Paradigm Academic Press Law and Economy ISSN 2788-7049 AUG. 2023 VOL.2, NO.8



The Responses of an Organization for the Increase in Wage Rates: Profit Maximization Cases

Devajit Mohajan¹ & Haradhan Kumar Mohajan²

- ¹ Department of Civil Engineering, Chittagong University of Engineering & Technology, Chittagong, Bangladesh
- ² Department of Mathematics, Premier University, Chittagong, Bangladesh

Correspondence: Haradhan Kumar Mohajan, Department of Mathematics, Premier University, Chittagong, Bangladesh.

doi:10.56397/LE.2023.08.02

Abstract

Every organization expects to achieve maximum profit for its survival economic environment. To develop profit maximization strategy an organization must be sincere in economic sensitivity analysis. In this study sensitivity analysis is inspected to predict about the economic situation for the efficient production of the organization. Here scientific based production policy is indicated subject to a budget constraint, using Lagrange multiplier technique.

Keywords: profit maximization, Lagrange multiplier, wage, sensitivity analysis

1. Introduction

At present mathematical modeling in economics becomes popular in economics and social sciences (Samuelson, 1947). It plays a governing role in modern economics to build local and global financial structures (Ferdous & Mohajan, 2022). The economists not only see their own benefits but also see welfare of the society (Eaton & Lipsey, 1975). No doubt, an organization wants to achieve maximum profit, but it must be sincere about environment pollution in every step of its operation (Mohajan et al., 2013; Mohajan & Mohajan, 2022b, 2023h).

Cobb-Douglas production function is a popular mathematical model in economics that can be applied for the test of profit maximization (Cobb & Douglas, 1928). In this study, we have tried to discuss sensitivity analysis for inputs of the organization with respect to the increased wage rate. We have used determinant of bordered 6×6 Hessian matrix and 6×10 Jacobian matrix to analyze optimization problems.

2. Literature Review

In any research procedure, the literature review is an introductory section and a researcher presents published works of famous authors (Polit & Hungler, 2013). It deals with a secondary research source and does not thinks about coming research works (Gibbs, 2008). In 1928, two American professors: mathematician Charles W. Cobb (1875-1949) and economist Paul H. Douglas (1892-1976) have obtained the functional distribution of income between capital and labor (Cobb & Douglas, 1928). Later in 1984, another two American professors: mathematician John V. Baxley and economist John C. Moorhouse have provided a mathematical formulation for nontrivial constrained optimization problem (Baxley & Moorhouse, 1984).

Bangladeshi famous mathematician Jamal Nazrul Islam and his coworkers have worked on optimization problems with a reasonable interpretation of the Lagrange multipliers (Islam et al., 2009a, b, 2010, 2011). Starting with four variable inputs; Devajit Mohajan and Haradhan Kumar Mohajan have discussed profit maximization procedures (Mohajan & Mohajan, 2022a, 2023g). They have also worked on the sensitivity analysis in a series of papers (Mohajan & Mohajan, 2022c, d).

Pahlaj Moolio and his coauthors have operated on optimization structure (Moolio et al., 2009). Lia Roy and her coworkers have shown that cost minimization is essential for the sustainable development of an industry (Roy et al., 2021). Jannatul Ferdous and Haradhan Kumar Mohajan have established a profit maximization problem (Ferdous & Mohajan, 2022).

3. Research Methodology of the Study

Research is a fundamental and significant tool to the academicians to lead in academic world (Pandey & Pandey, 2015). Methodology is a guideline to prepare a good research that follows scientific methods efficiently (Kothari, 2008). Research methodology is the collection of a set of principles for planning, designing, organizing, and conducting a good research (Legesse, 2014).

We have started our research work with a Cobb-Douglas production function. Then we have used Lagrange multiplier, 6×6 bordered Hessian matrix, and 6×6 Jacobian to discuss sensitivity analysis. Reliability and validity are soul of a seminal research and we have stressed on these as far as possible (Mohajan, 2017b, 2018a, 2020). To make this article attractive to the readers we have depended on the secondary data sources of optimization, such as published and unpublished articles, published books, conference papers, internet, websites, etc. (Mohajan, 2017a, 2018b).

4. Objective of the Study

The chief objective of this study is to discuss sensitivity analysis of various inputs when wage rate is increased. Other trivial but related objectives are as follows:

- to explain Cobb-Douglas production function,
- to predict the economic results, and
- to show the results properly.

5. An Economic Model

Let us consider that an organization deals with h_1 quantity of capital, h_2 quantity of labor, h_3 quantity of principal raw materials, and h_4 quantity of other inputs. The profit function of the organization can be represented by the Cobb-Douglas production function as (Cobb & Douglass, 1928; Mohajan & Mohajan, 2022c,d),

$$P = f(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4) = Ah_1^a h_2^b h_3^c h_4^d$$
 (1)

where A is the technical process of economic system that indicates total factor productivity. Here a, b, c, and d are parameters, where a is the output of elasticity of capital measures the percentage change in P for 1% change in h_1 ; while h_2 , h_3 , and h_4 are held constants. Similar properties carry the other parameters b, c, and d. The values of a, b, c, and d are determined by the available technologies, and must satisfy the following four inequalities (Roy et al., 2021; Mohajan & Mohajan, 2023a,b):

$$0 < a < 1, \ 0 < b < 1, \ 0 < c < 1, \ and \ 0 < d < 1.$$
 (2)

A strict Cobb-Douglas production function, in which $\Sigma = a + b + c + d = 1$ indicates constant returns to scale, $\Sigma > 1$ indicates increasing returns to scale, and $\Sigma < 1$ indicates decreasing returns to scale (Moolio et al., 2009; Mohajan et al., 2013).

The budget constraint of the organization can be expressed as,

$$B(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4) = kh_1 + lh_2 + mh_3 + nh_4,$$
(3)

where k is rate of interest or services of capital per unit of capital h_1 ; l is the wage rate per unit of labor h_2 ; m is the cost per unit of principal raw material h_3 ; and n is the cost per unit of other inputs h_4 .

Now we introduce a single Lagrange multiplier μ , as a device; and by using equations (1) and (3) we can represent the Lagrangian function $v(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, \mu)$, in a 5-dimensional unconstrained problem as (Baxley & Moorhouse, 1984; Mohajan & Mohajan, 2022b, 2023c,d),

$$v(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, \mu) = Ah_1^a h_2^b h_3^c h_4^d + \mu (B - kh_1 - lh_2 - mh_3 - nh_4). \tag{4}$$

where $\frac{\partial B}{\partial h_1} = B_1$, $\frac{\partial B}{\partial h_2} = B_2$, $\frac{\partial v}{\partial h_1} = v_1$, $\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial h_1 \partial h_3} = v_{31}$, $\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial h_2^2} = v_{22}$, etc. are partial derivatives. Let us

consider the determinant of the 5×5 bordered Hessian matrix as (Mohajan, 2021b),

$$H = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -B_1 & -B_2 & -B_3 & -B_4 \\ -B_1 & v_{11} & v_{12} & v_{13} & v_{14} \\ -B_2 & v_{21} & v_{22} & v_{23} & v_{24} \\ -B_3 & v_{31} & v_{32} & v_{33} & v_{34} \\ -B_4 & v_{41} & v_{42} & v_{43} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix}.$$
 (5)

Taking first-order partial differentiations of (3) we get,

$$B_1 = k$$
, $B_2 = l$, $B_3 = m$, and $B_4 = n$. (6)

Taking second-order and cross partial derivatives of (4) we get (Islam et al., 2010a; Mohajan, 2021a),

$$v_{11} = a(a-1)Ah_1^{a-2}h_2^bh_3^ch_4^d,$$

$$v_{22} = b(b-1)Ah_1^ah_2^{b-2}h_3^ch_4^d,$$

$$v_{33} = c(c-1)Ah_1^ah_2^bh_3^{c-2}h_4^d,$$

$$v_{44} = d(d-1)Ah_1^ah_2^bh_3^ch_4^{d-2},$$

$$v_{12} = v_{21} = abAh_1^{a-1}h_2^{b-1}h_3^ch_4^d,$$

$$v_{13} = v_{31} = acAh_1^{a-1}h_2^bh_3^{c-1}h_4^d,$$

$$v_{14} = v_{41} = adAh_1^{a-1}h_2^bh_3^ch_4^{d-1},$$

$$v_{23} = v_{32} = bcAh_1^ah_2^{b-1}h_3^ch_4^d,$$

$$v_{24} = v_{42} = bdAh_1^ah_2^{b-1}h_3^ch_4^{d-1},$$

$$v_{34} = v_{43} = cdAh_1^ah_2^bh_3^{c-1}h_4^d.$$

$$(7)$$

Now we expand the bordered Hessian (5) as,

$$|H| = \frac{A^3 B^2 abcdh_1^{3a} h_2^{3b} h_3^{3c} h_4^{3d}}{h_1^3 h_2^3 h_2^3 h_4^3 \Sigma} > 0$$
(8)

where A>0, a,b,c,d>0, and budget, B>0, therefore, |H|>0. Hence, the profit is maximized (Islam et al., 2011; Mohajan & Mohajan, 2023e).

6. Sensitivity Analysis

We have observed that the second order condition is satisfied, so that the determinant of (5) survive at the optimum, i.e., |J| = |H|; hence, we can apply the implicit function theorem. Let \mathbf{G} be the vector-valued function of ten variables $\mu^*, h_1^*, h_2^*, h_3^*, h_4^*, k, l, m, n$, and B, and we define the function \mathbf{G} for the point $(\mu^*, h_1^*, h_2^*, h_3^*, h_4^*, k, l, m, n, B) \in R^{10}$, and take the values in R^5 . By the implicit function theorem of multivariable calculus, the equation,

$$F(\mu^*, h_1^*, h_2^*, h_3^*, h_4^*, k, l, m, n, B) = 0,$$
(9)

may be solved in the form of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{G}(k, l, m, n, B). \tag{10}$$

Now the 5×5 Jacobian matrix for \mathbf{G} ; regarded as $J_G = \frac{\partial \left(\mu, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\right)}{\partial \left(k, l, m, n, B\right)}$, and can be represented by

(Baxley & Moorhouse, 1984; Mohajan & Mohajan, 2023f);

$$J_{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial l} & \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial m} & \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n} & \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial B} \\ \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial l} & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial m} & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial n} & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial B} \\ \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial l} & \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial m} & \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial n} & \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial B} \\ \frac{\partial h_{3}}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial h_{3}}{\partial l} & \frac{\partial h_{3}}{\partial m} & \frac{\partial h_{3}}{\partial n} & \frac{\partial h_{3}}{\partial B} \\ \frac{\partial h_{4}}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial h_{4}}{\partial l} & \frac{\partial h_{4}}{\partial m} & \frac{\partial h_{4}}{\partial n} & \frac{\partial h_{4}}{\partial B} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

$$= -J^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -h_{1} & -h_{2} & -h_{3} & -h_{4} & 1 \\ -\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The inverse of Jacobian matrix is, $J^{-1} = \frac{1}{|J|}C^T$, where $C = (C_{ij})$, the matrix of cofactors of J, where T

stands for transpose, then (11) becomes (Islam et al., 2010; Mohajan & Mohajan, 2023g),

$$= -\frac{1}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} C_{11} & C_{21} & C_{31} & C_{41} & C_{51} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{32} & C_{42} & C_{52} \\ C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{33} & C_{43} & C_{53} \\ C_{14} & C_{24} & C_{34} & C_{44} & C_{54} \\ C_{15} & C_{25} & C_{35} & C_{45} & C_{55} \end{vmatrix} = -\frac{1}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} -h_1 & -h_2 & -h_3 & -h_4 & 1 \\ -\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mu & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$J_G = -\frac{1}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} -h_1 C_{11} - \mu C_{21} & -h_2 C_{11} - \mu C_{31} & -h_3 C_{11} - \mu C_{41} & -h_4 C_{11} - \mu C_{51} & C_{11} \\ -h_1 C_{12} - \mu C_{22} & -h_2 C_{12} - \mu C_{32} & -h_3 C_{12} - \mu C_{42} & -h_4 C_{12} - \mu C_{52} & C_{12} \\ -h_1 C_{13} - \mu C_{23} & -h_2 C_{13} - \mu C_{33} & -h_3 C_{13} - \mu C_{43} & -h_4 C_{13} - \mu C_{53} & C_{13} \\ -h_1 C_{14} - \mu C_{24} & -h_2 C_{14} - \mu C_{34} & -h_3 C_{14} - \mu C_{44} & -h_4 C_{14} - \mu C_{54} & C_{14} \\ -h_1 C_{15} - \mu C_{25} & -h_2 C_{15} - \mu C_{35} & -h_3 C_{15} - \mu C_{45} & -h_4 C_{15} - \mu C_{55} & C_{15} \end{vmatrix}.$$
(12)

In (11) total 25 comparative statics are available, and for sensitivity analysis we will try only on $\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial l}$, $\frac{\partial h_2}{\partial l}$,

 $\frac{\partial h_3}{\partial l}$, and $\frac{\partial h_4}{\partial l}$ for the prediction of the economic analysis during the profit maximization investigation (Mohajan & Mohajan, 2023h).

Now we observe the effect on capital h_1 when the wage rate per unit of labor, l increases. Taking T_{22} (i.e., term of $2^{\rm nd}$ row and $2^{\rm nd}$ column) from both sides of (12) we get (Mohajan, 2021a; Wiese, 2021),

$$\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial l} = \frac{h_2}{|J|} \left[C_{12} \right] + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \left[C_{32} \right]$$

$$= \frac{h_2}{|J|} \text{Cofactor of } C_{12} + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \text{Cofactor of } C_{32}$$

$$= -\frac{h_2}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} -B_1 & v_{12} & v_{13} & v_{14} \\ -B_2 & v_{22} & v_{23} & v_{24} \\ -B_3 & v_{32} & v_{33} & v_{34} \\ -B_4 & v_{42} & v_{43} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix} - \frac{\mu}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -B_2 & -B_3 & -B_4 \\ -B_1 & v_{12} & v_{13} & v_{14} \\ -B_3 & v_{32} & v_{33} & v_{34} \\ -B_4 & v_{42} & v_{43} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$=-\frac{h_{2}}{|J|} \left\{ -B_{1} \begin{vmatrix} v_{22} & v_{23} & v_{24} \\ v_{32} & v_{33} & v_{34} \\ v_{42} & v_{43} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix} - v_{12} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{2} & v_{23} & v_{24} \\ -B_{3} & v_{33} & v_{34} \\ -B_{4} & v_{43} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix} + v_{13} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{2} & v_{22} & v_{24} \\ -B_{3} & v_{32} & v_{34} \\ -B_{4} & v_{42} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix} - v_{14} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{2} & v_{22} & v_{23} \\ -B_{3} & v_{32} & v_{33} \\ -B_{4} & v_{42} & v_{43} \end{vmatrix} \right\}$$

$$-\frac{\mu}{|J|} \left\{ B_{2} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{1} & v_{13} & v_{14} \\ -B_{3} & v_{33} & v_{34} \\ -B_{4} & v_{43} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix} - B_{3} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{1} & v_{12} & v_{14} \\ -B_{3} & v_{32} & v_{34} \\ -B_{4} & v_{42} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix} - B_{4} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{1} & v_{12} & v_{13} \\ -B_{3} & v_{32} & v_{33} \\ -B_{4} & v_{42} & v_{43} \end{vmatrix} \right\}$$

$$=-\frac{h_2}{|J|}\left[-B_1\left\{v_{22}\left(v_{33}v_{44}-v_{43}v_{34}\right)+v_{23}\left(v_{42}v_{34}-v_{32}v_{44}\right)+v_{24}\left(v_{32}v_{43}-v_{42}v_{33}\right)\right\}$$

$$-v_{12}\left\{-B_{2}\left(v_{33}v_{44}-v_{43}v_{34}\right)+v_{23}\left(-B_{4}v_{34}+B_{3}v_{44}\right)+v_{24}\left(-B_{3}v_{43}+B_{4}v_{33}\right)\right\}$$

$$+ v_{13} \left\{ -B_2 \left(v_{32} v_{44} - v_{42} v_{34} \right) + v_{22} \left(-B_4 v_{34} + B_3 v_{44} \right) + v_{24} \left(-B_3 v_{42} + B_4 v_{32} \right) \right\}$$

$$- v_{14} \left\{ - B_2 \left(v_{33} v_{43} - v_{43} v_{33} \right) + v_{22} \left(- B_4 v_{33} + B_3 v_{43} \right) + v_{23} \left(- B_3 v_{42} + B_4 v_{32} \right) \right\} \right]$$

$$- \frac{H}{|I|} \left[B_2 \left\{ - B_1 \left(v_{33} v_{44} - v_{43} v_{34} \right) - v_{13} \left(- B_3 v_{44} + B_4 v_{34} \right) + v_{14} \left(- B_3 v_{43} + B_4 v_{32} \right) \right\}$$

$$- B_3 \left\{ - B_1 \left(v_{32} v_{43} - v_{42} v_{34} \right) - v_{12} \left(- B_3 v_{44} + B_4 v_{34} \right) + v_{14} \left(- B_3 v_{42} + B_4 v_{32} \right) \right\}$$

$$- B_3 \left\{ - B_1 \left(v_{32} v_{43} - v_{42} v_{35} \right) - v_{12} \left(- B_3 v_{43} + B_4 v_{34} \right) + v_{14} \left(- B_3 v_{42} + B_4 v_{32} \right) \right\}$$

$$- B_4 \left\{ - B_1 \left(v_{22} v_{43} - v_{42} v_{35} \right) - v_{12} \left(- B_3 v_{43} + B_4 v_{34} \right) + v_{14} \left(- B_3 v_{42} + B_4 v_{32} \right) \right\} \right]$$

$$- \frac{h_2}{|I|} \left\{ - B_1 v_{22} v_{33} v_{44} + B_1 v_{22} v_{43} v_{34} - B_1 v_{23} v_{42} v_{44} + B_1 v_{23} v_{32} v_{44} - B_1 v_{24} v_{33} v_{43} + B_1 v_{34} v_{42} v_{33} \right\}$$

$$+ B_2 v_{13} v_{23} v_{44} - B_2 v_{12} v_{23} v_{34} + B_4 v_{12} v_{23} v_{34} - B_3 v_{12} v_{23} v_{44} + B_3 v_{13} v_{24} v_{45} - B_4 v_{12} v_{24} v_{33} - B_2 v_{14} v_{24} v_{33} \right\}$$

$$+ B_3 v_{13} v_{42} v_{34} - B_4 v_{13} v_{22} v_{34} + B_3 v_{13} v_{22} v_{44} - B_3 v_{13} v_{24} v_{42} + B_4 v_{13} v_{24} v_{45} - B_4 v_{12} v_{23} v_{33} - B_2 v_{14} v_{42} v_{33} \right\}$$

$$+ B_3 v_{14} v_{22} v_{33} - B_3 v_{14} v_{22} v_{43} + B_3 v_{14} v_{22} v_{42} - B_4 v_{14} v_{23} v_{23} \right\} - \frac{\mu}{|I|} \left[- B_1 B_2 v_{33} v_{44} + B_1 B_2 v_{34} v_{34} + B_1 B_2 v_{34} v_{34} - B_1 B_2 v_{14} v_{23} v_{34} + B_1 B_2 v_{23} v_{24} + B_1 B_2 v_{12} v_{23} v_{34} + B_1 B_2 v_{12} v_{33} + B_2 v_{12} v_{33} + B_2 v_{12} v_{33} \right\}$$

$$- B_3 B_4 v_{12} v_{34} + B_3 v_{14} v_{22} v_{34} - B_3 B_4 v_{14} v_{32} + B_1 B_4 v_{32} v_{43} - B_1 B_4 v_{22} v_{33} - B_3 B_4 v_{12} v_{33} + B_2 v_{12} v_{33} v_{44} + B_1 v_{22} v_{33} + B_2 v_{12} v_{33} v_{44} + B_1 v_{22} v_{33} + B_2 v_{12} v_{33} v_{44} + B_1 v_{22} v_{33} - B_3 B_4 v_{12} v_{33} + B_2 v_{12} v_{33} v_{44} + B_1 v_{22} v_{33} - B_2 v_{12} v_{33} v_{44} +$$

From (13) we observe that when the wage rate increases, the capital h_1 of the organization decreases. It seems that due to increase of labor cost, production cost of the organization increases. On the other hand, the laborers work for fewer hours due to income effect. Consequently, for the sustainable profit maximization the organization should decrease its capital.

Now we analyze the effect on labor h_2 when the wage rate per unit of labor, l increases. Taking T_{32} (i.e., term of

3rd row and 2nd column) from both sides of (12) we get (Mohajan, 2021c; Mohajan & Mohajan, 2022k,l),

$$\frac{\partial h_2}{\partial l} = \frac{h_2}{|J|} \left[C_{13} \right] + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \left[C_{33} \right]$$

$$= \frac{h_2}{|J|} \text{Cofactor of } C_{13} + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \text{Cofactor of } C_{33}$$

$$= \frac{h_2}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} -B_1 & v_{11} & v_{13} & v_{14} \\ -B_2 & v_{21} & v_{23} & v_{24} \\ -B_3 & v_{31} & v_{33} & v_{34} \\ -B_4 & v_{41} & v_{43} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix} + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -B_1 & -B_3 & -B_4 \\ -B_1 & v_{11} & v_{13} & v_{14} \\ -B_3 & v_{31} & v_{33} & v_{34} \\ -B_4 & v_{41} & v_{43} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$=\frac{h_2}{|J|}\left\{-B_1\begin{vmatrix}v_{21}&v_{23}&v_{24}\\v_{31}&v_{33}&v_{34}\\v_{41}&v_{43}&v_{44}\end{vmatrix}-v_{11}\begin{vmatrix}-B_2&v_{23}&v_{24}\\-B_3&v_{33}&v_{34}\\-B_4&v_{43}&v_{44}\end{vmatrix}+v_{13}\begin{vmatrix}-B_2&v_{21}&v_{24}\\-B_3&v_{31}&v_{34}\\-B_4&v_{41}&v_{44}\end{vmatrix}-v_{14}\begin{vmatrix}-B_2&v_{21}&v_{23}\\-B_3&v_{31}&v_{33}\\-B_4&v_{41}&v_{44}\end{vmatrix}\right\}$$

$$+\frac{\mu}{|J|} \left\{ -B_{1} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{1} & v_{13} & v_{14} \\ -B_{3} & v_{33} & v_{34} \\ -B_{4} & v_{43} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix} + B_{3} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{1} & v_{11} & v_{14} \\ -B_{3} & v_{31} & v_{34} \\ -B_{4} & v_{41} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix} - B_{4} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{1} & v_{11} & v_{13} \\ -B_{3} & v_{31} & v_{33} \\ -B_{4} & v_{41} & v_{43} \end{vmatrix} \right\}$$

$$=\frac{h_2}{|J|}\left[-B_1\left\{v_{21}\left(v_{33}v_{44}-v_{43}v_{34}\right)+v_{23}\left(v_{41}v_{34}-v_{31}v_{44}\right)+v_{24}\left(v_{31}v_{43}-v_{41}v_{33}\right)\right\}$$

$$-v_{11}\left\{-B_{2}\left(v_{33}v_{44}-v_{43}v_{34}\right)+v_{23}\left(-B_{4}v_{34}+B_{3}v_{44}\right)+v_{24}\left(-B_{3}v_{43}+B_{4}v_{33}\right)\right\}$$

$$+ v_{13} \left\{ -B_2 \left(v_{31} v_{44} - v_{41} v_{34} \right) + v_{21} \left(-B_4 v_{34} + B_3 v_{44} \right) + v_{24} \left(-B_3 v_{41} + B_4 v_{31} \right) \right\}$$

$$-v_{14}\left\{-B_{2}\left(v_{31}v_{43}-v_{41}v_{33}\right)+v_{21}\left(-B_{4}v_{33}+B_{3}v_{43}\right)+v_{23}\left(-B_{3}v_{41}+B_{4}v_{31}\right)\right\}\right]$$

$$+\frac{\mu}{|J|}\Big[\Big\{-B_1\Big\{-B_1\Big(v_{33}v_{44}-v_{43}v_{34}\Big)+v_{13}\Big(-B_4v_{34}+B_3v_{44}\Big)+v_{14}\Big(-B_3v_{43}+B_4v_{33}\Big)\Big\}$$

$$+B_{2}\left\{-B_{1}\left(v_{21}v_{44}-v_{41}v_{24}\right)+v_{11}\left(-B_{4}v_{24}+B_{2}v_{44}\right)+v_{14}\left(-B_{2}v_{41}+B_{4}v_{21}\right)\right\}$$

$$-B_4\left\{-B_1(v_{31}v_{43}-v_{41}v_{33})+v_{11}(-B_4v_{33}+B_3v_{43})+v_{13}(-B_3v_{41}+B_4v_{31})\right\}$$

$$=-\frac{h_2}{|J|}\Big\{B_1v_{21}v_{33}v_{44} \\ -B_1v_{21}v_{43}v_{34} \\ +B_1v_{23}v_{41}v_{24} \\ -B_1v_{23}v_{31}v_{44} \\ +B_1v_{24}v_{31}v_{43} \\ -B_1v_{24}v_{41}v_{33}v_{44} \\ +B_1v_{24}v_{31}v_{43} \\ -B_1v_{24}v_{41}v_{33}v_{44} \\ -B_1v_{24}v_{31}v_{43} \\ -B_1v_{24}v_{41}v_{33}v_{44} \\ -B_1v_{24}v_{31}v_{43} \\ -B_1v_{24}v_$$

$$-B_{2}v_{11}v_{33}v_{44} + B_{2}v_{11}v_{43}v_{34} - B_{4}v_{11}v_{23}v_{34} + B_{3}v_{11}v_{23}v_{44} - B_{3}v_{11}v_{24}v_{43} + B_{4}v_{11}v_{24}v_{33} + B_{2}v_{13}v_{31}v_{44}$$

$$-B_2v_{13}v_{41}v_{34} + B_4v_{13}v_{21}v_{34} - B_3v_{13}v_{21}v_{44} + B_3v_{13}v_{24}v_{41} - B_4v_{13}v_{24}v_{31} - B_2v_{14}v_{31}v_{43} + B_2v_{14}v_{41}v_{33}$$

$$-B_4v_1v_2v_1v_3s + B_3v_1v_2v_3v_3 - B_3v_1v_2s_3v_4 + B_4v_1v_2s_3v_3 + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \{B_1^2v_3v_4 - B_1^2v_1s_3v_3 + B_1B_2v_1v_3 - B_1B_2v_1v_3 - B_1B_3v_1v_4 + B_1B_1v_4v_4 - B_3B_4v_1v_3 + B_1^2v_1v_3 - B_1B_2v_1v_3 - B_1B_2v_1v_3 - B_1B_2v_1v_3 - B_1B_2v_1v_4 - B_1B_2v_1v_4 - B_2^2v_1v_4 - B_1^2v_1v_3 + B_1B_2v_1v_3 - B_1B_4v_4v_3 + B_1^2v_1v_3 - B_1B_4v_1v_4 - B_1B_2v_1v_4 - B_1^2v_1v_3 + B_1B_2v_1v_4 - B_1^2v_1v_3 - B_1B_4v_4v_3 + B_1^2v_1v_3 - B_1B_4v_1v_4 + B_1B_2v_1v_4 - B_1^2v_1v_3 + B_1^2v_1v_3 - B_1B_4v_4v_3 + B_1^2v_1v_3 - B_1B_4v_1v_4 + B_1B_2v_1v_4 - B_1^2v_1v_3 + B_1^2v_1v_4 - B_1^2v_1v_4 - B_1^2v_1v_4 + B_1B_2v_1v_4 - B_1^2v_1v_4 - B_1^2v_1v_4 + B_1B_2v_1v_4 - B_1^2v_1v_4 - B$$

$$+n^{2}h_{4}^{2}a(a-1)(c-1)d^{-1} - mnh_{3}h_{4}a(a-1) + mnh_{3}h_{4}a^{2} - n^{2}h_{4}^{2}a^{2}cd^{-1}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{|J|} \frac{abcdA^{3}h_{1}^{13}h_{2}^{3b}h_{3}^{3c}h_{4}^{3d}B}{h_{1}^{2}h_{3}^{2}h_{4}^{2}\Sigma} \left\{ 2a(c-1)(d-1) - (a-1)(c-1)(d-1) - 2ca(d-1) + (a-1)(c-1)d - a(c-1)d + acd \right\} + \frac{1}{|J|} \frac{acdA^{3}h_{1}^{13a}h_{2}^{3b}h_{3}^{3c}h_{4}^{3d}B}{h_{1}^{2}h_{3}^{2}h_{4}^{2}\Sigma} \left\{ a(c-1)(d-1) - 2ac(d-1) + 3acd - 2a(c-1)d + (a-1)c(d-1) + (a-1)(c-1)d - 2(a-1)cd \right\}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{|J|} \frac{A^{3}h_{1}^{3a}h_{2}^{3b}h_{3}^{3c}h_{4}^{3d}B}{h_{1}^{2}h_{3}^{2}h_{4}^{2}\Sigma} acd(abc-bcd+b) + \frac{1}{|J|} \frac{A^{3}h_{1}^{3a}h_{2}^{3b}h_{3}^{3c}h_{4}^{3d}B}{h_{1}^{2}h_{3}^{2}h_{4}^{2}\Sigma} acd(a+c+d)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|J|} \frac{acdA^{3}h_{1}^{3a}h_{2}^{3b}h_{3}^{3c}h_{4}^{3d}B}{h_{2}^{2b}h_{3}^{2c}h_{3}^{2b}h_{3}^{2c}h_{4}^{2b}\Sigma} \left\{ (\Sigma + bcd) - b(ac+2) \right\}$$

$$(14)$$

From (14) we observe that if $(\Sigma + bcd) > b(ac + 2)$ we get,

$$\frac{\partial h_2}{\partial l} > 0. \tag{15}$$

The inequality (15) indicates that if the wage rate l of the organization increases, the total labor h_2 of the organization also increases, which is reasonable. Due to substitution effect for more income the total labor hours among the laborers increase.

From (14) we observe that if $(\Sigma + bcd) < b(ac + 2)$ we get,

$$\frac{\partial h_2}{\partial l} < 0. \tag{16}$$

The inequality (16) indicates that if the wage rate l of the organization increases, the total labor h_2 of the organization decreases, which is not reasonable. It seems that due to income effect laborers need less total labor hours to manage necessary money of daily expenditure. Consequently some laborers remain absent, because they have enough money to carry on their absent periods.

Now we analyze the effect on principal raw materials h_3 when the wage rate per unit of labor, l increases. Taking T_{42} (i.e., term of 4th row and 2nd column) from both sides of (12) we get (Mohajan, 2022; Mohajan & Mohajan, 2023i),

$$\frac{\partial h_3}{\partial l} = \frac{h_2}{|J|} \left[C_{14} \right] + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \left[C_{34} \right]$$

$$= \frac{h_2}{|J|} \text{Cofactor of } C_{14} + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \text{Cofactor of } C_{34}$$

$$= -\frac{h_2}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} -B_1 & v_{11} & v_{12} & v_{14} \\ -B_2 & v_{21} & v_{22} & v_{24} \\ -B_3 & v_{31} & v_{32} & v_{34} \\ -B_4 & v_{41} & v_{42} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix} - \frac{\mu}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -B_1 & -B_2 & -B_4 \\ -B_1 & v_{11} & v_{12} & v_{14} \\ -B_3 & v_{31} & v_{32} & v_{34} \\ -B_4 & v_{41} & v_{42} & v_{44} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} &= -\frac{h_2}{|J|} \left\{ -B_1 \begin{vmatrix} y_{21} & y_{22} & y_{24} \\ y_{31} & y_{32} & y_{34} \\ y_{41} & y_{42} & y_{44} \end{vmatrix} - v_{11} \begin{vmatrix} -B_2 & y_{22} & y_{24} \\ -B_3 & y_{32} & y_{34} \\ -B_4 & y_{42} & y_{44} \end{vmatrix} + v_{12} \begin{vmatrix} -B_2 & y_{21} & y_{24} \\ -B_3 & y_{31} & y_{32} \\ -B_4 & y_{42} & y_{44} \end{vmatrix} - v_{12} \begin{vmatrix} -B_2 & y_{21} & y_{24} \\ -B_3 & y_{31} & y_{32} \\ -B_4 & y_{42} & y_{44} \end{vmatrix} - B_2 \begin{vmatrix} -B_1 & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ -B_3 & y_{31} & y_{32} \\ -B_4 & y_{41} & y_{44} \end{vmatrix} + B_2 \begin{vmatrix} -B_1 & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ -B_3 & y_{31} & y_{32} \\ -B_4 & y_{41} & y_{44} \end{vmatrix} + V_{12} \begin{vmatrix} -B_1 & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ -B_3 & y_{31} & y_{32} \\ -B_4 & y_{41} & y_{44} \end{vmatrix} + V_{12} \begin{vmatrix} -B_1 & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ -B_3 & y_{31} & y_{32} \\ -B_4 & y_{41} & y_{44} \end{vmatrix} + V_{12} \begin{vmatrix} -B_1 & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ -B_3 & y_{31} & y_{32} \\ -B_4 & y_{41} & y_{42} \end{vmatrix} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{h_2}{|J|} \left[-B_1 \left\{ y_{31} (y_{32} y_{44} - y_{42} y_{34}) + y_{22} (y_{41} y_{34} - y_{31} y_{44}) + v_{24} (y_{31} y_{42} - y_{41} y_{32}) \right\} - v_{11} \left\{ -B_2 (y_{31} y_{42} - y_{41} y_{34}) + v_{22} (-B_4 y_{34} + B_3 y_{44}) + v_{24} (-B_3 y_{22} + B_4 y_{32}) \right\} \\ &- v_{11} \left\{ -B_2 (y_{31} y_{44} - y_{41} y_{32}) + v_{21} (-B_4 y_{34} + B_3 y_{44}) + v_{24} (-B_3 y_{41} + B_4 y_{31}) \right\} - v_{14} \left\{ -B_1 \left\{ -B_1 \left\{ -B_1 \left(y_{31} y_{42} - y_{41} y_{32} \right) + v_{12} \left(-B_4 y_{34} + B_3 y_{44} \right) + v_{14} \left(-B_3 y_{41} + B_4 y_{31} \right) \right\} \right\} \right\} \\ &- B_2 \left\{ -B_1 \left(y_{31} y_{42} - y_{41} y_{32} \right) + v_{11} \left(-B_4 y_{34} + B_3 y_{44} \right) + v_{14} \left(-B_3 y_{41} + B_4 y_{31} \right) \right\} \right\} \\ &- B_4 \left\{ -B_1 \left(y_{31} y_{42} - y_{41} y_{32} \right) + v_{11} \left(-B_4 y_{34} + B_3 y_{44} \right) + v_{14} \left(-B_3 y_{41} + B_4 y_{31} \right) \right\} \right\} \\ &- B_4 \left\{ -B_1 \left(y_{31} y_{42} - y_{41} y_{32} \right) + v_{11} \left(-B_4 y_{34} + B_3 y_{44} \right) + v_{14} \left(-B_3 y_{41} + B_4 y_{31} \right) \right\} \right\} \\ &- B_4 \left\{ -B_1 \left(y_{31} y_{42} - y_{41} y_{32} \right) + v_{11} \left(-B_4 y_{32} + B_3 y_{42} \right) + v_{12} \left(-B_3 y_{41} + B_4 y_{31} \right) \right\} \right\} \\ &- B_4 \left\{ -B_1 \left(y_{31} y_{44} + B_2 y_{21} y_{42} y_{34} + B_4 y_{12} y_{22} \right) + B_4 y_{12} y_{22} + B_4 y_{23} y_{23} \right\} \\ &-$$

$$+ nh_{3}h_{4}a(a-1)b(b-1)cd - mh_{3}^{2}a(a-1)b(b-1)d(d-1) + mh_{3}^{2}a(a-1)b^{2}d^{2} - nh_{3}h_{4}a(a-1)b^{2}cd$$

$$- lh_{2}h_{3}a^{2}bcd(d-1) + lh_{2}h_{3}a^{2}bcd^{2} - nh_{3}h_{4}a^{2}b^{2}cd + mh_{3}^{2}a^{2}b^{2}d(d-1) - mh_{3}^{2}a^{2}b^{2}d^{2}$$

$$+ nh_{3}h_{4}a^{2}b^{2}cd + lh_{2}h_{3}a^{2}bcd^{2} - lh_{2}h_{3}a^{2}bcd^{2} + nh_{3}h_{4}a^{2}b^{2}cd - mh_{3}^{2}a^{2}b^{2}d^{2} + mh_{3}^{2}a^{2}b(b-1)d^{2}$$

$$- nh_{3}h_{4}a^{2}b(b-1)cd$$

$$- mh_{3}h_{4}a^{2}b(b-1)cd$$

$$- mh_{3}h_{4}a^{2}b(b-1)cd$$

$$- mh_{3}h_{4}a^{2}b(b-1)cd$$

$$+ mh_{4}h_{2}h_{3}bcd^{2} - knh_{4}h_{2}h_{3}bcd^{2} + knh_{4}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}abcd - kmh_{4}h_{2}h_{3}^{2}bcd(d-1)$$

$$+ kmh_{4}h_{2}h_{3}^{2}bcd^{2} - knh_{4}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}abcd + klh_{4}h_{2}^{2}h_{3}acd(d-1) - klh_{4}h_{2}^{2}h_{3}acd^{2} + nlh_{2}^{2}h_{3}h_{4}a(a-1)cd$$

$$+ lmh_{2}^{2}h_{3}^{2}a^{2}d^{2} - lmh_{2}^{2}h_{3}^{2}a(a-1)d(d-1) - nlh_{2}^{2}h_{3}h_{4}a^{2}cd - knh_{4}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}abcd + knh_{4}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}abcd$$

$$- n^{2}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}^{2}a(a-1)bc + mnh_{2}h_{3}^{2}h_{4}a(a-1)bd - mnh_{2}h_{3}^{2}h_{4}a^{2}bd + n^{2}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}^{2}a^{2}bc$$

$$= -\frac{1}{|J|} \frac{A^{3}abcdh_{1}^{3a}h_{2}^{3b}h_{3}^{3c}h_{3}^{3d}B}{h_{1}^{2}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}^{2}\Sigma} + \frac{1}{|J|} \frac{A^{3}abcdh_{1}^{3a}h_{2}^{3b}h_{3}^{3c}h_{3}^{3d}B}{h_{1}^{2}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}^{2}\Sigma} = 0.$$

$$(17)$$

From (17) we have realized that there is no relation between principle raw material and wage rate. It seems that although if the wage rate increase, the organization may increase or decrease principle raw material depending on its demand.

Now we observe the effect on other inputs h_4 when the wage rate per unit of labor, l increases. Taking T_{52} (i.e., term of 5^{th} row and 2^{nd} column) from both sides of (12) we get (Islam et al., 2011; Mohajan, 2017a; Ferdous & Mohajan, 2023j),

$$\frac{\partial h_4}{\partial l} = \frac{h_2}{|J|} \left[C_{15} \right] + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \left[C_{35} \right]$$

$$= \frac{h_2}{|J|} \text{Cofactor of } C_{15} + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \text{Cofactor of } C_{35}$$

$$= \frac{h_2}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} -B_1 & v_{11} & v_{12} & v_{13} \\ -B_2 & v_{21} & v_{22} & v_{23} \\ -B_3 & v_{31} & v_{32} & v_{33} \\ -B_4 & v_{41} & v_{42} & v_{43} \end{vmatrix} + \frac{\mu}{|J|} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -B_1 & -B_2 & -B_3 \\ -B_1 & v_{11} & v_{12} & v_{13} \\ -B_3 & v_{31} & v_{32} & v_{33} \\ -B_4 & v_{41} & v_{42} & v_{43} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{h_{2}}{|J|} \left\{ -B_{1} \begin{vmatrix} v_{21} & v_{22} & v_{23} \\ v_{31} & v_{32} & v_{33} \\ v_{41} & v_{42} & v_{43} \end{vmatrix} - V_{11} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{2} & v_{22} & v_{23} \\ -B_{3} & v_{32} & v_{33} \\ -B_{4} & v_{42} & v_{43} \end{vmatrix} + V_{12} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{2} & v_{21} & v_{23} \\ -B_{3} & v_{31} & v_{33} \\ -B_{4} & v_{41} & v_{43} \end{vmatrix} - V_{13} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{2} & v_{21} & v_{22} \\ -B_{3} & v_{31} & v_{33} \\ -B_{4} & v_{41} & v_{43} \end{vmatrix} - V_{13} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{2} & v_{21} & v_{22} \\ -B_{3} & v_{31} & v_{32} \\ -B_{3} & v_{31} & v_{32} \end{vmatrix} + B_{3} \begin{vmatrix} -B_{1} & v_{11} & v_{12} \\ -B_{3} & v_{31} & v_{32} \\ -B_{4} & v_{41} & v_{42} \end{vmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} &+\frac{\mu}{|J|} \left\{ -k^2 h_1^2 h_2 h_4 b c^2 d + k^2 h_1^2 h_2 h_4 b c (c-1) d - kn h_1 h_2 h_4^2 a b c (c-1) + km h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4 a b c d \right. \\ &+ kn h_1 h_2 h_4^2 a b c^2 - km h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4 a b c d + kl h_1 h_2^2 h_4 a c^2 d - kl h_1 h_2^2 h_4 a c (c-1) d + nl h_2^2 h_4^2 a (a-1) c (c-1) \\ &- lm h_2^2 h_3 h_4 a (a-1) c d + lm h_2^2 h_3 h_4 a^2 c d - nl h_2^2 h_4^2 a^2 c^2 - km h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4 a b c d + km h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4 a b c d \\ &- mn h_2 h_3 h_4^2 a (a-1) b c + m^2 h_2 h_3^2 h_4 a (a-1) b d - m^2 h_2 h_3^2 h_4 a^2 b d + mn h_2 h_3 h_4^2 a^2 b c \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{|J|} \frac{A^3 a b c d h_1^{3a} h_2^{3b} h_3^{3c} h_3^{3d} B}{h_1^2 h_2 h_3^2 h_4 \Sigma} \left\{ -a b c + a b (c-1) + (a-1) b c - (a-1) b (c-1) - (a-1) (b-1) c \right. \\ &+ (a-1) (b-1) (c-1) \right\} + \frac{1}{|J|} \frac{A^2 a b c d h_1^{2a} h_2^{2b} h_3^{2c} h_4^{2d} B^2}{h_1^2 h_2 h_3^2 h_4 \Sigma^2} \frac{A h_1^x h_2^y h_3^z h_4^y \Sigma}{B} \left\{ a c - a (c-1) + (a-1) (c-1) \right. \\ &- 2 (a-1) c + (a-1) c \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{|J|} \frac{A^3 h_1^{3a} h_2^{3b} h_3^{3c} h_3^{3d} B}{h_1^2 h_2 h_3^2 h_4 \Sigma} a b c d (-a b + a - 1) + \frac{1}{|J|} \frac{A^3 h_1^{3a} h_2^{3b} h_3^{3c} h_3^{3d} B}{h_1^2 h_2 h_3^2 h_4 \Sigma} a b c d (-a c - a + 1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{|J|} \frac{A^3 h_1^{3a} h_2^{3b} h_3^{3c} h_3^{3d} B}{h_1^{2a} h_1 h_2^{3b} h_2^{3c} h_2^{3d}} a^2 b c d (b + c) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (18) we have realized that when the wage rate per unit of labor, l increases; the amount of other inputs h_4 decreases. It seems that other inputs are not essential materials for the organization, and labor level of it may decrease from this sector.

7. Conclusions

In this study we have studied sensitivity analysis of various inputs, such as capital, labors, principal raw materials and other inputs with the increase of wage rate. We have started the discussion with the Cobb-Douglas production function by considering the budget as constraint. This study will be beneficial for the organizations where wage rate is increased remarkably. In this paper we have tried to present mathematical calculations in some details.

References

Baxley, J. V., & Moorhouse, J. C., (1984). Lagrange Multiplier Problems in Economics. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 91(7), 404-412.

Cobb, C. W., & Douglass, P. H., (1928). A Theory of Production. American Economics Review, 18(1), 139-165.

Eaton, B., & Lipsey, R., (1975). The Principle of Minimum Differentiation Reconsidered: Some New Developments in the Theory of Spatial Competition. *Review of Economic Studies*, 42(1), 27-49.

Ferdous, J., & Mohajan, H. K., (2022). Maximum Profit Ensured for Industry Sustainability. *Annals of Spiru Haret University. Economic Series*, 22(3), 317-337.

Gibbs, R. W., Jr., (2008). Metaphor and Thought: The State of the Art. In R. W. Gibbs, Jr. (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Metaphor and Thought*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Islam, J. N., Mohajan, H. K., & Moolio, P., (2009a). Preference of Social Choice in Mathematical Economics. *Indus Journal of Management & Social Sciences*, *3*(1), 17-38.

Islam, J. N., Mohajan, H. K., & Moolio, P., (2009b). Political Economy and Social Welfare with Voting Procedure. *KASBIT Business Journal*, 2(1), 42-66.

Islam, J. N., Mohajan, H. K., & Moolio, P., (2010). Utility Maximization Subject to Multiple Constraints. Indus

- Journal of Management & Social Sciences, 4(1), 15-29.
- Islam, J. N., Mohajan, H. K., & Moolio, P., (2011). Output Maximization Subject to a Nonlinear Constraint. *KASBIT Business Journal*, 4(1), 116-128.
- Kothari, C. R., (2008). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques* (2nd Ed.). New Delhi: New Age International (P) Ltd.
- Legesse, B., (2014). *Research Methods in Agribusiness and Value Chains*. School of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness, Haramaya University.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2022a). Profit Maximization Strategy in an Industry: A Sustainable Procedure. *Law and Economy*, 1(3), 17-43. https://doi:10.56397/LE.2022.10.02.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2022b). Utility Maximization Analysis of an Emerging Firm: A Bordered Hessian Approach. *Annals of Spiru Haret University. Economic Series*, 22(4), 292-308.
- Mohajan, D. & Mohajan, H. K., (2022c). Sensitivity Analysis among Commodities and Coupons during Utility Maximization. *Frontiers in Management Science*, 1(3), 13-28.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2022d). Importance of Total Coupon in Utility Maximization: A Sensitivity Analysis. *Law and Economy*, 1(5), 65-67.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023a). Utility Maximization Analysis of an Organization: A Mathematical Economic Procedure. *Law and Economy*, 2(1), 1-15.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023b). Economic Aspects of Profit Maximization if Cost of Principal Raw Material Increases. *Frontiers in Management Science*, 2(3), 28-42.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023c). Mathematical Model for Nonlinear Budget Constraint: Economic Activities on Increased Budget. *Studies in Social Science & Humanities*, 2(5), 20-40.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023d). A Study on Nonlinear Budget Constraint of a Local Industrial Firm of Bangladesh: A Profit Maximization Investigation. *Law and Economy*, 2(5), 27-33.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023e). Sensitivity Analysis of Inputs of an Organization: A Profit Maximization Exploration. *Law and Economy*, 2(4), 32-48.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023f). Sensitivity Analysis between Lagrange Multipliers and Consumer Budget: Utility Maximization Case. *Annals of Spiru Haret University. Economic Series*, 23(1), 167-185.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023g). Economic Situations of Lagrange Multiplier When Costs of Various Inputs Increase for Nonlinear Budget Constraint. *Studies in Social Science & Humanities*, 2(4), 40-64.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023h). Sensitivity Analysis for Utility Maximization: A Study on Lagrange Multipliers and Commodity Coupons. *Journal of Economic Development, Environment, and People, 12*(1), 25-40.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023i). Sensitivity Analysis for Profit Maximization with Respect to Per Unit Cost of Subsidiary Raw Materials. *Frontiers in Management Science*, 2(2), 13-27.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023j). Sensitivity Analysis between Commodity and Budget: Utility Maximization Case. *Law and Economy*, 2(3), 10-21.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023k). Sensitivity Analysis among Commodities and Prices: Utility Maximization Perceptions. *Law and Economy*, 2(2), 1-16.
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K., (2023*l*). Effect of Various Inputs When Budget of an Organization Increases: A Profit Maximization Study. Unpublished Manuscript.
- Mohajan, H. K., (2017a). Optimization Models in Mathematical Economics. *Journal of Scientific Achievements*, 2(5), 30-42.
- Mohajan, H. K., (2017b). Two Criteria for Good Measurements in Research: Validity and Reliability. *Annals of Spiru Haret University Economic Series*, 17(3), 58-82.
- Mohajan, H. K., (2018a). Qualitative Research Methodology in Social Sciences and Related Subjects. *Journal of Economic Development, Environment and People*, 7(1), 23-48.
- Mohajan, H. K., (2018b). Aspects of Mathematical Economics, Social Choice and Game Theory. PhD Dissertation, Jamal Nazrul Islam Research Centre for Mathematical and Physical Sciences (JNIRCMPS), University of Chittagong, Chittagong, Bangladesh.
- Mohajan, H. K., (2020). Quantitative Research: A Successful Investigation in Natural and Social Sciences. *Journal of Economic Development, Environment and People*, 9(4), 52-79.

Mohajan, H. K., (2021a). Estimation of Cost Minimization of Garments Sector by Cobb-Douglass Production Function: Bangladesh Perspective. *Annals of Spiru Haret University. Economic Series*, 21(2), 267-299. doi: https://doi.org/10.26458/21212.

- Mohajan, H. K., (2021b). Product Maximization Techniques of a Factory of Bangladesh: A Sustainable Procedure. *American Journal of Economics, Finance and Management*, 5(2), 23-44.
- Mohajan, H. K., (2021c). Utility Maximization of Bangladeshi Consumers within Their Budget: A Mathematical Procedure. *Journal of Economic Development, Environment and People, 10*(3), 60-85.
- Mohajan, H. K., (2022). Cost Minimization Analysis of a Running Firm with Economic Policy. *Annals of Spiru Haret University. Economic Series*, 22(3), 171-181.
- Mohajan, H. K., Islam, J. N., & Moolio, P., (2013). *Optimization and Social Welfare in Economics*. Lambert Academic Publishing, Germany.
- Moolio, P., Islam, J. N., & Mohajan, H. K., (2009). Output Maximization of an Agency. *Indus Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(1), 39-51.
- Pandey, P., & Pandey, M. M., (2015). *Research Methodology: Tools and Techniques*. Bridge Center, Romania, European Union.
- Polit, D. F., & Hungler, B. P., (2013). *Essentials of Nursing Research: Methods, Appraisal, and Utilization* (8th Ed.). Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
- Roy, L., Molla, R., & Mohajan, H. K., (2021). Cost Minimization is Essential for the Sustainability of an Industry: A Mathematical Economic Model Approach. *Annals of Spiru Haret University Economic Series*, 21(1), 37-69.
- Samuelson, P. A., (1947). Foundations of Economic Analysis. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Wiese, H., (2021). Cost Minimization and Profit Maximization. In Advanced Microeconomics. Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-34959-2 9.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).