of agreements and address conflicts before they escalate.

In football, DRBs are often used in disputes related to stadium construction, sponsorship agreements, and organizational issues in leagues. For instance, if a football club hires a contractor to renovate a stadium but disagreements arise over delays or payment terms, the DRB acts as a proactive intermediary, ensuring that the project stays on track. The board reviews evidence provided by both parties and offers recommendations to resolve the issue without disrupting the work schedule.

DRBs are particularly valuable in football because they provide a preventive approach to dispute resolution. Unlike arbitration or litigation, which typically address disputes after they escalate, DRBs work to mitigate risks and resolve conflicts as they emerge. This proactive mechanism is essential for ensuring that football projects are completed on time and within budget, which is critical in maintaining the sport's commercial viability.

The effectiveness of DRBs depends on their impartiality and expertise. Members of the board are often selected based on their knowledge of football regulations, contract law, and technical expertise relevant to the dispute. This ensures that their decisions are informed and pragmatic, fostering trust among stakeholders.[3]

2.7 Hybrid Mechanisms (Med-Arb)

[1] Foster, K. (n.d.). The jurisdiction of sport. In S. Greenfield (Ed.), *Readings in law and popular culture* (p. 164).

[2] Section 12 Statutes of the court of arbitration (CAS) Lausanne Switzerland.

[3] Statutes FIFA.

Med-Arb is a hybrid ADR mechanism that combines mediation and arbitration into a single process. The disputing parties first attempt to resolve their conflict through mediation, where a mediator facilitates negotiations. If mediation fails to produce an agreement, the dispute proceeds to arbitration, where an arbitrator issues a binding decision.

The dual structure of Med-Arb makes it particularly effective for resolving football disputes involving financial disagreements or contractual breaches. For instance, a dispute between a player and a club over unpaid bonuses may begin with mediation, allowing the parties to explore potential compromises. If no agreement is reached, the case transitions to arbitration, ensuring that the dispute is ultimately resolved.

In football, Med-Arb is often facilitated by organizations such as FIFA or FECAFOOT, which establish procedural rules for the process.[1] The mediator and arbitrator are typically appointed by mutual agreement of the parties, ensuring fairness and transparency. This structured approach helps maintain the integrity of the process while addressing the unique needs of the disputing parties. Med-Arb's appeal lies in its ability to combine the benefits of mediation and arbitration. The mediation phase allows parties to explore collaborative solutions, fostering understanding and preserving relationships. If mediation fails, the arbitration phase ensures a definitive resolution, avoiding prolonged disputes.

The application of Med-Arb in football has proven effective in addressing disputes that require both flexibility and finality. By integrating mediation and arbitration, Med-Arb offers a comprehensive approach to conflict resolution, balancing collaboration with enforceability.

2.8 Community-Based Dispute Resolution

Community-based dispute resolution is an informal ADR mechanism that involves local stakeholders in resolving conflicts. This approach is particularly relevant in grassroots football, where disputes often arise over access to resources, league management, or player registrations. Community leaders, coaches, and representatives from football associations often act as mediators, facilitating dialogue and negotiation among the disputing parties.[2] In Cameroon, community-based resolution mechanisms play a vital role in promoting fairness and inclusivity in football. For example, disputes between local clubs over player eligibility may be resolved through community meetings, where both sides present their concerns and work toward an agreement. This process is guided by the principles of transparency, collaboration, and respect for local norms.

Community-based dispute resolution is highly effective in grassroots football due to its accessibility and familiarity. By involving local stakeholders, the process fosters trust and accountability, ensuring that disputes are resolved in a way that aligns with the interests of the community. Additionally, this approach helps build stronger relationships among clubs, players, and associations, contributing to the overall development of football at the grassroots level. While community-based resolution mechanisms are informal, they often complement formal ADR processes. For instance, disputes that cannot be resolved at the community level may be escalated to FECAFOOT or regional arbitration bodies for further action. This integration ensures that conflicts are addressed at the appropriate level, minimizing disruptions to the sport.

3. Conclusion

The study underscores the importance of effective dispute resolution as a cornerstone of justice and fairness. Alternative mechanisms such as mediation and arbitration provide flexibility beyond traditional litigation, fostering dialogue and mutual understanding among parties. Within the context of football disputes, these methods ensure timely, impartial, and practical outcomes that safeguard the integrity of the sport. By reducing costs and preserving relationships, ADR strengthens governance and promotes sustainable management practices. It also enhances trust among stakeholders, ensuring that conflicts do not undermine the spirit of competition.

References

Anderson, J. (2010). *Modern sports law: A textbook*. Hart Publishing.

Beloff, M., Kerr, T., & Demetriou, M. (1999). *Sports law*. Hart Publishing.

Blackshaw, I. (2009). *Mediation in sports disputes: An international perspective*. T.M.C. Asser Press.

Ferkins, L., & Shilbury, D. (2015). *Leadership in governance of football: Resolving disputes and driving change*. Routledge.

Gardiner, S. (2012). *Sports law* (4th ed.). Routledge.

[1] Fédération Internationale de Football Association. (2007). FIFA statutes: Regulations governing the application of the statutes and standing orders of Congress.

[2] Cameroon Football Federation: Regulation of the 2008/2009 MTN Elite One Season.

James, M. (2017). *Sports law* (3rd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.

McArdle, D. (2013). *From boot money to Bosman: Football, society and the law*. Routledge.

Mitten, M. J., Davis, T., Smith, R. C., & Berry, T. (2016). *Sports law and regulation: Cases, materials, and problems* (4th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.

Nafziger, J. A. R. (2011). *International sports law* (2nd ed.). Brill.

Parrish, R. (2003). *Sports law and policy in the European Union*. Cambridge University Press.

Siekmann, R. C. R., & Soek, J. (2012). *Lex sportiva: What is sports law?* T.M.C. Asser Press.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).